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ABSTRACT

We report on the discovery of a faint (M_V ~ -10.6 ± 0.2) dwarf spheroidal galaxy on deep F606W and F814W Hubble Space Telescope images of a Virgo intracluster field. The galaxy is easily resolved in our images, as our color magnitude diagram (CMD) extends ≥1 magnitude beyond the tip of the red giant branch (RGB). Thus, it is the deepest CMD for a small dwarf galaxy inside a cluster environment. Using the colors of the RGB stars, we derive a metal abundance for the dwarf of [M/H] = -2.3 ± 0.3 and show that the metallicity dispersion is less than 0.6 dex at 95% confidence. We also use the galaxy’s lack of AGB stars and the absence of objects brighter than M_B = -4.1 ± 0.2 to show that the system is old (τ ≥ 10 Gyr). Finally, we derive the object’s structural parameters and show that the galaxy displays no obvious evidence of tidal threshing. Since the tip of the red giant branch distance [(m - M)_0 = 31.23 ± 0.17 or D = 17.6 ± 1.4 Mpc] puts the galaxy near the core of the Virgo cluster, one might expect the object to have undergone some tidal processing. Yet the chemical and morphological similarity between the dwarf and the dSph galaxies of the Local and M81 Group demonstrates that the object is indeed pristine and not the shredded remains of a much larger galaxy. We discuss the possible origins of this galaxy and suggest that it is just now falling into Virgo for the first time.

Subject headings: galaxies: abundances — galaxies: clusters: individual (Virgo) — galaxies: dwarf — galaxies: stellar content

1. INTRODUCTION

An understanding of the processes that affect the formation, evolution, and sometimes destruction of dwarf galaxies is critical to our overall picture of galaxy formation. Since dwarf galaxies are the most common type of galaxy in the universe, any model of galaxy formation is incomplete if we cannot understand these objects. Moreover, under the current paradigm of hierarchical structure formation (e.g., Kauffmann et al. 1993; Cole et al. 2000), massive galaxies are formed from the mergers of less massive objects. Thus, dwarf galaxies are an important building block of the universe, and an understanding of their properties will help illuminate the processes of galaxy formation more generally.

The faintest examples of dwarf galaxies are the dwarf spheroidals (dSphs). Using the nomenclature of Grebel et al. (2003), these are low-luminosity (M_V ≥ -14), low central surface brightness (μ_V ≥ 22 mag arcsec^-2) objects with shallow radial profiles and stellar populations that are dominated by old and intermediate-aged stars. 12 Most of what we know about dSphs comes from observations of ~20 galaxies in the low-density environment of the Local Group. From the data, it appears that dSphs are fairly homogeneous in nature, with small, dense dark matter halos and low metallicities that are in proportion to their luminosity (for a review see Mateo 1998).

Much less is known about dSphs in the cluster environment. Surveys in Virgo and Fornax have successfully found large numbers of dE and bright dSph galaxies (Binggeli et al. 1985; Ferguson 1989; Phillipps et al. 1998; Trentham & Hodgkin 2002; Sabatini et al. 2003), but there is still considerable uncertainty as to just how many of these lowest luminosity objects exist (e.g., Hilker et al. 2003). The scarce information we do have on cluster dSph galaxies suggests that their properties are similar to those of Local Group dwarfs (Hilker et al. 2005; Caldwell 2006). If correct, then such a result is somewhat surprising, since the tidal forces of the cluster environment are expected to take their toll on these objects. Indeed, Bekki et al. (2001) have shown that dSphs can be stripped of their outer stars in only a few Gyr. This would imply that both the morphology and chemistry of cluster dSphs should differ significantly from their Local Group counterparts.

Until recently, abundance studies of cluster dSph galaxies (based on photometry of individual stars) have been impractical. For example, although Harris et al. (1998) were able to resolve the brightest red giant branch (RGB) stars in a Virgo cluster dE,N galaxy using a 32 ks exposure with the Hubble Space Telescope’s Wide Field Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2), these data were limited to a single filter (F814W). However, with the advent of the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS), it is now possible to measure the broadband colors of red giants in these systems. Indeed, Caldwell (2006) has recently used the ACS to resolve the stellar

1 dSph galaxies are the faint end of the dwarf elliptical (dE) galaxy sequence, and when we note dE galaxies we are including those gas-poor dwarf galaxies more luminous than M_V = -14.
populations of four dE/dSph galaxies in the Virgo cluster and obtain color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) of their stars down to $I \sim 28$, making it the deepest study of individual stars in cluster dwarf galaxies. Their data appear to confirm that the dE/dSph galaxies of Virgo obey the same luminosity-metallicity relation as the dE/dSph galaxies of the Local Group.

Here, we present an extremely deep F606W and F814W study of a faint ($M_V \sim -10.6$) dSph that was serendipitously found during a survey of intracluster stars in Virgo (Williams et al. 2007a). We use the data, which reach $I \sim 28.4$, to show that the galaxy is remarkably similar to undisturbed dSphs in the Local Group, in both morphology and stellar content. In § 2, we describe both our data reductions and our artificial star experiments and present a color-magnitude diagram of the dSph’s red giant branch stars. In § 3, we use these data to derive the system’s distance, as well as its stellar population. We show that the galaxy has a mean metallicity that is very low ([M/H] $\sim -2.3 \pm 0.3$) and is composed entirely of stars older than 8–10 Gyr. We also derive the galaxy’s structural parameters and show that its central surface brightness and core radius are typical of dSphs in the Local Group. In § 4, we compare the galaxy’s properties to those of Local Group objects and attempt to investigate the effects of environment on its history. We show that the galaxy displays no obvious evidence of tidal disruption and has a mean metallicity appropriate for its luminosity. We conclude by considering the possible origin of this object.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Between 2005 May 30 and 2005 June 7 we used the Advanced Camera for Surveys on the Hubble Space Telescope to obtain deep F606W and F814W images of a Virgo intracluster field $[\alpha(2000) = 12^{h}28^{m}10.80^{s}, \delta(2000) = 12^{\circ}33^{\prime}20.0^{\prime\prime}$, orientation 112.58$^\circ$]. This field, which is projected $\sim 180$ kpc from M87 (assuming a mean Virgo distance $d = 16$ Mpc; e.g., Jerjen et al. 2004), was chosen to be near the cluster center, but away from any known object; the closest cataloged galaxy is the dwarf elliptical VCC 1051, which is $\sim 55$ (24 kpc) to the northwest; the nearest large galaxy, M86, is over 170 kpc away.

Our F814W ($I$-band) data consisted of 22 exposures with 26,880 s of integration time; the F606W (wide $I$-band) observation included 52 exposures totaling 63,440 s. These data were co-added and resampled using the MultiDrizzle$^{13}$ task within PyRAF$^{14}$ (Koekemoer et al. 2002) to produce images with 0.03$^\prime$ pixel$^{-1}$. The details of these reductions, and an image of the field illustrating its position in the cluster is given by Williams et al. (2007a).

Immediately after data acquisition, an inspection of our images revealed the presence of a previously unknown galaxy in the field. The object, whose center is located at $[\alpha(2000) = 12^{h}28^{m}15.5^{s}, \delta(2000) = +12^{\circ}33^{\prime}37.0^{\prime\prime}$ (uncertainty $\sim 0.2^\prime$) is $\sim 15^\prime$ in extent and clearly resolved into stars. A color image of the object is shown in Figure 1.

Our point source photometry was similar (but not identical) to that performed by Williams et al. (2007a). To avoid dealing with variations in the ACS point-spread function (PSF), we began by limiting our photometric reductions to a $2200 \times 1600$ pixel ($66^\prime \times 48^\prime$) region surrounding the galaxy. We then chose three bright, isolated stars on our F606W image and four bright isolated stars on the F814W image to define the PSFs and used DAOPHOT II and ALLSTAR to perform the photometry (Stetson 1987, 1992). Two DAOPHOT II/ALLSTAR passes were performed to detect as many of the stars as possible. The F606W and F814W data sets were then merged with a 1.5 pixel matching criterion to create a preliminary object catalog of $\sim 1000$ objects. Finally, we used the DAOPHOT $\chi$ and $r_2$ image parameters to remove background galaxies and severely blended stars from the list (Stetson 1987; Kron 1980).

Our instrumental magnitudes were placed on the Vegamag system using the prescription and zero points provided by Sirianni et al. (2005). To obtain the offset between our ALLSTAR magnitudes and the Vegamag system, we used the profiles of the PSF stars on each image; the rms scatter in this calibration was $\sim 0.02$ mag. We note that since the region under consideration is small (only $\sim 8\%$ of the entire ACS field), differential effects associated with charge transfer efficiency were negligible ($\sim 0.01-0.02$ mag) and were ignored.

2.1. Artificial Star Experiments

To ascertain the photometric uncertainties and incompleteness in our data, we used the traditional method of adding simulated stars of known brightness to the science frames and re-reducing them following the exact same procedures as for the original data. To each frame, we added 9000 stars (300 runs with 30 stars added per run) to an elliptical region centered on the dwarf galaxy and re-executed our two-pass DAOPHOT II/ALLSTAR photometric procedure, including the rejection of nonstellar sources and the merging of the data sets. By limiting the number of fake stars to 30 per run, we did not significantly alter the crowding conditions on the images; by defining the mean F606W $- F814W$ color of our artificial stars to be roughly equal to that of the observed objects (F606W $- F814W = 1.0$), we ensured that our experiments adequately reproduced losses during the catalog merging and image classification processes. Finally, by defining the stars’ luminosity function to be a rising exponential between $F814W = 22$ and 29, we improved our statistics at the faint end of the luminosity function, where the uncertainties are largest. The result of these experiments were the photometric completeness function.

---

$^{13}$ MultiDrizzle is a product of the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA for NASA: http://stsdas.stsci.edu/pydrizzle/multidrizzle.

$^{14}$ PyRAF is a product of the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA for NASA.
$f(m)$, defined as the ratio of the stars recovered to stars added regardless of the magnitude that was actually measured; $\Delta(m)$, the mean shift in magnitude of the stars [$\Delta(m) = m_{\text{out}} - m_{\text{in}}$]; and $\sigma(m)$, the dispersion about this mean. All of these functions were computed in 0.5 mag bins, to ease the computational requirements.

To ascertain each filter’s limiting magnitude (defined as the 50% completeness fraction), we performed similar artificial star tests using a variety of F606W – F814W input colors. The results from these experiments are illustrated in Figure 2. From these experiments, we obtained $m_{\text{lim}} = 29.1 \pm 0.1$ in F606W and $28.4 \pm 0.1$ in F814W, where the errors reflect both the rms scatter due to the use of different colors and the uncertainty associated with varying levels of crowding within the galaxy. As expected, these limits are slightly brighter than those found by Williams et al. (2007a), whose analysis focused on the uncrowded regions of the frame. Moreover, our classification criteria kept only those objects with the best photometric accuracy; obvious galaxies and extremely blended objects were removed from the catalog. As result, even at F814W = 27, ~10% of the artificial stars were not recovered. Fortunately, since we are not making any inferences based solely on the absolute number of stars detected, these missing objects do not affect our analyses in any significant way.

A color-magnitude diagram for our artificial stars is shown in Figure 3. The figure illustrates that the photometric errors increase with magnitude, so that by $m_{\text{lim}}$, the uncertainties are ~0.2 mag. The figure also shows that there is a small blueward shift at faintest levels; this is due to the uneven depth of the two images. (At the faintest levels, our F814W photometry only detects objects whose photometric errors are in the positive direction.) Fortunately, down to the limiting magnitudes of the frames, this color shift is <0.1 mag. These corrections, while small, will be accounted for in the following sections.

2.2. Color Magnitude Diagram

The color-magnitude diagram for all the stellar objects in our field is displayed in the left-hand panel of Figure 4. The diagram shows that most objects lie in a sequence near F606W – F814W ~ 1 that extends up to F814W ~ 27.1. However, there is a significant amount of scatter about this line. This scatter comes from two sources: the RGB stars that pervade Virgo’s intracluster space (Ferguson et al. 1998; Durrell et al. 2002; Williams et al. 2007a) and, to a lesser extent, unresolved background galaxies. To minimize the effects of these contaminants,
we identified a subsample of stars within the galaxy’s F814W \( \sim 26.5 \) mag arcsec\(^{-2}\) isophote. This subsample, which is defined via an ellipse with semimajor axis 8.1”, an axis ratio of \( b/a = 0.5 \), and a position angle of 43° (see § 3.4) should have minimal contamination; based on star counts in the rest of our field, only \( \sim 16 \) out of the 171 objects brighter than F814W = 28.4 should be contaminants. Thus, we can use the data from this 103 arcsec\(^2\) region to form a “clean” color-magnitude diagram of the galaxy’s stars. The elliptical region that defines our subsample is shown in Figure 1; the right-hand panel of Figure 4 shows its CMD.

3. ANALYSIS

The CMD in Figure 4 displays the hallmarks of a red giant branch population with F814W > 27. There are few stars brighter than this limit, and no evidence of any bright blue stars arising from a young stellar population. Since the data clearly extend more than 1 mag down the RGB, we can use the diagram to investigate both the distance and metallicity of the galaxy.

3.1. TRGB Distance

The tip of the red giant branch (TRGB) in the \( I \) band has repeatedly been shown to be an excellent distance indicator for old, metal-poor populations. In stellar systems with \( [M/H] < -1 \), the TRGB is essentially independent of both age and metallicity (e.g., Lee et al. 1993; Sakai et al. 1996; Ferrarese et al. 2000; Bellazzini et al. 2001; McConnachie et al. 2004; Mouchine et al. 2005; Caldwell 2006), so all that is required is a measure of the bright-end truncation of the RGB and an estimate of foreground reddening \( [E(B-V)] = 0.025 \) (Schlegel et al. 1998). Our only limitation is the small size of the galaxy, which restricts the number of stars available for analysis.

Although foreground/background contamination in our “dwarf-only” CMD (right panel of Fig. 4) is small, to make our TRGB detection as unambiguous as possible, we have further restricted our sample to objects with F606W – F814W < 1.3. Stars redder than this are not on the metal-poor RGB and are unlikely to be members of the galaxy. This makes very little difference to the analysis, since it excludes only 3 objects from consideration. Moreover, since the RGB tip for red, metal-rich stars is fainter than that for blue objects, eliminating these stars should not affect our distance determination. We note that the ACS F814W filter bandpass is very similar to that of the traditional \( I \) band; according to Sirianni et al. (2005), the transformation between these two systems is \( I = F814W – 0.008 \) (for stars with F606W – F814W = 1) in the Vegamag system.

Visual inspection of the Figure 4 shows a rather sharp transition at F814W \( \sim I \sim 27.1 \), albeit with a small number of stars. While the increased Poissonian noise from small numbers can lead to a possible systematic bias in \( I_{TRGB} \), Madore & Freeman (1995) have shown that with at least \( \sim 100 \) stars in the top magnitude of the RGB, such biases are small. Since our analysis includes \( \sim 130 \) objects, we are safely above this threshold.

Another possible source of systematic error is image crowding; in dense fields, stellar blends can make the RGB tip appear brighter than it should be. However, in our case, this effect should not be important. Our artificial star experiments demonstrate that the mean magnitude shift at F814W \( \sim 27 \) is very small, less than 0.01 mag. This result, which is confirmed by additional artificial star experiments with F814W < 27.1, is due principally to our image classification criteria, which rejects the most heavily blended objects (i.e., those most likely to bias the results toward brighter magnitudes).

A quantitative estimate of the RGB tip location can be derived using the Sobel edge-detection filter of Lee et al. (1993). This technique, which was originally used on binned functions, has since been modified to work on discrete stellar samples by treating each object as a Gaussian distribution with a dispersion equal to the expected photometric error (Sakai et al. 1996). We note that more rigorous techniques have been employed to derive \( I_{TRGB} \), such as the method of maximum likelihood (Méndez et al. 2002; Mouchine et al. 2005) and the second derivative of the luminosity function (Cioni et al. 2000). However, maximum likelihood methods are better for data sets where the RGB power-law slope is observed for over a magnitude. In our case, photometric incompleteness and image crowding make the use of the technique problematic. Moreover, the small number of stars limits the reliability of second derivative calculations. Because our background contamination is so small, the simpler Sobel edge-detection filter should be adequate for our purpose.

To employ the Sobel-edge detection method, we treated each star as a Gaussian distribution with a mean equal to its observed magnitude (plus the small \( \Delta m \) shift predicted by our artificial star experiments) and a dispersion, \( \sigma(m) \), equal to the photometric uncertainty at that magnitude. We then co-added the Gaussians to produce the luminosity function shown in the top panel of Figure 5. Even with the large photometric errors \( (\sigma \sim 0.07 \text{ at } F814W = 27) \), the sharp rise of the luminosity function is evident, as is a small contribution from brighter stars, which presumably arise from the galaxy’s AGB component (more on this below).

To determine the location of the tip of the red giant branch, we applied to our continuous luminosity function the edge-detection algorithm

\[
E(m) = \Phi(m + \sigma(m)) - \Phi(m - \sigma(m)),
\]

where \( \sigma(m) \) is the photometric error defined via our artificial star experiments (Sakai et al. 1996). This function, which is displayed in the bottom panel of Figure 5, reveals a rather wide peak near...
As noted earlier, much of the color spread in the dSph’s RGB is due to photometric errors. Thus, to measure metallicity, our approach was to derive a mean abundance for the galaxy and then attribute any additional scatter on the RGB to a dispersion in metallicity. Since the preceding analysis has shown that the galaxy contains no significant AGB population (also see § 3.3), we can ignore the alternative that the color spread is due to the presence of young or intermediate-age stars.

To derive the mean metallicity of the dSph galaxy, we compared the ridge line derived from the CMD in Figure 4 with the scaled solar abundance isochrones of L. Girardi (2005, private communication), which are the Padova isochrones (Girardi et al. 2005) scaled solar abundance isochrones of L. Girardi (2005, private communication), which are the Padova isochrones (Girardi et al. 2005). The points represent the observed mean red giant branch, while the error bars show the uncertainties in the mean. The left panel displays the raw measurements; the right panel shifts the observed colors by an amount predicted by our artificial star experiments.

Figure 6.—Comparison of observed red giant branch of our dSph galaxy with the 12.5 Gyr models of L. Girardi (2005, private communication). The solid lines are from left to right: $Z = 0.0001$, $0.0004$, and $0.001$. The dashed lines show the M92 fiducial ($Z = 0.0003$) from Brown et al. (2005). All the curves have been shifted to a distance modulus of $(m - M)_{F814W} = 31.29$ and reddened by $E(F606W - F814W) = 0.025$. The points represent the observed mean red giant branch, whereas the error bars show the uncertainties in the mean. The left panel displays the raw measurements; the right panel shifts the observed colors by an amount predicted by our artificial star experiments.
magnitudes $F814W$, derived from our artificial star experiments. The resulting value, $\chi^2/\nu = 1.16$ for 95 degrees of freedom, indicates that the true red giant branch is slightly wider, but not inconsistent with, the distribution expected from a single metallicity population. This same type of analysis also demonstrates that any additional (intrinsic) color dispersion on the red giant branch must be less than $\sigma_{F606W-F814W} \sim 0.09$ at the 95% confidence level. This limits the metallicity spread of our dSph galaxy to $\lesssim 0.6$ dex.

3.3. AGB Stars and the Age of the Stellar Population

The presence of stars brighter than the RGB tip have often been used to ascertain the existence of intermediate-age ($t < 10$ Gyr) stars; the more luminous the tip of the AGB, the younger the stellar population (e.g., Mould & Aaronson 1982; Armandroff et al. 1993; Caldwell et al. 1998). Our CMD shows that our dwarf spheroidal galaxy contains very few stars brighter than $F814W \sim 27.1$. However, since the number of stars present in the galaxy is small, it is difficult to make precise measurements of the AGB phase of evolution. Moreover, as Williams et al. (2007a) have demonstrated, our dwarf galaxy resides within a sea of intracluster stars, so any RGB stars foreground to the dwarf can masquerade as “brighter” AGB objects.

Nevertheless, it is possible to place a limit on the presence (or absence) of AGB stars in our galaxy. To do this, we used our derived distance to the dSph and the stars’ observed colors to estimate the bolometric magnitudes of the galaxy’s brightest objects. Specifically, we converted the stars’ $F606W - F814W$ colors to ($V-I$) using the color terms of Sirianni et al. (2005) and applied the Da Costa & Armandroff (1990) bolometric correction

$$BC_I = 0.881 - 0.243(V-I) + 0.6$$

(3)
to generate values of $M_{bol}$ for all objects fainter than $F814W = 26.0$. This procedure is uncertain at the $\sim 0.2$ mag level; in addition to $0.05$ mag errors associated with the color transforms and bolometric correction, our distance to the galaxy is uncertain by $\sim 0.15$ mag. Nevertheless, this calculation is sufficiently accurate for our purpose.

A histogram of the derived values of $M_{bol}$ for the brightest stars in the right-hand panel of Figure 4 is shown in Figure 7. Also plotted are the maximum attainable luminosities for AGB stars produced by 3, 5, 8, and 10 Gyr old populations (Rejkuba et al. 2006). As the figure illustrates, our sample of stars contains two objects that may indicate the existence of a (small) number of intermediate age objects. Both are sufficiently luminous ($\sim 0.8$ and $\sim 0.4$ mag brighter than the RGB tip) to exclude the possibility of image blending. However, both are also located on the very outskirts of the galaxy, where the likelihood of contamination is greatest. Since the density of intracluster stars (immediately surrounding the dwarf) with $26 < F814W < 27$ is 36 arcmin$^{-2}$, we should expect our sample to contain $\sim 0.05$ contaminating sources in this magnitude range. Thus, it is uncertain whether these two bright objects are actual members of the galaxy.

Two of the three remaining stars with $-4.2 < M_{bol} < -4.0$ are projected near the center of the galaxy and are thus likely to be associated with the dSph. If we apply the age-M$_{bol}$ relation of Rejkuba et al. (2006) to these objects, then the inferred age of the stellar population is more than 8–10 Gyr. This is similar to the ages of the old populations seen in Local Group dSphs (Mateo 1998). We cannot exclude the possibility that these objects may be blends due to the more crowded environment; if this is indeed the case, this would strengthen the conclusion that the galaxy contains no stars with ages less than $\sim 10$ Gyr.

3.4. Surface Brightness Profile

In order to determine the basic structural parameters for our dSph, we performed surface photometry on smoothed images of our frames. To do this, we began by increasing the per-pixel signal-to-noise ratio of the $F606W$ and $F814W$ images by rebinning the data 3 x 3. We then smoothed each frame with a circular Gaussian filter to produce images with a $\sim 0.6''$ point-spread function and fit ellipses to the dSph’s contours using the e11ipse task in IRAF/STSDAS (Busko 1996, based on the algorithms of Jedrzejewski 1987). To facilitate this fits, the center of the galaxy was kept fixed for each contour, but the position angle and ellipticity were allowed to vary to produce the best results. In addition, to aid in the measurement of this low surface brightness object, models were also computed for three nearby background galaxies, whose light contaminates the dSph’s outer contours. By subtracting these models from the original image, we were able to improve the fits for the target galaxy. Finally, to compute the surface brightness of each fitted contour, a sky background was determined using the median pixel value derived within “empty” regions of our frame. The resulting surface brightness profiles (out to a radius of 9.3’’) along the major axis are plotted in Figure 8 as a function of the geometric mean radius ($r = (ab)^{1/2}$).

Figure 8 confirms that our galaxy is indeed a dwarf spheroidal. The central surface brightness of the galaxy is typical of Local Group dwarf spheroidals (Mateo 1998), with $\mu_0 = 24.54 \pm 0.03$ in $F606W$ and $\mu_0 = 23.70 \pm 0.03$ in $F814W$. Moreover, as the dotted line in the figure demonstrates, the profile of the galaxy is well fit by a King (1962) model. To derive this line, we convolved a series of King (1962) profiles with the smoothed PSF, and fit the $F814W$ data using all measurements with errors less than 0.3 mag. This procedure yields an excellent fit $\chi^2/\nu = 7.9/20 = 0.40$, with a core radius of $r_c = 2.6'' \pm 0.7''$ and a tidal radius of $r_t = 10'' \pm 3''$, where the uncertainties are derived via Monte Carlo simulations (see Williams et al. 2007b). At the distance of the dwarf, these measurements correspond to $r_c = 220 \pm 80$ pc and $r_t = 850 \pm 250$ pc, where the errors include the uncertainties in both the fit and the distance.

We have also fit our surface brightness profiles with the commonly used Sérsic (1968) profile (see also Graham & Driver 2005), i.e., $\Sigma = \Sigma_0 e^{-(r/r_t)^{1/\nu}}$. Least-squares fits to the $F814W$ and $F606W$ data yield shape parameters very close to the $n \sim 0.5$ value expected for isothermal distributions; for the $F814W$ image,
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Unfortunately, although a few dwarf galaxies have been studied in other nearby groups, such as the M81 system (Caldwell et al. 1998; Karachentsev et al. 2005; Da Costa 2005), very little is known about dSphs in rich clusters.

Figure 9 compares the observed central surface brightness, core radius, and metallicity of our Virgo dSph with the corresponding properties of dSph galaxies in the Local and M81 Groups. The most striking aspect of the figure is how normal our dSph appears.

The galaxy’s structural parameters are similar to those of the Leo II and Ursa Minor dwarfs, i.e., typical of objects present in the local neighborhood. This result is consistent with the studies of Durrell (1997), Hilker et al. (2003), and Caldwell (2006), who also found no significant structural differences between the dwarfs of the Local Group and those of Virgo and Fornax. For comparison, the Virgo results from Caldwell (2006) are also plotted in Figure 9.

We reach a similar conclusion from the metallicity measurement of our dSph galaxy. Dwarf elliptical and spheroidal galaxies in the Local Group obey a well-established luminosity-metallicity relation (e.g., Smith 1985; Caldwell et al. 1992, 1998, 2006; Côté et al. 2000), where the more luminous galaxies are (on average) more metal-rich. This result, which is usually attributed to increased mass loss in systems with small potential wells (e.g., Dekel & Silk 1986), predicts the metallicity of our dSph galaxy fairly accurately. However, galaxies in clusters are expected to lose much of their mass to intrachuster space via tidal encounters with other galaxies and with the cluster as a whole (e.g., Moore et al. 1996, 1998; Bekki et al. 2001). If our dSph had been exposed to these forces for any length of time, we would expect its metallicity to be more in line with that of a higher luminosity object. This does not appear the case; if anything, our galaxy’s metallicity lies below the mean luminosity-metallicity relation. This suggests that most of the galaxy’s original stars are still bound to the system, or perhaps the luminosity-metallicity relation differs in the cluster environment.
Fig. 10.—Spatial distribution (in pixels) for those stellar objects near our dwarf galaxy with the colors and magnitudes of metal-poor red giant stars (i.e., $0.4 < F606W - F814W < 1.3$ and $26.8 < F814W < 28.0$). Each pixel represents 0.03", or ~2.2 pc, at the distance of Virgo. There is no obvious evidence for the tidal shredding of the dwarf galaxy.

The structure of the galaxy appears to support this conclusion. Tidal features are often detected in dSph galaxies via photometric deviations from a King (1962) profile (e.g., Irwin & Hatzidimitriou 1995; Palma et al. 2003; Majewski et al. 2005). As Figure 8 demonstrates, our Virgo dwarf is well fit by a King model all the way down to $\mu_V \sim 27.5$ ($\mu_I \sim 26.5$), where the galaxy’s surface brightness merges into that of the intracluster background (Williams et al. 2007a). Moreover, a plot of $(x, y)$-positions using only those stars with colors and magnitudes similar to those of the galaxy’s RGB objects (i.e., $0.4 < F606W - F814W < 1.3$ and $26.8 < F814W < 28.0$) reveals no obvious evidence for tidal distortion (Fig. 10). We note that our observations are not deep enough to see any low-level tidal disruption of this dSph galaxy; the large streams seen in the Coma and Centaurus Clusters are only visible at surface brightnesses below $\mu_V \sim 26$ (Trentham & Mobasher 1998; Gregg & West 1998; Caláneco-Roldán et al. 2000), and the tails associated with the Local Group galaxies Carina and Ursa Minor are at $\mu_V \geq 29$ (Palma et al. 2003; Majewski et al. 2005). Thus, we cannot unambiguously state whether the dSph galaxy has undergone any tidal stripping. Nevertheless, the metallicity arguments above suggest that the observed dSph is not the low-luminosity remains of a much more massive system (e.g., as expected in galaxy harassment scenarios).

Tidal threshing is not the only process that could affect dwarf galaxies in the cluster environment. In the Local Group, ram pressure stripping may be a prime factor in the evolution of dSph galaxies (Lin & Faber 1983; van den Bergh 1994; Grebel et al. 2003; Marcolini et al. 2003). Indeed, the $N$-body simulations of Mayer et al. (2006) suggest that both tides and ram pressure are needed to explain the properties of Local Group objects. If true, then the effects of intracluster gas should be magnified in the denser regions of the Virgo Cluster core. If low-luminosity dwarfs can barely hold onto their gas (and enrich themselves) in the Local Group, then Virgo dSphs should easily be stripped by the Virgo intracluster medium. The result would be a dSph galaxy much like those observed in the Local Group (as suggested by the structural similarities), but with less chemical enrichment and a very narrow giant branch.

Our dSph does show some evidence for enhanced pressure stripping; as Figure 9 shows, the galaxy’s mean metallicity is slightly less than that predicted from dSph observations in the Local and M81 Groups. However, our RGB photometry is not precise enough to test for self-enrichment. Local Group dwarfs typically have metallicity dispersions of $\geq 0.3$ dex (Mateo 1998). Our data, although consistent with a zero abundance spread, is best fit with an RGB color dispersion of $\sigma_{F606W - F814W} = 0.05$ ($\sim 0.4$ dex) and still admits a metallicity spread as great as $\sigma_{F606W - F814W} = 0.09$ ($\sim 0.6$ dex). Thus, while the data are suggestive, we cannot say for certain that the Virgo environment has had any additional effect on the dSph’s chemical properties. Deeper photometric studies of other Virgo dSph galaxies would be very useful in ascertaining any differences between the chemical evolution of low-luminosity dwarf galaxies in clusters and those in the group environment.

4. DISCUSSION

From the analyses in §3, it is clear that the dSph galaxy in our field lies in the Virgo cluster and appears relatively undisturbed by its surroundings. Its stellar population is very metal-poor ($[M/H] \sim -2.3$) and old, with no indication of stars younger than $\sim 10$ Gyr. In this sense it is similar to the purely old Local Group dSph galaxies of Ursa Minor and Draco. It is also similar to the dwarf galaxies recently observed by Caldwell (2006) in the Virgo Cluster core; their central surface brightnesses and metallicities are also included in Figure 9. They, too, obey the luminosity-metallicity relation for small galaxies and appear undisturbed by the cluster environment.

The appearance of such “pristine” old, low-luminosity dwarf galaxies within the Virgo Cluster begs a question: how do these objects last so long in the cluster? Small galaxies should be easy prey for the tidal forces associated with the cluster environment (Moore et al. 1998), and, indeed, the velocity distribution of Virgo dE galaxies supports the idea that at least some fraction of the cluster’s dwarfs are the stripped remains of larger, late-type systems (e.g., Conselice et al. 2001). Furthermore, it is plausible that many of Virgo’s metal-poor intracluster stars (Williams et al. 2007a) originated in such galaxies. Nevertheless, it seems clear that some dwarfs remain intact; perhaps these are dwarfs that have recently fallen into the cluster or have rather high $M/L$.

A weak constraint on the history of our dSph galaxy can be obtained from its observed tidal radius. From King (1962), the limiting radius of the galaxy should be

$$r_s = R_p \left[ \frac{M_p}{M_C(3 + \epsilon)} \right]^{1/3},$$

where $M_p$ is the mass of the galaxy, $M_C$ is the mass of the cluster, $R_p$ is the galaxy’s pericenter radius, and $\epsilon$ is the eccentricity of the orbit. The mass of the galaxy can be obtained by analogy with Local Group objects; according to Mateo (1998), dwarf galaxies with $M_V \sim 10.6$ have mass-to-light ratios of $M/L_V \sim 10$, implying $M_\odot \sim 1.5 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. The Virgo cluster mass is similarly obtainable from the X-ray observations of Nulsen & Böhringer (1995); their data give a core radius of 56 kpc, a mass per unit length of $12 \times 10^{10} M_\odot \text{ kpc}^{-1}$, and a total mass within 180 kpc (the projected cluster distance of the galaxy) of $2 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$. A value of $\epsilon \sim 0.5$ then excludes any orbit that extends into the inner $\sim 80$ kpc of the cluster.

Of course, there are any number of ways to satisfy this condition. For instance, the dSph may just now be falling into Virgo for the first time. Cepheids, the planetary nebula luminosity function, the surface brightness fluctuation method, and the tip of the red giant branch all place the core of Virgo at a distance of between 14.5 and 17 Mpc (Freedman et al. 2001; Jacoby et al.
created many new stars in the past ~8 Gyr. Thus, if gas were detected, it would not affect our basic conclusions.

5. SUMMARY

We report the discovery of a dwarf spheroidal galaxy (with $M_{\text{V}} = -10.6 \pm 0.2$) on deep ACS F606W and F814W images of an intracluster field in the Virgo Cluster. The distance to the galaxy is $17.6 \pm 1.4$ Mpc based on the location of its TRGB; this value is consistent with a location close to the core of the Virgo cluster, although we cannot rule out the possibility that this object is part of the M86 subcluster falling into Virgo from behind. The galaxy is clearly resolved into stars, and our observations extend more than a magnitude down its red giant branch.

We find that the galaxy is composed entirely of old stars (>8–10 Gyr) and is very metal-poor, with $[\text{M/H}] = -2.3 \pm 0.3$. This metallicity is consistent with that expected for a galaxy of its luminosity; if anything, the galaxy lies slightly below the mean luminosity-metallicity relation. Thus, the object is not the remains of a larger galaxy that has been tidally stripped or harassed. Moreover, the dSph's structural properties are similar to those derived for dwarf galaxies in the Local and M81 groups, suggesting that many of the same physical processes that govern the formation and evolution of local dSphs also apply to this Virgo Cluster object. Based on this similarity, we suggest that our dSph galaxy is likely on its initial infall into the center of the cluster.
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1990; Tonry et al. 2001; Jensen et al. 2003; Jerjen et al. 2004; Caldwell 2006). Our dSph distance estimate of 17.6 ± 1.4 Mpc is consistent with this range of values, but it also admits the possibility that the galaxy is slightly behind the main body of the cluster. If so, then the dSph’s location may associate it with the infalling M86 system, which is ~3 Mpc beyond M87 (Böhringer et al. 1994; Jacoby et al. 1990; Tonry et al. 2001; Jerjen et al. 2004). If this scenario is correct, then our dwarf galaxy formed long ago in a smaller cluster/group, and the shredding of the galaxy is only now about to begin.

If the dwarf did originate and live much of its life in the cluster environment, then it would have to have a high mass to withstand the tidal forces of Virgo’s densest regions. This would require a mass-to-light ratio that is much larger than the “normal” value of $M/L_Y \approx 10$ observed in the Local Group. Cold dark matter (CDM) models do suggest that dwarf galaxies can form out of dark matter halos much more massive than dynamical measurements suggest (i.e., $M \sim 10^9-10^{10} M_\odot$; e.g., Stoehr et al. 2002; Hayashi et al. 2003; Kravtsov et al. 2004), and such objects would be able to resist tidal disruption. However, galaxies with large dark matter halos would not easily be stripped of their ISM by ram pressure or galactic winds. Mori & Burkert (2000) point out that while low-mass ($M \sim 10^8-10^{10} M_\odot$) objects will rapidly lose their gas to the intracluster medium, more massive systems are likely to hold on to at least some of their interstellar medium. As a result, their stellar populations should be younger and more metal-rich than their lower mass counterparts. While it is beyond the scope of this paper to investigate the precise masses and conditions under which early gas removal is expected, the old, metal-poor population of our dwarf spheroidal argues against the presence of a very massive dark halo. The existence of such a massive halo could, however, be tested via high-resolution spectroscopic observations with the next generation of large telescopes.

Unfortunately, our lack of knowledge about the dwarf’s current location and orbital characteristics precludes our placing a strong constraint on its origin. While we have no data on the presence (or absence) of H I gas in the galaxy, that gas has certainly not
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