Elliptic Solutions and Solitary Waves of a Higher Order KdV-BBM Long Wave Equation

Stefan C. Mancas
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, mancass@erau.edu

Ronald Adams
Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, adamsr25@erau.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.erau.edu/publication

Part of the Mathematics Commons

Scholarly Commons Citation

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact commons@erau.edu.
Elliptic solutions and solitary waves of a higher order KdV–BBM long wave equation

Stefan C. Mancas∗ and Ronald Adams†

Department of Mathematics, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, FL. 32114-3900, U.S.A.

We provide conditions for existence of hyperbolic, unbounded periodic and elliptic solutions in terms of Weierstrass \( \wp \) functions of both third and fifth-order KdV–BBM (Korteweg-de Vries–Benjamin, Bona & Mahony) regularized long wave equation. An analysis for the initial value problem is developed together with a local and global well-posedness theory for the third-order KdV–BBM equation. Traveling wave reduction is used together with zero boundary conditions to yield solitons and periodic unbounded solutions, while for nonzero boundary conditions we find solutions in terms of Weierstrass elliptic \( \wp \) functions. For the fifth-order KdV–BBM equation we show that a parameter \( \gamma = \frac{1}{12} \), for which the equation has a Hamiltonian, represents a restriction for which there are constraint curves that never intersect a region of unbounded solitary waves, which in turn shows that only dark or bright solitons and no unbounded solutions exist. Motivated by the lack of a Hamiltonian structure for \( \gamma \neq \frac{1}{12} \) we develop \( H^k \) bounds, and we show for the non Hamiltonian system that dark and bright solitons coexist together with unbounded periodic solutions. For nonzero boundary conditions, due to the complexity of the nonlinear algebraic system of coefficients of the elliptic equation we construct Weierstrass solutions for a particular set of parameters only.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [1], the authors have derived a second-order mathematical description of long-crested water waves propagating in one direction, which is analogous to a first-order approximation of a KdV–BBM-type equation, which has the advantage that its solutions are expected to be more accurate on a much longer time scale [1, 2].

The wave motion of crested waves propagate in the direction \( x \), the bottom is flat with undisturbed depth \( h_0 \), the undisturbed and dependent variable is \( u(x; t) = h(x; t) - h_0 \), where \( h(x; t) \) is the height of the water column at the horizontal location \( x \) on the bottom at time \( t \). The fact that the waves are long-crested is based on the assumption that the wave amplitudes and wavelengths are small and large as compared to the depth \( h_0 \) of the flat bottom. If \( A \) is the amplitude of the wave with wave length \( \lambda \) then \( \alpha = A/h_0 \ll 1, \beta = h_0^2/\lambda^2 \ll 1 \). The Stokes’ number \( S = \alpha/\beta \approx 1 \) means that the nonlinear and dispersive effects are balanced. The parameter \( 1/\alpha \approx 1/\beta \) is the so-called Boussinesq time for which models like BBM and KdV are known to provide good approximations of the unidirectional solutions of the full water wave problem [3, 4]. In ocean wave modeling, waves need to be followed on a time longer than Boussinesq time scale, and hence a higher order approximation to the water wave problem would be valid on the scale \( 1/\beta^2 \).

In their description [2] dissipation and surface tension are neglected, the fluid is incompressible and irrotational. The velocity field is provided by the Euler equations, and the boundary behavior by the Bernoulli condition. The starting point of their description was essentially the papers [3, 10], where a variant of the Boussinesq coupled system was derived for both first and second order in the small parameters \( \alpha, \beta \) for which the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem was studied in [10, 14]. From the first-order system they derived the mixed third-order KdV–BBM type equation

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_t + u_x + \frac{3}{2} \alpha uu_x + \nu/\beta u_{xxx} - \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu \right) \beta u_x = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

while from the second-order Boussinesq system they derived the unidirectional model, a mixed fifth-order KdV–BBM
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type equation
\[ u_t + u_x - \frac{\beta}{6} u_{xxx} + \delta_1 \beta^2 u_{xxxx} + \delta_2 \beta^2 u_{xxxxx} + \frac{3\nu}{2} u u_x + \alpha \beta \gamma (u^2)_{xxx} - \frac{1}{12} \alpha \beta (u_x^2)_x - \frac{1}{4} \alpha^2 (u^3)_x = 0. \] (1.2)

The small parameters \( \alpha, \beta \) of both equations can be eliminated by reverting to non-dimensional form, denoted by tilde where \( \tilde{x} = \frac{x}{\sqrt{\nu}}, \tilde{t} = \frac{t}{\sqrt{\nu}} \) and \( u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \hat{u} (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \) and by suppressing the tilde yields to

\[ u_t + u_x + \frac{3}{2} u u_x + \nu u_{xxx} - \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu \right) u_{xxx} = 0, \] (1.3)

for Eq. (1.1), and to

\[ u_t + u_x - \frac{1}{6} u_{xxx} + \delta_1 u_{xxxxx} + \delta_2 u_{xxxxx} + \left( \frac{3}{4} u^2 + \gamma (u^2)_{xxx} - \frac{1}{12} u_x^2 - \frac{1}{4} u^3 \right)_x = 0, \] (1.4)

for Eq. (1.2). Notice that Eq. (1.3) is the BBM equation when \( \nu = 0 \) and the KdV equation when \( \nu = \frac{1}{6} \). As it was explained in [2] Eq. (1.3) can be derived by expanding the Dirichlet-Neumann operator [13], but this does not guarantee that the dispersion relation obtained fits the full dispersion to the order of the terms being kept, nor does it guarantee that the resulting equation provides a well-posed problem. In [16] and [17] this technique was applied to a deep-water situation where the resulting system is Hamiltonian, while the initial-value problem for it is ill-posed.

Higher-order versions of the KdV equation are not unique. Near-identity transformations can be used to make the higher-order terms take any desired form, as shown by the authors of [18–21]. Any second-order KdV equation can be mapped asymptotically to integrable versions in the KdV hierarchy, such as the KdV equation itself. The same issue is also well-known for internal waves, see [22].

II. WELL-POSEDNESS AND ENERGY ESTIMATES

The local well-posedness of Eq. (1.3) is established in [17] using a contraction mapping type argument combined with multilinear estimates. The global well-posedness of Eq. (1.3) is established in [14] in the spaces \( H^s, s \geq 1 \) which relies on the local results with energy type estimates. The issue of global well-posedness for the fifth-order Eq. (1.4) is addressed in [2]. There, the assumption that \( \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \) plays a crucial role in obtaining a conserved quantity which is used in the proof of global well-posedness for Eq. (1.1). Higher-order equations may not be Hamiltonian, but this is easily remedied through such a near-identity transformation. Thus, the restriction that \( \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \) in Eq. (1.3) in order for this equation to be Hamiltonian well-known and the remedy is to make such a transformation. In [14] we focus our attention on the traveling wave reduction of Eq. (1.1), and show that traveling wave solutions lie in \( H^k \) for \( k \geq 1 \in \mathbb{N} \) with no assumption on the parameter \( \gamma \). For exact solutions on other types of KdV–BBM equations see [23, 24] with all the references therein.

A. Local well-posedness

We are interested in the local well-posedness associated to the Cauchy problem (1.3) for an initial profile \( u(x,0) = u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \). The local well-posedness for the fifth-order Eq. (1.4) is established in [2], and we follow these techniques in establishing the local well-posedness of the third-order Eq. (1.3).

Taking the Fourier transform of Eq. (1.3) about \( x \) defined by \( \hat{u} (\xi, t) = \mathcal{F} \{ u(x, t) \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t) e^{-i\xi x} \, dx \) yields

\[ \hat{u}_t + i\xi \hat{u} + \frac{3}{4} i\xi \hat{u}^2 - i\nu \xi^3 \hat{u} + \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu \right) \xi^2 \hat{u} = 0, \] (II.1)

which gives

\[ \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu \right) \xi^2 + 1 \right) \hat{u}_t = \xi (1 - \nu \xi^2) \hat{u} + \frac{3}{4} \xi \hat{u}^2. \] (II.2)

We assume that \( \nu < \frac{1}{6} \), therefore \( \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu \right) \xi^2 + 1 \) is positive and we have the equivalent equation

\[ i\hat{u}_t = \frac{\xi (1 - \nu \xi^2)}{1 - \nu \xi^2} \xi^2 + 1 \hat{u} + \frac{3\xi}{4 \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu \right) \xi^2 + 1} \hat{u}^2. \] (II.3)
Define the following Fourier multipliers \( \phi(\partial_x) \), \( \psi(\partial_x) \) based on their symbols

\[
\phi(\partial_x)\hat{f}(\xi) = \phi(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi), \quad \psi(\partial_x)\hat{f}(\xi) = \psi(\xi)\hat{f}(\xi),
\]

where \( \phi(\xi) = \frac{\xi(1-\nu^2)}{(\xi^2-\nu^2)^{\nu+1}}, \psi(\xi) = \frac{\nu^2}{\xi^2+1} \). We can now reformulate the Cauchy problem in the following form

\[
\begin{cases}
iu_t = \phi(\partial_x)u + \psi(\partial_x)u^2 \\
u(x,0) = u_0(x),
\end{cases}
\]

(II.4)

and we solve the associated linear problem

\[
\begin{cases}
iu_t = \phi(\partial_x)u \\
u(x,0) = u_0(x),
\end{cases}
\]

(II.5)

where the solution is given by \( u(t) = S(t)u_0 \) with \( \hat{S(t)}u_0 = e^{-i\phi(\xi)\xi^2t}\hat{u}_0 \) defined by its Fourier transform. Duhamel’s principle gives us the integral form of the IVP (II.4):

\[
u(x,t) = S(t)u_0 - i \int_0^t S(t-s)\psi(\partial_x)u^2(x,s)ds.
\]

The operator \( S(t) \) is a unitary operator on \( H^s \) for any \( s \in \mathbb{R}, \|S(t)u_0\|_{H^s} = \|u_0\|_{H^s} \). The local existence is established via a contraction mapping argument for the space \( C([0,T];H^s) \). We next need an estimate for the quadratic term in Eq. (II.4).

**Lemma 1** For any \( s \geq 0 \) there exists a constant \( C = C_s \) such that the following inequality

\[
\|\psi(\partial_x)u^2\|_{H^s} \leq C_s \|u\|_{H^s}^2,
\]

(II.6)

holds for the operator \( \psi(\partial_x) \).

**Proof** The proof relies on a bilinear estimate, see Lemma 3.1 in [2].

With the above estimate, and \( S(t) \) being a unitary operator we show that the operator

\[
\Psi u(x,t) = S(t)u_0 - i \int_0^t S(t-s)\psi(\partial_x)u^2(x,s)ds,
\]

(II.7)

defines a contraction mapping on a closed ball \( \mathcal{B}_r \) with radius \( r > 0 \) centered at the origin in \( C([0,T];H^s) \). First we show that \( \Psi \) maps \( \mathcal{B}_r \) to itself

\[
\|\Psi u(x,t)\|_{H^s} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^s} + CT\|\psi(\partial_x)u^2\|_{C([0,T];H^s)} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^s} + CT\|u\|_{C([0,T];H^s)}^2.
\]

Since \( u \in \mathcal{B}_r \) it follows that

\[
\|\Psi u(x,t)\|_{H^s} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^s} + CTr^2.
\]

In order for \( \Psi \) to map \( \mathcal{B}_r \) onto itself we choose \( r = 2\|u_0\|_{H^s} \) and \( T = \frac{1}{4C^2} \).

Next, we show that \( \Psi \) is a contraction under the same choices of \( r \) and \( T \) by considering

\[
\Psi u(x,t) - \Psi v(x,t) = -i \int_0^t S(t-s)\psi(\partial_x)(u^2 - v^2)(x,s)ds,
\]

(II.8)

which gives

\[
\|\Psi u(x,t) - \Psi v(x,t)\|_{C([0,T];H^s)} \leq 2CT\|u - v\|_{C([0,T];H^s)} < \|u - v\|_{C([0,T];H^s)}.
\]

(II.9)

We summarize all of the above in the following theorem:
Theorem 1 For any $s \geq 1$, and given $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a time $T = T(\|u_0\|_{H^s})$, and a unique function $u \in C([0, T], H^s)$ which is a solution to the IVP (I.3) with initial data $u_0$. The solution has continuous dependence on $u_0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 1 The following properties of $u(x, t)$ follow from the proof of Theorem 1.

1. The maximal existence time $T = T_s$ of the solution satisfies
   \[ T \geq \bar{T} = \frac{1}{4C_s} \|u_0\|_{H^s}. \]
   \hspace{1cm} (II.10)

2. We can bound the $H^s$ norm of the solution,
   \[ \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^s} \leq r = 2 \|u_0\|_{H^s} \]
   for all $t \in [0, \bar{T}]$ where $\bar{T}$ is defined in (II.10).

B. Global well-posedness

The goal of this subsection is to extend the local well-posedness established in §II.A for Eq. (I.3), and we derive energy estimates to obtain a global well-posedness result in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s \geq 1$. From the local theory the solution is only as smooth as the initial data, but one can make computations with smoother solutions and then pass to the limit of rougher initial data using the continuous dependence result by [27]. Multiplying Eq. (I.3) by $u$ and integrating by parts over the spatial variable $x$ we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ u^2 + \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu \right) u_x^2 \right] dx = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{6} u^2 + \frac{1}{2} u^3 + \nu \left( uu_{xx} - \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \right) \right)|_{\mathbb{R}}. \]

Therefore, if we assume that $u, u_x, u_{xx} \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, the energy of the third-order equation which is a conserved quantity is

\[ E_3(u(\cdot, t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ u^2 + \left( \frac{1}{6} - \nu \right) u_x^2 \right] dx = \text{const}, \]

and for $\nu < \frac{1}{6}$ this is equivalent to the $H^1$ norm of $u$. It is worth noting that a similar conserved quantity can be derived for Eq. (I.4), again by using parts to obtain

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ u^2 + \frac{1}{6} u_x^2 + \delta_1 u_{xx}^2 \right] dx = \left( \gamma - \frac{1}{12} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^3 dx, \]

so the energy is conserved when $\gamma = \frac{1}{12}$, whereas the energy of the fifth-order equation is

\[ E_5(u(\cdot, t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ u^2 + \frac{1}{6} u_x^2 + \delta_1 u_{xx}^2 \right] dx = \text{const}. \]

For $\gamma = \frac{1}{12}$, Eq. (II.14) is used in [2] to establish global well-posedness for Eq. (I.4) as we will see in the following lemma.

Lemma 2 There is a second conserved quantity

\[ \Phi(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} -\frac{1}{2} u_x^2 - \frac{1}{2} u^3 + \frac{\nu}{2} u_{xx}^2 dx, \]

which can be used to express the system in Hamiltonian form

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla E_3(u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \nabla \Phi(u), \]

where $\nabla$ is the Euler derivative.
This leads us to the following global well-posedness result.

**Lemma 3** Let $s \geq 1$ and suppose $\nu < \frac{1}{6}$. Then the IVP for Eq. (I.3) is globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$.

**Proof** The global well-posedness will be the consequence of an application of the local theory, and the estimate implied by the conserved quantity (II.12). To obtain global well-posedness in $H^k$, $k \geq 2 \in \mathbb{N}$ we proceed by induction on $k$. Assume $u_0 \in H^2$, then there exists a $T = T(u_0) > 0$ such that $u \in C([0, T]; H^2)$. Note that if one obtains an $H^k$ bound which is finite in time over finite time intervals then iterating would yield global bounds for the global solution $u$. To achieve an $H^2$ bound, differentiate Eq. (I.3) and multiply by $u_x$ to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^2 + \left(\frac{1}{6} - \nu\right) u_x^2 \, dx + \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_x^3 \, dx = 0. \quad \text{(II.16)}$$

Applying the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality we have

$$\|u_x\|_{L^3}^3 \leq C \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \|u\|_{L^1},$$

and from the energy (II.12), together with $\|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \leq E_3(u_0)$ yields

$$\|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int_0^t \|u_{xx}\|_{L^2}^2 \, dx.$$

Thus, Gronwall’s inequality gives

$$\|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 e^{Ct},$$

from which the desired $H^2$-bound follows. Using an inductive argument by assuming we have an $H^k$ bound and deriving an analogous estimate as above for $\|u\|_{H^{k+1}}$ we obtain an $H^{k+1}$ bound for $u$ assuming the initial datum lies in $H^{k+1}$. For regularity in the fractional spaces $H^s$ for $s \geq 1$, see the nonlinear interpolation theory in [28, 29].

**C. $H^k$ bounds for traveling wave solutions**

Notice that Eq. (I.3) becomes an obstruction to establishing global well-posedness of Eq. (I.3) for $\gamma \neq \frac{1}{12}$, so instead of dealing with the global well-posedness of Eq. (I.3) for $\gamma = \frac{1}{12}$ we turn our focus to traveling wave solutions of (I.3) while the derivation of the reduction is done in (III). Under that assumption we derive $H^k$ bounds on $u$ where $k \geq 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

In order to establish the $H^1$ bound we need the following identity for $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\xi}^3$. Multiply Eq. (III.4) first by $u_\xi$ and then integrate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\delta_2 - c \delta_1) u_{\xi} u_{\xi} u_\xi + \frac{c}{6} u_{\xi} u_\xi u_\xi + (2\gamma - \frac{1}{12}) u_\xi^3 + 2\gamma u_{\xi} u_\xi u_\xi \, d\xi =$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{4} u^3 u_\xi - \frac{5}{4} u^2 u_\xi - (1 - c) u u_\xi + B_1 \xi u_\xi \, d\xi =$$

$$= \frac{1}{12} u^4 - \frac{1}{4} u^3 - \frac{1}{4} u_\xi^2 + B_1 u \bigg|_{\mathbb{R}} = 0, \quad \text{(II.17)}$$

and using parts we obtain

$$\left(\gamma - \frac{1}{12}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_{\xi}^3 \, d\xi = 0. \quad \text{(II.18)}$$

Appealing to Eq. (II.13) we see that for traveling wave solutions $u(x - ct)$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[u^2 + \frac{1}{6} u_x^2 + \delta_1 u_{xx}^2\right] \, dx = 0. \quad \text{(II.19)}$$

Therefore $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 + \frac{1}{6} u_{\xi}^2 + \delta_1 u_{\xi}^2 \, d\xi = \text{const}$ yielding an $H^2$ bound for traveling wave solutions. To prove regularity for $H^k$ for $k \geq 3 \in \mathbb{N}$ we use induction on $k$. Differentiate Eq. (III.4), multiply by $u_{\xi}$ and integrate to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\delta_2 - c \delta_1) u_{\xi \xi \xi} - \frac{6}{6} u_{\xi}^2 - 2\gamma u_{\xi} u_{\xi} \, d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3}{4} u^2 u_{\xi} - \frac{3}{2} u_{\xi}^2 - (1 - c) u_{\xi}^2 \, d\xi, \quad \text{(II.20)}$$

and from the energy (II.12), together with $\|u_{\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq E_3(u_0)$ yields

$$\|u_{\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|u_{\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int_0^t \|u_{\xi\xi}\|_{L^2}^2 \, dx.$$
which leads to
\[
(\delta_2 - c\delta_1) \int B u^2 \, d\xi = \int \left( \frac{4}{3} u^2 \xi^2 - \frac{1}{2} u \xi^2 - (1 - c)u^2 + \frac{2\gamma u}{\xi} + 2\gamma u \xi u_x^2 \right) \, d\xi. \tag{II.21}
\]
The above terms can be systematically bounded as follows
\[
\int u^2 \xi^2 \, d\xi \leq \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2 < \infty, \tag{II.22}
\]
\[
\int u \xi u_x^2 \, d\xi \leq \|u\|_{L^2} \|\xi u_x^2\|_{L^2} < \infty, \tag{II.23}
\]
\[
\int u \xi \xi u_x^2 \, d\xi \leq \|u\|_{L^2} \|\xi \xi u_x^2\|_{L^2} < \infty. \tag{II.24}
\]
Combining Eqs. (II.22)-(II.24) together with Eq. (II.21) we have the desired \(H^3\)-bound. Using an inductive argument by assuming we have an \(H^k\) bound and deriving an analogous estimate for \(\|u\|_{H^{k+1}}\), we obtain an \(H^{k+1}\) bound for \(u\). To obtain regularity in the fractional spaces \(H^s\) for \(s \geq 2\), see the nonlinear interpolation theory in [28, 29].

Thus, we just proved:

**Theorem 2** Assume \(\delta_1 > 0\), let \(\gamma \neq \frac{1}{27}\) and \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). If the solution \(u(\xi)\) to the fifth-order KdV–BBM Eq. (III.2) is bounded, then \(u(\xi)\) lies in \(H^k(\mathbb{R})\) when \(k \in \mathbb{N}\).

### III. TRAVELING WAVE SOLUTIONS

To find the traveling wave solutions of Eqs. (III.1)-(III.4) we use the well-known traveling wave anstaz \(u(\xi) = u(x - ct)\), where \(c\) is the velocity of the unidirectional wave in the \(x\) direction at time \(t\) which reduces to the third-order KdV–BBM

\[
(1 - c)u_x + \frac{3}{4}(u^2)_x + \left[ (1 - c)\nu + \frac{c}{6} \right] u_{\xi\xi\xi} = 0, \tag{III.1}
\]
and the fifth-order KdV–BBM, respectively

\[
(1 - c)u_x + \frac{c}{6} u_{\xi\xi\xi} + (\delta_2 - c\delta_1) u_{\xi\xi\xi\xi\xi} + \frac{3}{4}(u^2)_x + \gamma (u^2)_{\xi\xi} - \frac{1}{12}(u^2)_x - \frac{1}{4}(u^3)_x = 0. \tag{III.2}
\]
By integrating once both Eqs. (III.1)-(III.2) we obtain the second and fourth order equations

\[
\left[ (1 - c)\nu + \frac{c}{6} \right] u_{\xi\xi} = -\frac{3}{4} u^2 + (c - 1)u + A_1, \tag{III.3}
\]
\[
(\delta_2 - c\delta_1) u_{\xi\xi\xi} + \frac{c}{6} u_{\xi\xi} + \left( 2\gamma - \frac{1}{12} \right) u_x^2 + 2\gamma uu_{\xi\xi} = \frac{1}{4} u^3 - \frac{3}{4} u^2 - (1 - c)u + B_1, \tag{III.4}
\]
respectively, where \(A_1, B_1\) are integration constants which are zero if one assumes zero boundary conditions. Similar fourth-order equations have been heavily studied in the literature in several physical contexts, including capillary-gravity waves and elastic beams, and the full set of solutions is very complicated with multi-hump solitary waves, generalized solitary waves, and Jacobi elliptic solutions. Next, we will find elliptic solutions of Eqs. (III.3)-(III.4) using the following lemma:

**Lemma 4** Eqs. (III.3)-(III.4) admit solutions which satisfy the elliptic equation

\[
u^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{3} a_i u^i = q_3(u), \tag{III.5}
\]
where \(a_i\) are constants that depend on the parameters \(\nu, \gamma, \delta_1, \delta_2\), the boundary conditions \(A_0, A_1, B_1\) respectively, and the wave speed \(c\).
Proof Differentiating once Eq. (III.5) we obtain

\[ u_{\xi} = \frac{1}{2} a_1 + a_2 u + \frac{3}{2} a_3 u^2. \]  

(III.6)

i) For Eq. (III.3) we define \( \mu_1 \equiv (1 - c)\nu + \mathcal{G} \), and identify the constants as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_0 &= \frac{1}{\mu_1}, \\
\mu_1 &= \sqrt{\mu_1}, \\
\mu_2 &= \frac{1}{\mu_1}, \\
\mu_3 &= -\frac{1}{\mu_1}.
\end{align*}
\]

(III.7)

Thus, the solutions to Eq. (III.3) are found by solving

\[
u^2 = \frac{\mu_0}{\mu_1} + \frac{2\mu_1}{\mu_1} u + \frac{c - 1}{2\mu_1} u^2 - \frac{1}{2\mu_1} u^3.
\]

(III.8)

ii) For Eq. (III.4) differentiating twice Eq. (III.6), and using Eqs (III.5)-(III.6), (III.9), yields the nonlinear algebraic system

\[
u_{\xi\xi} = 3a_0 a_3 + \frac{1}{2} a_1 a_2 + \left( \frac{9}{2} a_1 a_3 + a_2^2 \right) u + \frac{15}{2} a_2 a_3 u^2 + \frac{15}{2} a_3^2 u^3.
\]

(III.9)

Using a balancing principle \[23\], we note that all the terms in Eq. (III.4) are of degree \( \leq 3 \) which in conjunction with Eqs. (III.5)-(III.6), (III.9), yields the nonlinear algebraic system

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_2 a_3^2 + (5\gamma - \frac{11}{2}) a_3 &= \frac{1}{3} \\
\mu_2 a_3^2 + (4\gamma - \frac{11}{2}) a_2 + \frac{c}{3} a_3 &= -\frac{3}{4} \\
\mu_2 a_2^2 + \frac{9}{2} \mu_2 a_1 a_3 + (3\gamma - \frac{11}{2}) a_1 + \frac{c}{6} a_2 &= c - 1 \\
\frac{1}{2} \mu_2 a_1 a_2 + 3 \mu_2 a_0 a_3 + (2\gamma - \frac{1}{2}) a_0 + \frac{c}{12} a_1 &= B_1,
\end{align*}
\]

(III.10)

where \( \mu_2 \equiv \delta_2 - c\delta_1 \). Thus, the solutions of Eq. (III.4) are found by solving the elliptic equation (III.5) with constants \( a_i \) from system (III.10).

As is well known [24, 25], the general solution \( u(\xi) \) of Eq. (III.5) can be expressed in terms of Weierstrass elliptic functions \( \wp(\xi; g_2, g_3) \) which satisfy the normal form

\[
\wp^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_2 \wp - g_3,
\]

(III.11)

via the linear transformation (scale and shift)

\[
u(\xi) = \frac{4}{a_3} \wp(\xi; g_2, g_3) - \frac{a_2}{3 a_3}.
\]

(III.12)

The germs (invariants) of the Weierstrass function are related to the coefficients of the cubic \( g_3(u) \) and are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
g_2 &= \frac{a_2}{2} + 3a_1 a_3 = 2(\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_3^2), \\
g_3 &= \frac{a_0 a_2 a_3 - 27a_2 a_3^2 - 2a_2^3}{4a_3} = 4(\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \epsilon_3),
\end{align*}
\]

(III.13)

and together with the modular discriminant

\[
\Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 = 16(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3)^2(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3)^2,
\]

(III.14)

are used to classify the solutions of Eq. (III.11). The constants \( \epsilon_i \) are the zeros of the cubic polynomial

\[
p_3(t) = 4t^3 - g_2 t - g_3,
\]

and are related to the two periods \( \omega_{1,2} \) of the \( \wp \) function via \( \epsilon_{1,2} = \wp\left(\frac{\omega_{1,2}}{2}\right) \), and \( \omega_3 = -(\omega_1 + \omega_2) \).
1. (Zero B.C.) When \(a_0 = 0, a_1 = 0\) then \(g_2 = \frac{a_0}{12}, g_3 = -\frac{a_0^3}{256} \Rightarrow \Delta = 0\) which is the degenerate case. Hence, the Weierstrass solutions can be simplified moreover since \(\phi\) degenerates into trigonometric or hyperbolic functions, and that is due to the fact that \(p_3(t)\) either has repeated root \(e_i\) of multiplicity two \((m = 2)\) or three \((m = 3)\). We disregard the unphysical case \(m = 3 \Rightarrow g_2 = g_3 = 0\) for which \(\phi(\xi; g_2, g_3) = \frac{1}{\xi}\). When \(m = 2\) and \(e_1 = e_2 > 0\) then \(e_3 < 0\), so \(g_2 > 0, g_3 < 0\) and we obtain hyperbolic bounded solutions, and if \(e_2 = e_3 < 0\) then \(e_1 > 0\), so \(g_2 > 0, g_3 > 0\), and we obtain trigonometric unbounded solutions.

2. (Nonzero B.C.) For \(\Delta \neq 0\) the general solution to Eq. \((\text{III.5})\) is given by the transformation \((\text{III.12})\). For a complete classification for which the \(\phi\) functions can be simplified into the Equianharmonic and Lemniscatic case, see \([34]\).

IV. RESULTS

A. Third order KdV-BBM equation

1. Solutions in terms of elementary functions (Zero B.C.)

Using zero boundary conditions \((A_0 = A_1 = 0)\) in \((\text{III.7})\) gives the reduced system

\[
\begin{align*}
  a_0 &= a_1 = 0, \\
  a_2 &= \frac{c-1}{\mu_1}, \\
  a_3 &= -\frac{1}{2\mu_1},
\end{align*}
\]

which according to Eq. \((\text{III.8})\) leads to

\[
  u_\xi^2 = \frac{c-1}{\mu_1} u^2 - \frac{1}{2\mu_1} u^3,
\]

with solution

\[
  u(\xi) = 2(c-1)\operatorname{sech}^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{c-1}{(1-c)\nu + \frac{c}{6}}} \xi \right).
\]

For bounded solitons we require \(\frac{c-1}{(1-c)\nu + \frac{c}{6}} > 0\), while for unbounded periodic solutions we require \(\frac{c-1}{(1-c)\nu + \frac{c}{6}} < 0\). All regions in the \((c, \nu)\) plane of solitary waves and periodic solutions are presented in Fig. 1, while in Fig. 2 we present four traveling solutions which correspond to each black dot of Fig. 1.
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**Figure 1:** Region of existence of bounded \(\frac{c-1}{(1-c)\nu + \frac{c}{6}} > 0\), and unbounded \(\frac{c-1}{(1-c)\nu + \frac{c}{6}} < 0\). The solutions are bright \((c > 1)\), and dark \((c < 1)\). The 4 dots represent the values for which the solitons and periodic solutions are depicted in Fig. 2.
2. Solutions in terms of elliptic functions (Nonzero B.C.)

For nonzero boundary conditions then \( A_0 \neq 0, A_1 \neq 0 \), and we use the full system (III.7) to calculate the Weierstrass invariants using (III.13):

\[
g_2 = \frac{a_2^2 - 3a_1 a_3}{12}, \quad g_3 = \frac{9a_1 a_2 a_3 - 27a_0 a_2^2 - 2a_3}{48}.\]

(IV.4)

Then, using the transformation (III.12), with constants from system (III.7), and germs given by (IV.4) the Weierstrass solution to the third-order KdV–BBM Eq. (III.1) is

\[
u(\xi) = \frac{2}{3}(-1 + c) - 8 \left[ (1 - c)\nu + \frac{c}{6}\right] \varphi \left[ \frac{3A_1 + (-1 + c)^2}{12 \left[(1 - c)\nu + \frac{c}{6}\right]^2}, \frac{27A_0 + 4(-1 + c)[9A_1 + 2(-1 + c)^2]}{1278 \left[(1 - c)\nu + \frac{c}{6}\right]^3} \right].\]

(IV.5)

In Fig. 3 we present the Weierstrass solutions for nonzero boundary conditions \( A_0 = 1, A_1 = 1 \) and coefficients \( \nu = \pm 1 \).

B. Fifth order equation

1. Solutions in terms of elementary functions (Zero B.C.)

Proceeding is a similar manner, the system (III.10) with \( a_0 = a_1 = 0 \Rightarrow B_1 = 0 \) gives

\[

\begin{align*}
15 \mu_2 a_3^2 + (5\gamma - \frac{17}{12}) a_3 &= \frac{1}{4}, \\
\frac{15}{2} \mu_2 a_2 a_3 + (4\gamma - \frac{17}{12}) a_2 + c a_3 &= -\frac{3}{4}, \\
\mu_2 a_2^2 + \frac{c}{6} a_2 &= c - 1.
\end{align*}

(IV.6)
By finding \( a_2 \) from the last equation and \( a_3 \) from the first we obtain
\[
a_2 = \frac{-c \pm \sqrt{c^2 + 144\mu_2(c-1)}}{12\mu_2},
\]
\[
a_3 = \frac{1 - 60\gamma \pm \sqrt{(1-60\gamma)^2 + 1080\mu_2}}{180\mu_2}.
\]

For real solutions the constants \( c, \mu_2, \gamma \) must be chosen such that
\[
(1-60\gamma)^2 + 1080\mu_2 \geq 0 \land c^2 + 144\mu_2(c-1) \geq 0,
\]
together with the level curves
\[
h(\mu_2, c) = \frac{15}{2} \mu_2 a_2 a_3 + \left(4\gamma - \frac{1}{12}\right) a_2 + \frac{c}{4} a_3 + \frac{3}{4} = 0.
\]
Thus, the solution of
\[
\frac{u_t}{u} = \frac{-c \pm \sqrt{c^2 + 144\mu_2(c-1)}}{12\mu_2} u + \frac{1 - 60\gamma \pm \sqrt{(1-60\gamma)^2 + 1080\mu_2}}{180\mu_2} u^3
\]
is given by
\[
\frac{\sqrt{3} \xi}{60\gamma - 1 \pm \sqrt{(1-60\gamma)^2 + 1080\mu_2}} \text{sech}^2 \left[ \frac{\sqrt{3} \xi}{12} \sqrt{\frac{-c \pm \sqrt{c^2 + 144\mu_2(-1+c)}}{\mu_2}} \right].
\]

For the non Hamiltonian case, \( \gamma \neq \frac{1}{12} \), we choose \( \gamma = \frac{1}{7} \), and varying \( c \), we obtain unbounded solutions as well as both dark and bright solitons, which are presented in Fig. 4.

![Figure 4: Evolution of bright (c = -2, -1) and dark solitons (c = 2), together with unbounded periodic functions (c = 1) with zero B.C. given by solution (IV.10) for the fifth-order KdV–BBM Eq. (III.2), and \( \gamma = \frac{1}{7} \).](image)

For the Hamiltonian case, \( \gamma = \frac{1}{12} \), we obtain \( a_2 = \frac{-c \pm \sqrt{c^2 + 144\mu_2(c-1)}}{12\mu_2} \) and \( a_3 = \frac{-2 \pm \sqrt{4 + 270\mu_2}}{90\mu_2} \). For real solutions the constants \( c, \mu_2 \) must be chosen such that \( 4 + 270\mu_2 \geq 0 \land c^2 + 144\mu_2(c-1) \geq 0 \) which in turn gives either \( \mu_2 \in [-\frac{2}{135}, 0) \) or \( \mu_2 > 0 \land c \in (-\infty, -72\mu_2 - 12\sqrt{\mu_2 + 36\mu_2}) \cup (-72\mu_2 + 12\sqrt{\mu_2 + 36\mu_2}, \infty) \). Bounded solitons which are presented in Fig. 5.

2. Solutions in terms of elliptic functions (Nonzero B.C.)

Finally, we use all four equations of system (III.10) and we choose \( B_1 = 1, \gamma = \frac{1}{12} \), and constants \( \delta_2, \delta_1 \) such that \( \mu_2 = 1 \) to obtain the coefficients
\[
a_0 = \frac{180(1389041+848558\sqrt{274}+c(95933536+8767458\sqrt{274}+c(-364106754+668133\sqrt{274}+c(19508\sqrt{274}-1623565}))\sqrt{274}+2475480735}}{124215},
\]
\[
a_1 = \frac{c(-4090+9117\sqrt{274}+c(41\sqrt{274}-145))(-360(33\sqrt{274}-59))}{124215},
\]
\[
a_2 = \frac{c(\sqrt{274}-92)-90(\sqrt{274}-1)}{2730},
\]
\[
a_3 = \frac{\sqrt{274}-2}{90}.
\]

(IV.11)
Figure 5: Evolution of bright \((c = -2, -1, 1.44)\) and dark \((c = 2)\) solitary waves with zero B.C. given by solution (IV.10) for the fifth-order KdV–BBM Eq. (III.2), and \(\gamma = \frac{1}{12}\).

We also find the germs using system (IV.4) to obtain the expressions

\[
\begin{align*}
g_2 &= c[-56426+682\sqrt{274}+3c(\sqrt{274}-53)]+98030-1180\sqrt{274} \frac{993720}{9184530240} \\
g_3 &= -80610056+1243238\sqrt{274}+c(94841830-23958014\sqrt{274}+c[16001978-222019\sqrt{274}+c(91838-2125\sqrt{274})])
\end{align*}
\]  
(IV.12)

Thus, the solution of the fifth-order KdV–BBM Eq. (III.2) is obtained using the transformation (III.12) with coefficients from system (IV.11), and germs given by (IV.12). The Weierstrass solutions are presented in Fig. 6 and are shown for \(\gamma = \frac{1}{12}\) and \(\mu_2 = 1\).

Figure 6: Weierstrass \(\wp\) solutions with nonzero B.C. and \(\gamma = \frac{1}{12}\), \(\mu_2 = 1\) for the fifth-order KdV–BBM Eq. (III.2).

V. CONCLUSION

We have provided conditions for parameters for both third and fifth-order KdV–BBM regularized long wave equations that yield hyperbolic, trigonometric and elliptic solutions in terms of Weierstrass \(\wp\) functions.

For the third-order KdV–BBM equation an analysis for the initial value problem has been developed together with a local well-posedness theory in relatively weak solution spaces. The global well-posedness is settled in the case of \(\nu < \frac{1}{6}\). For zero boundary conditions, and using a traveling wave ansatz we obtained periodic (trigonometric) and solitary (hyperbolic) waves which are both bright or dark with no restrictions on the parameter \(\nu\) or the velocity \(c\).

For nonzero boundary conditions we showed a procedure using a balancing principle on how to construct solutions in terms of Weierstrass \(\wp\) functions.

For the fifth-order KdV–BBM equation, motivated by the seemingly lack of a Hamiltonian structure for \(\gamma \neq \frac{1}{12}\) we focused on the intrinsic properties of traveling wave solutions only. We developed \(H^k\) bounds for these solutions under the assumption that they are not bounded. We found that in the traveling wave variable \(\xi\), \(\gamma = \frac{1}{12}\) represents a restriction for which none of the constraint curves intersect the region of unbounded solitary waves, which shows that only dark or bright solitons and no unbounded solutions exist. When \(\gamma \neq \frac{1}{12}\) since there is no restriction and the system is not Hamiltonian, solitons that are bright and dark coexist together with unbounded periodic solutions.

For nonzero boundary conditions, due to the complexity of the nonlinear algebraic system of the coefficients of the elliptic equation, we have shown how Weierstrass solutions can also be constructed for a particular set of parameters.
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