

SCHOLARLY COMMONS

Presentations

RED Innovation: Using Scrum to Develop an Agile Department

3-2022

RED Product Owner and Scrum Master Refresher

Massood Towhidnejad towhid@erau.edu

Omar Ochoa ochoao@erau.edu

James Pembeidge pembridj@erau.edu

Radu Babiceanu babicear@erau.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.erau.edu/red-presentations

Scholarly Commons Citation

Towhidnejad, M., Ochoa, O., Pembeidge, J., & Babiceanu, R. (2022). RED Product Owner and Scrum Master Refresher. , (). Retrieved from https://commons.erau.edu/red-presentations/1

This Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the RED Innovation: Using Scrum to Develop an Agile Department at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Presentations by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact commons@erau.edu.

ERAU NSF RED Advisory Board Meeting

Department Scrum Projects

March 2022



Fall and Spring Scrum Projects

- Four teams
 - Program Review Committee
 - Graduate Recruiting Committee
 - Faculty Search Committee
 - Master of Science Unmanned Autonomous System Curriculum Modification Committee
- Pre-Conditions
 - Every member of the faculty SHALL participate in one of the team
 - No member of faculty participate in more than one project at the time



Program Review Committee (PRC)

- Goals:
 - Develop program review report for four undergraduate degrees and two graduate degrees
 - Respond to follow up questions by the program review committee
- Stakeholders: EECS Department, University Program Review Committee
- Scrum Framework
 - Seven faculty members
 - Two-week sprints
 - Sprint planning, review and demo for each sprint
 - Twice a week standup meeting, and bi-weekly review and retrospective
 - Scrumwise as a tool



Deliverables (Six Sprints)

- One member was removed from the team due to lack of knowledge about the product (Product or process)
 - Faculty was becoming more of an impediment than developer
- One faculty member was a certified product owner and scrum master
- Initial product owner relieved duties to the scrum master
 - Initial product owner became project impediment remover
- Six program review report was completed by the fifth sprint (one sprint early)
- Team conducted peer review during the sixth sprint
- To date, no issue have been raised by the university program review committee



Graduate Recruiting Committee (GRC)

- Goals:
 - Reach out to an increased number of prospective students and outline the graduate program offerings in the EECS Department
 - Highlight opportunities as a student and prospects after graduation
- Stakeholders: EECS Department, Graduate Admissions Office
- Scrum Framework
 - 4 faculty members
 - Two- and three- week sprints
 - Sprint planning and review
 - Once per week standup meeting
 - Sprint retrospective and lessons learned
 - Use of Scrumwise tool for the process



Deliverables (4 Sprints)

- Started with three members and added a fourth member after the first sprint
- Scrum master (new faculty) learned process on the task
 - Help was provided with scrum process resources
- Updated EECS Graduate Programs Information Session Presentation and Flyer Announcement
- Updated EECS Graduate Programs Recruiting Flyer
- Strategies for reaching as many ERAU 3rd & 4th year students as possible
- Participation in state-wide recruiting events
- To date, promising number of applications in the accelerated programs

Faculty Search Committee (FSC)

- Goals:
 - Find and recommend qualified candidates to fill 3 Assistant Professor faulty lines (2 Cybersecurity Engineering, 1 Systems Engineering)
- Stakeholders: EECS Department, College of Engineering, Human Resource Department, University
- Scrum Framework
 - 4 Faculty members
 - 2 Week Sprints
 - 1-2 Meeting / Week
 - Sprint Retrospective / Lessons Learned (see next slide)
 - Used Scrumwise tool



Deliverables (3 Sprints)

- One member replaced to increase diversity of the team
- One member left the University and was replaced
- Team decided to revert to traditional process
 - User stories not readily distributed to individual team members
 - Every team member has to review every candidate
 - Every team member has to participate in every interview (schedule permitting)
- Potential for "mixed mode" in the future
 - Reviews and interviews not readily parsed into Agile Scrum
 - Campus interview preparations readily parsed into Agile Scrum
- 3 Candidates had on campus interviews, 3 more scheduled
- One offer made (Systems), one offer recommended (Cyber)



MSUASE Curriculum Committee (MCC)

- Goals:
 - Prepare a curriculum proposal (AP-04) for the MSUASE
 - Update curriculum based on inputs received from program review
 - Establish advisory board for MSUASE program
- Stakeholders: EECS Department, College of Engineering
- Scrum Framework
 - Four faculty members
 - Two-week sprints
 - End-of-Sprint business and next sprint planning every other week
 - Scrum master translates tentative plan and project backlog into sprint backlog



Deliverables (5 Sprints)

- After two sprints, during November department meeting, the team briefed the department on the approach being made, limitations/constraints set forth by the department stakeholders, and solicited feedback on potential courses to include
- At the December department meeting, the team presented the revised curriculum for departmental approval
- Starting the first week of February, the team has begun to write the AP-04 change proposal.
- Goals for end of year (by 15 May 2022),
 - Curriculum proposal ready (primary)
 - Establish advisory board (secondary)



Lessons Learned & Observations - 1

- Perfect Scrum implementation is not possible (has not been achieved) in an academic setting
 - "Scrum-lite" implementation is very well a possibility
- Well trained scrum masters is a MUST for successful implementation of the Scrum project
 - This results to (in) getting "Scrum-lite" closer to real Scrum
- Frequent interactions ("daily scrums", etc.) help the product delivery and efficient operation
 - Particularly when faculty get sidetracked with other more "important" tasks
- Transparency is very helpful for
 - Allowing people to know who is doing what
 - Requiring people to get things done and not wait until the last minute to deliver



Lessons Learned & Observations- 2

- Scrum teams built a stronger community of faculty during the COVID
- Little or no extra workload associated with scrum
 - This is not true for product owner
- Perhaps it is not realistic to have every member of the faculty to participate in Scrum implementation
 - None believers could become impediment for the project
- Any observation regarding how good/bad it was replacing team members? (other than the item above)
 - Believers tend to get overloaded and overassigned.

