

SCHOLARLY COMMONS

Publications

10-20-2017

GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral

B. P. Abbott California Institute of Technology

K. AultONeal Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University

S. Gaudio Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University

K. Gill Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University

E. M. Gretarsson Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University

See next page for additional authors
Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.erau.edu/publication

Part of the Cosmology, Relativity, and Gravity Commons

Scholarly Commons Citation

Abbott, B. P., AultONeal, K., Gaudio, S., Gill, K., Gretarsson, E. M., Hughey, B., Muratore, M., Pratt, J. W., Schwalbe, S. G., Staats, K., Szczepańczyk, M. J., Zanolin, M., & al., e. (2017). GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral. Physical Review Letters, 119(16). [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101) [10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101)

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact commons@erau.edu.

Authors

B. P. Abbott, K. AultONeal, S. Gaudio, K. Gill, E. M. Gretarsson, B. Hughey, M. Muratore, J. W. W. Pratt, S. G. Schwalbe, K. Staats, M. J. Szczepańczyk, M. Zanolin, and et al.

\mathcal{L} GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral

B. P. Abbott et al.^{*}

(LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration)

(Received 26 September 2017; revised manuscript received 2 October 2017; published 16 October 2017)

On August 17, 2017 at 12∶41:04 UTC the Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo gravitational-wave detectors made their first observation of a binary neutron star inspiral. The signal, GW170817, was detected with a combined signal-to-noise ratio of 32.4 and a false-alarm-rate estimate of less than one per 8.0×10^4 years. We infer the component masses of the binary to be between 0.86 and 2.26 M_{\odot} , in agreement with masses of known neutron stars. Restricting the component spins to the range inferred in binary neutron stars, we find the component masses to be in the range $1.17–1.60$ M_{\odot} , with the total mass of the system 2.74 $^{+0.04}_{-0.01}$ M_☉. The source was localized within a sky region of 28 deg² (90% probability) and had a luminosity distance of 40^{+8}_{-14} Mpc, the closest and most precisely localized gravitational-wave signal yet. The association with the γ-ray burst GRB 170817A, detected by Fermi-GBM 1.7 s after the coalescence, corroborates the hypothesis of a neutron star merger and provides the first direct evidence of a link between these mergers and short γ-ray bursts. Subsequent identification of transient counterparts across the electromagnetic spectrum in the same location further supports the interpretation of this event as a neutron star merger. This unprecedented joint gravitational and electromagnetic observation provides insight into astrophysics, dense matter, gravitation, and cosmology.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101)

I. INTRODUCTION

On August 17, 2017, the LIGO-Virgo detector network observed a gravitational-wave signal from the inspiral of two low-mass compact objects consistent with a binary neutron star (BNS) merger. This discovery comes four decades after Hulse and Taylor discovered the first neutron star binary, PSR B1913+16 [\[1\]](#page-10-0). Observations of PSR B1913+16 found that its orbit was losing energy due to the emission of gravitational waves, providing the first indirect evidence of their existence [\[2\].](#page-10-1) As the orbit of a BNS system shrinks, the gravitational-wave luminosity increases, accelerating the inspiral. This process has long been predicted to produce a gravitational-wave signal observable by ground-based detectors [3–[6\]](#page-10-2) in the final minutes before the stars collide [\[7\]](#page-10-3).

Since the Hulse-Taylor discovery, radio pulsar surveys have found several more BNS systems in our galaxy [\[8\]](#page-10-4). Understanding the orbital dynamics of these systems inspired detailed theoretical predictions for gravitationalwave signals from compact binaries [9–[13\]](#page-10-5). Models of the population of compact binaries, informed by the known binary pulsars, predicted that the network of advanced gravitational-wave detectors operating at design sensitivity

* Full author list given at the end of the Letter.

will observe between one BNS merger every few years to hundreds per year [14–[21\].](#page-10-6) This detector network currently includes three Fabry-Perot-Michelson interferometers that measure spacetime strain induced by passing gravitational waves as a varying phase difference between laser light propagating in perpendicular arms: the two Advanced LIGO detectors (Hanford, WA and Livingston, LA) [\[22\]](#page-10-7) and the Advanced Virgo detector (Cascina, Italy) [\[23\].](#page-10-8)

Advanced LIGO's first observing run (O1), from September 12, 2015, to January 19, 2016, obtained 49 days of simultaneous observation time in two detectors. While two confirmed binary black hole (BBH) mergers were discovered [\[24](#page-10-9)–26], no detections or significant candidates had component masses lower than $5M_{\odot}$, placing a 90% credible upper limit of 12 600 Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹ on the rate of BNS mergers [\[27\]](#page-10-10) (credible intervals throughout this Letter contain 90% of the posterior probability unless noted otherwise). This measurement did not impinge on the range of astrophysical predictions, which allow rates as high as \sim 10 000 Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹ [\[19\].](#page-10-11)

The second observing run (O2) of Advanced LIGO, from November 30, 2016 to August 25, 2017, collected 117 days of simultaneous LIGO-detector observing time. Advanced Virgo joined the O2 run on August 1, 2017. At the time of this publication, two BBH detections have been announced [\[28,29\]](#page-10-12) from the O2 run, and analysis is still in progress.

Toward the end of the O2 run a BNS signal, GW170817, was identified by matched filtering [\[7,30](#page-10-3)–33] the data against post-Newtonian waveform models [\[34](#page-10-13)–37]. This gravitational-wave signal is the loudest yet observed, with a combined signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 32.4 [\[38\].](#page-10-14) After

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

∼100 s (calculated starting from 24 Hz) in the detectors' sensitive band, the inspiral signal ended at 12∶41:04.4 UTC. In addition, a γ -ray burst was observed 1.7 s after the coalescence time [39–[45\].](#page-10-15) The combination of data from the LIGO and Virgo detectors allowed a precise sky position localization to an area of 28 deg². This measurement enabled an electromagnetic follow-up campaign that identified a counterpart near the galaxy NGC 4993, consistent with the localization and distance inferred from gravitational-wave data [46–[50\].](#page-10-16)

From the gravitational-wave signal, the best measured combination of the masses is the chirp mass [\[51\]](#page-10-17) $M = 1.188^{+0.004}_{-0.002} M_{\odot}$. From the union of 90% credible intervals obtained using different waveform models (see Sec. [IV](#page-5-0) for details), the total mass of the system is between 2.73 and 3.29 M_{\odot} . The individual masses are in the broad range of 0.86 to 2.26 M_{\odot} , due to correlations between their uncertainties. This suggests a BNS as the source of the gravitational-wave signal, as the total masses of known BNS systems are between 2.57 and 2.88 M_{\odot} with components between 1.17 and ~1.6 M_{\odot} [\[52\]](#page-10-18). Neutron stars in general have precisely measured masses as large as 2.01 ± 0.01 0.04 M_{\odot} [\[53\]](#page-10-19), whereas stellar-mass black holes found in binaries in our galaxy have masses substantially greater than the components of GW170817 [54–[56\].](#page-11-0)

Gravitational-wave observations alone are able to measure the masses of the two objects and set a lower limit on their compactness, but the results presented here do not exclude objects more compact than neutron stars such as quark stars, black holes, or more exotic objects [\[57](#page-11-1)–61]. The detection of GRB 170817A and subsequent electromagnetic emission demonstrates the presence of matter. Moreover, although a neutron star–black hole system is not ruled out, the consistency of the mass estimates with the dynamically measured masses of known neutron stars in binaries, and their inconsistency with the masses of known black holes in galactic binary systems, suggests the source was composed of two neutron stars.

At the time of GW170817, the Advanced LIGO detectors and the Advanced Virgo detector were in observing mode. The maximum distances at which the LIGO-Livingston and LIGO-Hanford detectors could detect a BNS system $(SNR = 8)$, known as the detector horizon [\[32,62,63\]](#page-10-20), were 218 Mpc and 107 Mpc, while for Virgo the horizon was 58 Mpc. The GEO600 detector [\[64\]](#page-11-2) was also operating at the time, but its sensitivity was insufficient to contribute to the analysis of the inspiral. The configuration of the detectors at the time of GW170817 is summarized in [\[29\]](#page-10-21).

A time-frequency representation [\[65\]](#page-11-3) of the data from all three detectors around the time of the signal is shown in Fig [1](#page-3-0). The signal is clearly visible in the LIGO-Hanford and LIGO-Livingston data. The signal is not visible

FIG. 1. Time-frequency representations [\[65\]](#page-11-3) of data containing the gravitational-wave event GW170817, observed by the LIGO-Hanford (top), LIGO-Livingston (middle), and Virgo (bottom) detectors. Times are shown relative to August 17, 2017 12∶41:04 UTC. The amplitude scale in each detector is normalized to that detector's noise amplitude spectral density. In the LIGO data, independently observable noise sources and a glitch that occurred in the LIGO-Livingston detector have been subtracted, as described in the text. This noise mitigation is the same as that used for the results presented in Sec. [IV.](#page-5-0)

in the Virgo data due to the lower BNS horizon and the direction of the source with respect to the detector's antenna pattern.

Figure [1](#page-3-0) illustrates the data as they were analyzed to determine astrophysical source properties. After data collection, several independently measured terrestrial contributions to the detector noise were subtracted from the LIGO data using Wiener filtering [\[66\]](#page-11-4), as described in [67–[70\].](#page-11-5) This subtraction removed calibration lines and 60 Hz ac power mains harmonics from both LIGO data streams. The sensitivity of the LIGO-Hanford detector was particularly improved by the subtraction of laser pointing noise; several broad peaks in the 150–800 Hz region were effectively removed, increasing the BNS horizon of that detector by 26% .

Additionally, a short instrumental noise transient appeared in the LIGO-Livingston detector 1.1 s before the coalescence time of GW170817 as shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0). This transient noise, or glitch [\[71\],](#page-11-6) produced a very brief (less than 5 ms) saturation in the digital-to-analog converter of the feedback signal controlling the position of the test masses. Similar glitches are registered roughly once every few hours in each of the LIGO detectors with no temporal correlation between the LIGO sites. Their cause remains unknown. To mitigate the effect on the results presented in Sec. [III,](#page-4-1) the search analyses applied a window function to zero out the data around the glitch [\[72,73\]](#page-11-7), following the treatment of other high-amplitude glitches used in the O1 analysis [\[74\]](#page-11-8). To accurately determine the properties of GW170817 (as reported in Sec. [IV](#page-5-0)) in addition to the noise subtraction described above, the glitch was modeled with a time-frequency wavelet reconstruction [\[75\]](#page-11-9) and subtracted from the data, as shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0).

Following the procedures developed for prior gravitational-wave detections [\[29,78\],](#page-10-21) we conclude there is no environmental disturbance observed by LIGO environmental sensors [\[79\]](#page-11-10) that could account for the GW170817 signal.

The Virgo data, used for sky localization and an estimation of the source properties, are shown in the bottom panel of Fig. [1](#page-3-0). The Virgo data are nonstationary above 150 Hz due to scattered light from the output optics modulated by alignment fluctuations and below 30 Hz due to seismic noise from anthropogenic activity. Occasional noise excess around the European power mains frequency of 50 Hz is also present. No noise subtraction was applied to the Virgo data prior to this analysis. The low signal amplitude observed in Virgo significantly constrained the sky position, but meant that the Virgo data did not contribute significantly to other parameters. As a result, the estimation of the source's parameters reported in Sec. [IV](#page-5-0) is not impacted by the nonstationarity of Virgo data at the time of the event. Moreover, no unusual disturbance was observed by Virgo environmental sensors.

Data used in this study can be found in [\[80\].](#page-11-11)

III. DETECTION

GW170817 was initially identified as a single-detector event with the LIGO-Hanford detector by a low-latency binary-coalescence search [\[81](#page-11-12)–83] using template waveforms computed in post-Newtonian theory [\[11,13,36,84\]](#page-10-22). The two LIGO detectors and the Virgo detector were all taking data at the time; however, the saturation at the LIGO-Livingston detector prevented the search from registering a simultaneous event in both LIGO detectors, and the lowlatency transfer of Virgo data was delayed.

Visual inspection of the LIGO-Hanford and LIGO-Livingston detector data showed the presence of a clear, long-duration chirp signal in time-frequency representations of the detector strain data. As a result, an initial alert was

FIG. 2. Mitigation of the glitch in LIGO-Livingston data. Times are shown relative to August 17, 2017 12∶41:04 UTC. Top panel: A time-frequency representation [\[65\]](#page-11-3) of the raw LIGO-Livingston data used in the initial identification of GW170817 [\[76\].](#page-11-17) The coalescence time reported by the search is at time 0.4 s in this figure and the glitch occurs 1.1 s before this time. The timefrequency track of GW170817 is clearly visible despite the presence of the glitch. Bottom panel: The raw LIGO-Livingston strain data (orange curve) showing the glitch in the time domain. To mitigate the glitch in the rapid reanalysis that produced the sky map shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-1) [\[77\],](#page-11-18) the raw detector data were multiplied by an inverse Tukey window (gray curve, right axis) that zeroed out the data around the glitch [\[73\]](#page-11-15). To mitigate the glitch in the measurement of the source's properties, a model of the glitch based on a wavelet reconstruction [\[75\]](#page-11-9) (blue curve) was subtracted from the data. The time-series data visualized in this figure have been bandpassed between 30 Hz and 2 kHz so that the detector's sensitive band is emphasized. The gravitational-wave strain amplitude of GW170817 is of the order of 10[−]²² and so is not visible in the bottom panel.

generated reporting a highly significant detection of a binary neutron star signal [\[85\]](#page-11-13) in coincidence with the independently observed γ -ray burst GRB 170817A [\[39](#page-10-15)–41].

A rapid binary-coalescence reanalysis [\[86,87\]](#page-11-14), with the time series around the glitch suppressed with a window function [\[73\]](#page-11-15), as shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0), confirmed the presence of a significant coincident signal in the LIGO detectors. The source was rapidly localized to a region of 31 deg², shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-1), using data from all three detectors [\[88\]](#page-11-16). This sky map was issued to observing partners, allowing the identification of an electromagnetic counterpart [\[46,48,50,77\].](#page-10-16)

The combined SNR of GW170817 is estimated to be 32.4, with values 18.8, 26.4, and 2.0 in the LIGO-Hanford,

FIG. 3. Sky location reconstructed for GW170817 by a rapid localization algorithm from a Hanford-Livingston (190 deg², light blue contours) and Hanford-Livingston-Virgo $(31 \text{ deg}^2,$ dark blue contours) analysis. A higher latency Hanford-Livingston-Virgo analysis improved the localization $(28 \text{ deg}^2, \text{ green})$ contours). In the top-right inset panel, the reticle marks the position of the apparent host galaxy NGC 4993. The bottom-right panel shows the a posteriori luminosity distance distribution from the three gravitational-wave localization analyses. The distance of NGC 4993, assuming the redshift from the NASA/ IPAC Extragalactic Database [\[89\]](#page-11-25) and standard cosmological parameters [\[90\]](#page-11-26), is shown with a vertical line.

LIGO-Livingston, and Virgo data respectively, making it the loudest gravitational-wave signal so far detected. Two matched-filter binary-coalescence searches targeting sources with total mass between 2 and 500 M_{\odot} in the detector frame were used to estimate the significance of this event [\[9,12,30,32,73,81](#page-10-5)–83,86,87,91–97]. The searches analyzed 5.9 days of LIGO data between August 13, 2017 02∶00 UTC and August 21, 2017 01∶05 UTC. Events are assigned a detection-statistic value that ranks their probability of being a gravitational-wave signal. Each search uses a different method to compute this statistic and measure the search background—the rate at which detector noise produces events with a detection-statistic value equal to or higher than the candidate event.

GW170817 was identified as the most significant event in the 5.9 days of data, with an estimated false alarm rate of one in 1.1×10^6 years with one search [\[81,83\],](#page-11-12) and a consistent bound of less than one in 8.0×10^4 years for the other [\[73,86,87\]](#page-11-15). The second most significant signal in this analysis of 5.9 days of data is GW170814, which has a combined SNR of 18.3 [\[29\].](#page-10-21) Virgo data were not used in these significance estimates, but were used in the sky localization of the source and inference of the source properties.

IV. SOURCE PROPERTIES

General relativity makes detailed predictions for the inspiral and coalescence of two compact objects, which may be neutron stars or black holes. At early times, for low orbital and gravitational-wave frequencies, the chirplike time evolution of the frequency is determined primarily by a specific combination of the component masses m_1 and m_2 , the chirp mass $\mathcal{M} = (m_1m_2)^{3/5}(m_1+m_2)^{-1/5}$. As the orbit shrinks and the gravitational-wave frequency grows rapidly, the gravitational-wave phase is increasingly influenced by relativistic effects related to the mass ratio $q = m_2/m_1$, where $m_1 \ge m_2$, as well as spin-orbit and spin-spin couplings [\[98\]](#page-11-19).

The details of the objects' internal structure become important as the orbital separation approaches the size of the bodies. For neutron stars, the tidal field of the companion induces a mass-quadrupole moment [\[99,100\]](#page-11-20) and accelerates the coalescence [\[101\]](#page-11-21). The ratio of the induced quadrupole moment to the external tidal field is proportional to the tidal deformability (or polarizability) $\Lambda = (2/3)k_2[(c^2/G)(R/m)]^5$, where k_2 is the second Love number and R is the stellar radius. Both R and k_2 are fixed for a given stellar mass m by the equation of state (EOS) for neutron-star matter, with $k_2 \approx 0.05-0.15$ for realistic neutron stars [102–[104\]](#page-11-22). Black holes are expected to have $k_2 = 0$ [\[99,105](#page-11-20)–109], so this effect would be absent.

As the gravitational-wave frequency increases, tidal effects in binary neutron stars increasingly affect the phase and become significant above $f_{GW} \approx 600$ Hz, so they are potentially observable [\[103,110](#page-11-23)–116]. Tidal deformabilities correlate with masses and spins, and our measurements are sensitive to the accuracy with which we describe the point-mass, spin, and tidal dynamics [\[113,117](#page-12-0)–119]. The point-mass dynamics has been calculated within the post-Newtonian framework [\[34,36,37\]](#page-10-13), effective-one-body formalism [\[10,120](#page-10-23)–125], and with a phenomenological approach [126–[131\].](#page-12-1) Results presented here are obtained using a frequency domain post-Newtonian waveform model [\[30\]](#page-10-24) that includes dynamical effects from tidal interactions [\[132\],](#page-12-2) point-mass spin-spin interactions [\[34,37,133,134\]](#page-10-13), and couplings between the orbital angular momentum and the orbit-aligned dimensionless spin components of the stars χ_z [\[92\]](#page-11-24).

The properties of gravitational-wave sources are inferred by matching the data with predicted waveforms. We perform a Bayesian analysis in the frequency range 30–2048 Hz that includes the effects of the 1σ calibration uncertainties on the received signal $[135,136]$ (< 7% in amplitude and 3° in phase for the LIGO detectors [\[137\]](#page-12-4) and 10% and 10° for Virgo at the time of the event). Unless otherwise specified, bounds on the properties of GW170817 presented in the text and in Table [I](#page-6-0) are 90% posterior probability intervals that enclose systematic differences from currently available waveform models.

To ensure that the applied glitch mitigation procedure previously discussed in Sec. [II](#page-3-1) (see Fig. [2](#page-4-0)) did not bias the estimated parameters, we added simulated signals with known parameters to data that contained glitches analogous

	Low-spin priors ($ \chi \leq 0.05$)	High-spin priors ($ \chi \leq 0.89$)
Primary mass m_1	1.36–1.60 M_{\odot}	1.36–2.26 M_{\odot}
Secondary mass m_2	1.17–1.36 M_{\odot}	0.86–1.36 M_{\odot}
Chirp mass M	$1.188^{+0.004}_{-0.002}M_{\odot}$	$1.188^{+0.004}_{-0.002}M_{\odot}$
Mass ratio m_2/m_1	$0.7 - 1.0$	$0.4 - 1.0$
Total mass m_{tot}	$2.74^{+0.04}_{-0.01}M_{\odot}$	$2.82^{+0.47}_{-0.09}M_{\odot}$
Radiated energy E_{rad}	$> 0.025 M_{\odot}c^2$	$> 0.025 M_{\odot}c^2$
Luminosity distance $D_{\rm L}$	40^{+8}_{-14} Mpc	40^{+8}_{-14} Mpc
Viewing angle Θ	$\leq 55^{\circ}$	$\leq 56^{\circ}$
Using NGC 4993 location	$\leq 28^{\circ}$	$\leq 28^{\circ}$
Combined dimensionless tidal deformability Λ	≤ 800	≤ 700
Dimensionless tidal deformability $\Lambda(1.4M_{\odot})$	≤ 800	≤ 1400

TABLE I. Source properties for GW170817: we give ranges encompassing the 90% credible intervals for different assumptions of the waveform model to bound systematic uncertainty. The mass values are quoted in the frame of the source, accounting for uncertainty in the source redshift.

to the one observed at the LIGO-Livingston detector during GW170817. After applying the glitch subtraction technique, we found that the bias in recovered parameters relative to their known values was well within their uncertainties. This can be understood by noting that a small time cut out of the coherent integration of the phase evolution has little impact on the recovered parameters. To corroborate these results, the test was also repeated with a window function applied, as shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0) [\[73\]](#page-11-15).

The source was localized to a region of the sky 28 deg^2 in area, and 380 Mpc^3 in volume, near the southern end of the constellation Hydra, by using a combination of the timing, phase, and amplitude of the source as observed in the three detectors [\[138,139\].](#page-12-5) The third detector, Virgo, was essential in localizing the source to a single region of the sky, as shown in Fig. [3.](#page-5-1) The small sky area triggered a successful follow-up campaign that identified an electromagnetic counterpart [\[50\].](#page-10-25)

The luminosity distance to the source is 40^{+8}_{-14} Mpc, the closest ever observed gravitational-wave source and, by association, the closest short γ -ray burst with a distance measurement [\[45\]](#page-10-26). The distance measurement is correlated with the inclination angle $\cos \theta_{IN} = \hat{\mathbf{J}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{N}}$, where $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ is the unit vector in the direction of the total angular momentum of the system and \dot{N} is that from the source towards the observer [\[140\].](#page-12-6) We find that the data are consistent with an antialigned source: $\cos \theta_{JN} \le -0.54$, and the viewing angle $\Theta = \min(\theta_{JN}, 180^\circ - \theta_{JN})$ is $\Theta \le 56^\circ$. Since the luminosity distance of this source can be determined independently of the gravitational wave data alone, we can use the association with NGC 4993 to break the distance degeneracy with $\cos \theta_{JN}$. The estimated Hubble flow velocity near NGC 4993 of 3017 ± 166 km s⁻¹ [\[141\]](#page-12-7) provides a redshift, which in a flat cosmology with $H_0 = 67.90 \pm$ 0.55 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ [\[90\],](#page-11-26) constrains $\cos \theta_{JN} < -0.88$ and Θ < 28°. The constraint varies with the assumptions made about H_0 [\[141\].](#page-12-7)

From the gravitational-wave phase and the ∼3000 cycles in the frequency range considered, we constrain the chirp mass in the detector frame to be $\mathcal{M}^{\text{det}} = 1.1977^{+0.0008}_{-0.0003} M_{\odot}$ [\[51\]](#page-10-17). The mass parameters in the detector frame are related to the rest-frame masses of the source by its redshift ζ as $m^{\text{det}} = m(1 + z)$ [\[142\]](#page-12-8). Assuming the above cosmology [\[90\]](#page-11-26), and correcting for the motion of the Solar System Barycenter with respect to the Cosmic Microwave Background [\[143\],](#page-12-9) the gravitational-wave distance measurement alone implies a cosmological redshift of $0.008_{-0.003}^{+0.002}$, which is consistent with that of NGC 4993 [\[50,141,144,145\]](#page-10-25). Without the host galaxy, the uncertainty in the source's chirp mass M is dominated by the uncertainty in its luminosity distance. Independent of the waveform model or the choice of priors, described below, the source-frame chirp mass is $\mathcal{M} = 1.188^{+0.004}_{-0.002} M_{\odot}$.

While the chirp mass is well constrained, our estimates of the component masses are affected by the degeneracy between mass ratio q and the aligned spin components χ_{1z} and χ_{2z} [\[38,146](#page-10-14)–150]. Therefore, the estimates of q and the component masses depend on assumptions made about the admissible values of the spins. While χ < 1 for black holes, and quark stars allow even larger spin values, realistic NS equations of state typically imply more stringent limits. For the set of EOS studied in [\[151\]](#page-12-10) χ < 0.7, although other EOS can exceed this bound. We began by assuming $|\chi| \le 0.89$, a limit imposed by available rapid waveform models, with an isotropic prior on the spin direction. With these priors we recover $q \in$ $(0.4, 1.0)$ and a constraint on the effective aligned spin of the system [\[127,152\]](#page-12-11) of χ _{eff} ∈ (−0.01, 0.17). The aligned spin components are consistent with zero, with stricter bounds than in previous BBH observations [\[26,28,29\]](#page-10-27). Analysis using the effective precessing phenomenological waveforms of [\[128\],](#page-12-12) which do not contain tidal effects, demonstrates that spin components in the orbital plane are not constrained.

FIG. 4. Two-dimensional posterior distribution for the component masses m_1 and m_2 in the rest frame of the source for the lowspin scenario ($|\chi|$ < 0.05, blue) and the high-spin scenario $(|\chi| < 0.89$, red). The colored contours enclose 90% of the probability from the joint posterior probability density function for m_1 and m_2 . The shape of the two dimensional posterior is determined by a line of constant M and its width is determined by the uncertainty in M . The widths of the marginal distributions (shown on axes, dashed lines enclose 90% probability away from equal mass of 1.36 M_{\odot}) is strongly affected by the choice of spin priors. The result using the low-spin prior (blue) is consistent with the masses of all known binary neutron star systems.

From M and q , we obtain a measure of the component masses m_1 ∈ (1.36, 2.26) M_{\odot} and m_2 ∈ (0.86, 1.36) M_{\odot} , shown in Fig. [4](#page-7-0). As discussed in Sec. [I,](#page-2-0) these values are within the range of known neutron-star masses and below those of known black holes. In combination with electromagnetic observations, we regard this as evidence of the BNS nature of GW170817.

The fastest-spinning known neutron star has a dimensionless spin ≤ 0.4 [\[153\]](#page-12-13), and the possible BNS J1807-2500B has spin ≤ 0.2 [\[154\],](#page-12-14) after allowing for a broad range of equations of state. However, among BNS that will merge within a Hubble time, PSR J0737-3039A [\[155\]](#page-12-15) has the most extreme spin, less than ∼0.04 after spin-down is extrapolated to merger. If we restrict the spin magnitude in our analysis to $|\chi| \leq 0.05$, consistent with the observed population, we recover the mass ratio $q \in (0.7, 1.0)$ and component masses $m_1 \in (1.36, 1.60)M_{\odot}$ and $m_2 \in (1.17, 1.36)M_{\odot}$ (see Fig. [4](#page-7-0)). We also recover $\chi_{\text{eff}} \in (-0.01, 0.02)$, where the upper limit is consistent with the low-spin prior.

Our first analysis allows the tidal deformabilities of the high-mass and low-mass component, Λ_1 and Λ_2 , to vary independently. Figure [5](#page-8-0) shows the resulting 90% and 50% contours on the posterior distribution with the post-Newtonian waveform model for the high-spin and low-spin priors. As a comparison, we show predictions coming from a set of candidate equations of state for neutron-star matter [\[156](#page-12-16)–160], generated using fits from [\[161\]](#page-12-17). All EOS support masses of $2.01 \pm 0.04 M_{\odot}$. Assuming that both components are neutron stars described by the same equation of state, a single function $\Lambda(m)$ is computed from the static $\ell = 2$ perturbation of a Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff solution [\[103\]](#page-11-23). The shaded regions in Fig. [5](#page-8-0) represent the values of the tidal deformabilities Λ_1 and Λ_2 generated using an equation of state from the 90% most probable fraction of the values of m_1 and m_2 , consistent with the posterior shown in Fig. [4.](#page-7-0) We find that our constraints on $Λ_1$ and $Λ_2$ disfavor equations of state that predict less compact stars, since the mass range we recover generates Λ values outside the 90% probability region. This is consistent with radius constraints from x-ray observations of neutron stars[\[162](#page-12-18)–166]. Analysis methods, in development, that *a priori* assume the same EOS governs both stars should improve our constraints [\[167\].](#page-12-19)

To leading order in Λ_1 and Λ_2 , the gravitational-wave phase is determined by the parameter

$$
\tilde{\Lambda} = \frac{16(m_1 + 12m_2)m_1^4\Lambda_1 + (m_2 + 12m_1)m_2^4\Lambda_2}{(m_1 + m_2)^5}
$$
 (1)

[\[101,117\].](#page-11-21) Assuming a uniform prior on Λ , we place a 90% upper limit of $\tilde{\Lambda} \leq 800$ in the low-spin case and $\tilde{\Lambda} \leq 700$ in the high-spin case. We can also constrain the function $\Lambda(m)$ more directly by expanding $\Lambda(m)$ linearly about $m =$ 1.4 M_{\odot} (as in [\[112,115\]\)](#page-11-27), which gives $\Lambda(1.4M_{\odot}) \le 1400$ for the high-spin prior and $\Lambda(1.4M_{\odot}) \leq 800$ for the lowspin prior. A 95% upper bound inferred with the low-spin prior, $\Lambda(1.4M_{\odot}) \leq 970$, begins to compete with the 95% upper bound of 1000 derived from x-ray observations in [\[168\]](#page-12-20).

Since the energy emitted in gravitational waves depends critically on the EOS of neutron-star matter, with a wide range consistent with constraints above, we are only able to place a lower bound on the energy emitted before the onset of strong tidal effects at $f_{\rm GW}$ ∼600Hz as $E_{\rm rad} > 0.025 M_{\odot}c^2$. This is consistent with E_{rad} obtained from numerical simulations and fits for BNS systems consistent with GW170817 [\[114,169](#page-12-21)–171].

We estimate systematic errors from waveform modeling by comparing the post-Newtonian results with parameters recovered using an effective-one-body model [\[124\]](#page-12-22) augmented with tidal effects extracted from numerical relativity with hydrodynamics [\[172\]](#page-12-23). This does not change the 90% credible intervals for component masses and effective spin under low-spin priors, but in the case of high-spin priors, we obtain the more restrictive $m_1 \in (1.36, 1.93)M_{\odot}$, $m_2 \in$ $(0.99, 1.36)M_{\odot}$, and $\chi_{\text{eff}} \in (0.0, 0.09)$. Recovered tidal deformabilities indicate shifts in the posterior distributions towards smaller values, with upper bounds for Λ and $\Lambda(1.4M_{\odot})$ reduced by a factor of roughly (0.8, 0.8) in the

FIG. 5. Probability density for the tidal deformability parameters of the high and low mass components inferred from the detected signals using the post-Newtonian model. Contours enclosing 90% and 50% of the probability density are overlaid (dashed lines). The diagonal dashed line indicates the $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ boundary. The Λ_1 and Λ_2 parameters characterize the size of the tidally induced mass deformations of each star and are proportional to $k_2(R/m)^5$. Constraints are shown for the high-spin scenario $|\chi| \le 0.89$ (left panel) and for the low-spin $|\chi| \leq 0.05$ (right panel). As a comparison, we plot predictions for tidal deformability given by a set of representative equations of state [\[156](#page-12-16)–160] (shaded filled regions), with labels following [\[161\]](#page-12-17), all of which support stars of $2.01M_{\odot}$. Under the assumption that both components are neutron stars, we apply the function $\Lambda(m)$ prescribed by that equation of state to the 90% most probable region of the component mass posterior distributions shown in Fig. [4](#page-7-0). EOS that produce less compact stars, such as MS1 and MS1b, predict Λ values outside our 90% contour.

low-spin case and (1.0, 0.7) in the high-spin case. Further analysis is required to establish the uncertainties of these tighter bounds, and a detailed study of systematics is a subject of ongoing work.

Preliminary comparisons with waveform models under development [\[171,173](#page-12-24)–177] also suggest the post-Newtonian model used will systematically overestimate the value of the tidal deformabilities. Therefore, based on our current understanding of the physics of neutron stars, we consider the post-Newtonian results presented in this Letter to be conservative upper limits on tidal deformability. Refinements should be possible as our knowledge and models improve.

V. IMPLICATIONS

 α . As α rates and Our analyses identified GW170817 as the only BNSmass signal detected in O2 with a false alarm rate below $1/100$ yr. Using a method derived from $[27,178,179]$, and assuming that the mass distribution of the components of BNS systems is flat between 1 and 2 M_{\odot} and their dimensionless spins are below 0.4, we are able to infer the local coalescence rate density R of BNS systems. Incorporating the upper limit of 12600 Gpc[−]³ yr[−]¹ from O1 as a prior, $R = 1540^{+3200}_{-1220}$ Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹. Our findings are throughout the history of the Universe should be comparable in magnitude to the stochastic background produced

galactic BNS systems [\[19,20,155,180\]](#page-10-11).

by BBH mergers [\[181,182\]](#page-13-0). As the advanced detector network improves in sensitivity in the coming years, the total stochastic background from BNS and BBH mergers should be detectable [\[183\]](#page-13-1).

consistent with the rate inferred from observations of

From this inferred rate, the stochastic background of gravitational wave s produced by unresolved BNS mergers

Binary neutron star mergers may result in a short- or longlived neutron star remnant that could emit gravitational waves following the merger [\[184](#page-13-2)–190]. The ringdown of a black hole formed after the coalescence could also produce gravitational waves, at frequencies around 6 kHz, but the reduced interferometer response at high frequencies makes their observation unfeasible. Consequently, searches have been made for short (tens of ms) and intermediate duration $(\leq 500 \text{ s})$ gravitational-wave signals from a neutron star remnant at frequencies up to 4 kHz [\[75,191,192\]](#page-11-9). For the latter, the data examined start at the time of the coalescence and extend to the end of the observing run on August 25, 2017. With the time scales and methods considered so far [\[193\]](#page-13-3), there is no evidence of a postmerger signal of astrophysical origin. However, upper limits placed on the strength of gravitational-wave emission cannot definitively rule out the existence of a short- or long-lived postmerger neutron star. The implications of various postmerger scenarios are explored in [\[45,193\].](#page-10-26)

C. Tests of gravity GRB 170817A was observed 1.7 s after GW170817. Combining this delay with the knowledge of the source luminosity distance, strong constraints are placed on the fundamental physics of gravity. The observed arrival times are used to investigate the speed of gravity, Lorentz invariance, and tests of the equivalence principle through the Shapiro time delay, as reported in [\[45\].](#page-10-26)

We also expect the much longer duration of the BNS signal compared to previous BBH gravitational-wave sources to yield significantly improved constraints when testing for waveform deviations from general relativity using a parametrized waveform expansion [\[194\],](#page-13-4) especially at low post-Newtonian orders. Placing these bounds requires a deep understanding of the systematic uncertainties resulting from waveform modeling and data conditioning, and is the subject of ongoing investigations.

 $\overline{}$ The gravitational-wave signal gives a direct measurement of the luminosity distance of the source, which, along with a redshift measurement, can be used to infer cosmological parameters independently of the cosmic distance ladder [\[141,195\]](#page-12-7). Using the association with the galaxy NGC 4993 and the luminosity distance directly measured from the gravitational-wave signal, the Hubble constant is inferred to be $H_0 = 70^{+12}_{-8}$ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ [\[141\]](#page-12-7) (most probable value and minimum 68.3% probability range, which can be compared to the value from Planck $H_0 =$ 67.90 ± 0.55 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ [\[90\]](#page-11-26)). Alternatively, we may assume the cosmology is known and use the association with NGC 4993 to constrain the luminosity distance of the source, in which case the gravitational-wave measurement of the inclination angle of the source is significantly improved, with consequences for the γ -ray burst opening angle and related physics [\[45\].](#page-10-26)

VI. CONCLUSIONS VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this Letter we have presented the first detection of gravitational waves from the inspiral of a binary neutron star system. Gravitational-wave event GW170817, observed and localized by the two Advanced LIGO detectors and the Advanced Virgo detector, is the loudest gravitational-wave signal detected to date. This coalescence event was followed by a short burst of γ rays observed with the Fermi Gamma-Ray Burst Monitor [\[39](#page-10-15)–42] and INTEGRAL [\[43,44\]](#page-10-28). The coincident observation of a gravitational-wave signal and a γray burst appears to confirm the long-held hypothesis that BNS mergers are linked to short-γ-ray bursts [\[196,197\]](#page-13-5). Subsequent observations have determined the location of the source and followed its evolution through the electromagnetic spectrum [\[50\].](#page-10-25)

Detailed analyses of the gravitational-wave data, together with observations of electromagnetic emissions, are providing new insights into the astrophysics of compact binary systems and γ -ray bursts, dense matter under extreme conditions, the nature of gravitation, and independent tests of cosmology. Less than two years after the debut of gravitational-wave astronomy, GW170817 marks the beginning of a new era of discovery.

ACCEPT CHEMICS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the United States National Science Foundation (NSF) for the construction and operation of the LIGO Laboratory and Advanced LIGO as well as the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) of the United Kingdom, the Max-Planck-Society (MPS), and the State of Niedersachsen, Germany for support of the construction of Advanced LIGO and construction and operation of the GEO600 detector. Additional support for Advanced LIGO was provided by the Australian Research Council. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), and the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research, for the construction and operation of the Virgo detector and the creation and support of the EGO consortium. The authors also gratefully acknowledge research support from these agencies as well as by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research of India, the Department of Science and Technology, India, the Science & Engineering Research Board (SERB), India, the Ministry of Human Resource Development, India, the Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación, the Vicepresidència i Conselleria d'Innovació, Recerca i Turisme and the Conselleria d'Educació i Universitat del Govern de les Illes Balears, the Conselleria d'Educació, Investigació, Cultura i Esport de la Generalitat Valenciana, the National Science Centre of Poland, the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, the Russian Science Foundation, the European Commission, the European Regional Development Funds (ERDF), the Royal Society, the Scottish Funding Council, the Scottish Universities Physics Alliance, the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA), the Lyon Institute of Origins (LIO), the National Research, Development and Innovation Office Hungary (NKFI), the National Research Foundation of Korea, Industry Canada and the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Economic Development and Innovation, the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council Canada, the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, the Brazilian

Ministry of Science, Technology, Innovations, and Communications, the International Center for Theoretical Physics South American Institute for Fundamental Research (ICTP-SAIFR), the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), the Leverhulme Trust, the Research Corporation, the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan and the Kavli Foundation. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the NSF, STFC, MPS, INFN, CNRS and the State of Niedersachsen, Germany for provision of computational resources. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

- [1] R. A. Hulse and J. H. Taylor, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/181708) 195, L51 [\(1975\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/181708)
- [2] J. H. Taylor and J. M. Weisberg, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/159690) 253, 908 [\(1982\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/159690)
- [3] R. Weiss, MIT Technical Report No. LIGO-P720002, 1972.
- [4] R. W. P. Drever, in *Gravitational Radiation*, edited by N. Deruelle and T. Piran (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983), p. 321.
- [5] A. Brillet et al. (Virgo Collaboration), Technical Report No. VIR-0517A-15, 1989 [\[https://tds.virgo-gw.eu/?content=](https://tds.virgo-gw.eu/?content=3&r=12122) [3&r=12122\]](https://tds.virgo-gw.eu/?content=3&r=12122).
- [6] J. Hough et al., MPQ Technical Report No. 147, 1989 [GWD/137/JH(89)].
- [7] K. Thorne, *Three Hundred Years of Gravitation*, edited by S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1987), pp. 330–458.
- [8] R. N. Manchester, G. B. Hobbs, A. Teoh, and M. Hobbs, Astron. J. 129[, 1993 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/428488)
- [9] L. Blanchet, Thibault Damour, Bala R. Iyer, Clifford M. Will, and Alan G. Wiseman, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.3515) 74, 3515 [\(1995\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.3515)
- [10] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.084006) **59**, 084006 [\(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.084006)
- [11] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00642-6) 513[, 147 \(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(01)00642-6).
- [12] L. Blanchet, T. Damour, G. Esposito-Farese, and B. R. Iyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93[, 091101 \(2004\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.091101).
- [13] W. D. Goldberger and I. Z. Rothstein, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.104029) 73, [104029 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.104029)
- [14] B. P. Flannery and E. P. J. van den Heuvel, Astron. Astrophys. 39, 61 (1975).
- [15] A. G. Massevitch, A. V. Tutukov, and L. R. Yungelson, [Astrophys. Space Sci.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00651192) 40, 115 (1976).
- [16] J. P. A. Clark, E. P. J. van den Heuvel, and W. Sutantyo, Astron. Astrophys. 72, 120 (1979).
- [17] E. S. Phinney, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1086/186163) **380**, L17 (1991).
- [18] V. Kalogera et al., [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1086/382155) 601, L179 (2004). V. Kalogera et al., [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1086/425868) 614, L137(E) [\(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/425868)
- [19] J. Abadie et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/17/173001) 27, 173001 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/27/17/173001)
- [20] C. Kim, B. B. P. Perera, and M. A. McLaughlin, [Mon. Not.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2729) [R. Astron. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2729) 448, 928 (2015).
- [21] B. P. Abbott et al. (KAGRA Collaboration, LIGO Scientific Collaboration, Virgo Collaboration), [Living](https://doi.org/10.1007/lrr-2016-1) [Rev. Relativity](https://doi.org/10.1007/lrr-2016-1) 19, 1 (2016).
- [22] J. Aasi et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration), [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/7/074001) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/7/074001) 32, 074001 (2015).
- [23] F. Acernese et al. (Virgo Collaboration), [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/2/024001) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/2/024001) 32, 024001 (2015).
- [24] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116[, 061102 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102)
- [25] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116[, 241103 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.241103)
- [26] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. X 6[, 041015 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.041015)
- [27] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/832/2/L21) 832, L21 (2016).
- [28] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.221101) 118, 221101 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.221101)
- [29] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 119[, 141101 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.141101)
- [30] B. S. Sathyaprakash and S. V. Dhurandhar, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.3819) 44[, 3819 \(1991\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.44.3819).
- [31] C. Cutler et al., [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2984) **70**, 2984 (1993).
- [32] B. Allen, W. G. Anderson, P. R. Brady, D. A. Brown, and J. D. E. Creighton, Phys. Rev. D 85[, 122006 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.122006).
- [33] D. A. Brown, P. Kumar, and A. H. Nitz, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.082004) 87, [082004 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.082004)
- [34] K. G. Arun, A. Buonanno, G. Faye, and E. Ochsner, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.104023) Rev. D 79[, 104023 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.104023); 84[, 049901\(E\) \(2011\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.049901).
- [35] A. Buonanno, B. R. Iyer, E. Ochsner, Y. Pan, and B. S. Sathyaprakash, Phys. Rev. D 80[, 084043 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.084043)
- [36] L. Blanchet, [Living Rev. Relativity](https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2014-2) 17, 2 (2014).
- [37] C. K. Mishra, A. Kela, K. G. Arun, and G. Faye, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.084054) D 93[, 084054 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.084054)
- [38] C. Cutler and E.E. Flanagan, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.2658) 49, 2658 [\(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.2658)
- [39] A. G. A. von Kienlin, C. Meegan, and the Fermi GBM Team, GCN 21520, 1 (2017).
- [40] V. Connaughton et al., GCN 21506, 1 (2017).
- [41] A. Goldstein et al., GCN 21528, 1 (2017).
- [42] A. Goldstein et al., [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa8f41) **848**, L14 (2017).
- [43] V. Savchenko et al., GCN 21507, 1 (2017).
- [44] V. Savchenko et al., [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa8f94) **848**, L15 (2017).
- [45] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c) 848, L13 (2017);
- [46] D. A. Coulter *et al.*, GCN **21529**, 1 (2017).
- [47] R. J. Foley et al., GCN 21536, 1 (2017).
- [48] D. A. Coulter et al., [Science, in press \(2017\), DOI:](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9811) [10.1126/science.aap9811.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9811)
- [49] Y.-C. Pan et al., [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa9116) **848**, L30 (2017).
- [50] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9) 848, L12 (2017).
- [51] P. C. Peters and J. Mathews, Phys. Rev. 131[, 435 \(1963\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.131.435)
- [52] T.M. Tauris *et al.*, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7e89) **846**, 170 (2017).
- [53] J. Antoniadis et al., Science 340[, 1233232 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1233232).
- [54] F. Özel, D. Psaltis, R. Narayan, and J. E. McClintock, Astrophys. J. 725[, 1918 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/725/2/1918)
- [55] L. Kreidberg, C. D. Bailyn, W. M. Farr, and V. Kalogera, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/757/1/36) 757, 36 (2012).
- [56] R. Narayan and J.E. McClintock, in General Relativity and Gravitation: A Centennial Perspective, edited by A. Ashtekar, B. K. Berger, J. Isenberg, and M. MacCallum (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 2015), Chap. 3.3, pp. 133–147.
- [57] D. J. Kaup, Phys. Rev. 172[, 1331 \(1968\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.172.1331)
- [58] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0402717101) 101[, 9545 \(2004\).](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0402717101)
- [59] N. Itoh, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.44.291) 44, 291 (1970).
- [60] V. Cardoso, S. Hopper, C. F. B. Macedo, C. Palenzuela, and P. Pani, Phys. Rev. D 94[, 084031 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.084031)
- [61] V. Cardoso, E. Franzin, A. Maselli, P. Pani, and G. Raposo, Phys. Rev. D 95[, 084014 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.084014); 95[, 089901 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.089901).
- [62] L. S. Finn and D. F. Chernoff, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.47.2198) 47, 2198 [\(1993\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.47.2198)
- [63] H.-Y. Chen, D. E. Holz, J. Miller, M. Evans, S. Vitale, and J. Creighton, [arXiv:1709.08079.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1709.08079)
- [64] K. L. Dooley et al., [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/7/075009) 33, 075009 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/7/075009)
- [65] S. Chatterji, L Blackburn, G Martin, and E Katsavounidis, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/21/20/024) 21, S1809 (2004).
- [66] R. G. Brown and P. Y. C. Hwang, Introduction to Random Signals and Applied Kalman Filtering with Matlab Exercises (Wiley, New York, 2012).
- [67] J. C. Driggers et al., Technical Report No. LIGO-P1700260, 2017.
- [68] J. C. Driggers, M. Evans, K. Pepper, and R. Adhikari, [Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3675891) Sci. Instrum. 83[, 024501 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3675891).
- [69] G. D. Meadors, K. Kawabe, and K. Riles, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/10/105014) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/10/105014) 31, 105014 (2014).
- [70] V. Tiwari et al., [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/16/165014) 32, 165014 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/16/165014)
- [71] L. Nuttall et al., [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/24/245005) 32, 245005 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/24/245005)
- [72] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.122003) 93, 122003 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.122003)
- [73] S. A. Usman et al., [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/21/215004) 33, 215004 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/21/215004)
- [74] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Technical Report No. LIGO-P1600110, 2017.
- [75] N. J. Cornish and T. B. Littenberg, [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/13/135012) Gravity 32[, 135012 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/13/135012)
- [76] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, GCN 21509, 1 (2017).
- [77] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, GCN 21513, 1 (2017).
- [78] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/13/134001) 33, [134001 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/13/134001)
- [79] A. Effler, R M S Schofield, V V Frolov, G González, K Kawabe, J R Smith, J Birch, and R McCarthy, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/3/035017) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/3/035017) 32, 035017 (2015).
- [80] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, LIGO Open Science Center (LOSC), 2017 [\[https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.7935/K5B8566F) [10.7935/K5B8566F](https://doi.org/10.7935/K5B8566F)].
- [81] K. Cannon et al., [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/748/2/136) **748**, 136 (2012).
- [82] S. Privitera, S. R. P. Mohapatra, P. Ajith, K. Cannon, N. Fotopoulos, M. A. Frei, C. Hanna, A. J. Weinstein, and J. T. Whelan, Phys. Rev. D 89[, 024003 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.024003)
- [83] C. Messick et al., Phys. Rev. D 95[, 042001 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.042001).
- [84] A. Einstein, L. Infeld, and B. Hoffmann, [Ann. Math.](https://doi.org/10.2307/1968714) 39, 65 [\(1938\).](https://doi.org/10.2307/1968714)
- [85] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, GCN 21505, 1 (2017).
- [86] A. H. Nitz, T. Dent, T. Dal Canton, S. Fairhurst, and D. A. Brown, [arXiv:1705.01513.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1705.01513)
- [87] A. Nitz et al., ligo-cbc/pycbc: O2 production release 20, 2017.
- [88] L. P. Singer and L. R. Price, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.024013) 93, 024013 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.024013)
- [89] NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database.
- [90] P. A. R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), [Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525830) Astrophys. 594[, A13 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201525830).
- [91] L. Blanchet, A. Buonanno, and G. Faye, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.104034) 74, [104034 \(2006\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.104034); 75[, 049903\(E\) \(2007\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.049903); 81[, 089901\(E\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.089901) [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.089901)
- [92] A. Bohé, S. Marsat, and L. Blanchet, [Classical Quantum](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/13/135009) Gravity 30[, 135009 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/30/13/135009)
- [93] B. J. Owen and B. S. Sathyaprakash, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.022002) 60, [022002 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.022002)
- [94] D. A. Brown, I. Harry, A. Lundgren, and A. H. Nitz, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.084017) Rev. D 86[, 084017 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.084017).
- [95] T. Dal Canton and I. W. Harry, [arXiv:1705.01845.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1705.01845)
- [96] B. Allen, Phys. Rev. D **71**[, 062001 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.062001)
- [97] A. H. Nitz, [arXiv:1709.08974.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1709.08974)
- [98] C. Cutler, T. A. Apostolatos, L. Bildsten, L. S. Finn, E. E. Flanagan, D. Kennefick, D. M. Markovic, A. Ori, E. Poisson, G. J. Sussman, and K. S. Thorne, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2984) Lett. 70[, 2984 \(1993\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.2984)
- [99] T. Damour, Lect. Notes Phys., 124, 59 (1983).
- [100] T. Damour, M. Soffel, and C.-m. Xu, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.45.1017) 45, [1017 \(1992\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.45.1017)
- [101] E. E. Flanagan and T. Hinderer, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.021502) 77, 021502 [\(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.021502)
- [102] T. Hinderer, Astrophys. J. **677**[, 1216 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1086/533487).
- [103] T. Hinderer, B.D. Lackey, R.N. Lang, and J.S. Read, Phys. Rev. D 81[, 123016 \(2010\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.123016).
- [104] S. Postnikov, M. Prakash, and J. M. Lattimer, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.024016) 82[, 024016 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.024016)
- [105] T. Damour and A. Nagar, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.084035) 80, 084035 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.084035)
- [106] T. Binnington and E. Poisson, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.084018) 80, 084018 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.80.084018)
- [107] B. Kol and M. Smolkin, [J. High Energy Phys. 02 \(2012\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)010) [010.](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2012)010)
- [108] P. Pani, L. Gualtieri, A. Maselli, and V. Ferrari, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.024010) D 92[, 024010 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.024010)
- [109] P. Landry and E. Poisson, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.104018) 91, 104018 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.104018)
- [110] J. S. Read, C. Markakis, M. Shibata, K. Uryu, J. D. E. Creighton, and J. L. Friedman, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124033) 79, 124033 [\(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124033)
- [111] T. Damour, A. Nagar, and L. Villain, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.123007) 85, [123007 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.85.123007)
- [112] W. Del Pozzo, T. G. F. Li, M. Agathos, C. Van Den Broeck, and S. Vitale, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111[, 071101 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.071101)
- [113] L. Wade, J.D.E. Creighton, E. Ochsner, B.D. Lackey, B. F. Farr, T. B. Littenberg, and V. Raymond, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.103012) 89[, 103012 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.103012)
- [114] S. Bernuzzi, A. Nagar, S. Balmelli, T. Dietrich, and M. Ujevic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112[, 201101 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.201101).
- [115] M. Agathos, J. Meidam, W. Del Pozzo, T. G. F. Li, M. Tompitak, J. Veitch, S. Vitale, and C. Van Den Broeck, Phys. Rev. D 92[, 023012 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.023012).
- [116] K. Hotokezaka, K. Kyutoku, Y.-i. Sekiguchi, and M. Shibata, Phys. Rev. D 93[, 064082 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.064082).
- [117] M. Favata, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**[, 101101 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.101101).
- [118] K. Yagi and N. Yunes, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**[, 021303 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.021303)
- [119] T. Cullen, I. Harry, J. Read, and E. Flynn, [arXiv:](http://arXiv.org/abs/1708.04359) [1708.04359.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1708.04359)
- [120] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.064015) 62, 064015 [\(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.064015)
- [121] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schäfer, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.024009) 78[, 024009 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.024009)
- [122] T. Damour and A. Nagar, Phys. Rev. D **79**[, 081503 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.081503)
- [123] E. Barausse and A. Buonanno, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.084024) **81**, 084024 [\(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.084024)
- [124] A. Bohé et al., Phys. Rev. D 95[, 044028 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.044028)
- [125] A. Nagar, G. Riemenschneider, and G. Pratten, [arXiv:1703.06814 \[Phys. Rev. D \(to be published\)\].](http://arXiv.org/abs/1703.06814)
- [126] P. Ajith *et al.*, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/24/19/S31) **24**, S689 (2007).
- [127] P. Ajith et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**[, 241101 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.241101)
- [128] M. Hannam, Patricia Schmidt, Alejandro Bohé, Leïla Haegel, Sascha Husa, Frank Ohme, Geraint Pratten, and Michael Pürrer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113[, 151101 \(2014\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.151101).
- [129] S. Husa, S. Khan, M. Hannam, M. Purrer, F. Ohme, X. J. Forteza, and A. Bohe, Phys. Rev. D 93[, 044006 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.044006).
- [130] S. Khan, S. Husa, M. Hannam, F. Ohme, M. Purrer, X. J. Forteza, and A. Bohe, Phys. Rev. D 93[, 044007 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.044007).
- [131] R. Smith, S. E. Field, K. Blackburn, C.-J. Haster, M. Pürrer, V. Raymond, and P. Schmidt, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.044031) 94, [044031 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.044031)
- [132] J. Vines, E. E. Flanagan, and T. Hinderer, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.084051) 83, [084051 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.084051)
- [133] B. Mikoczi, M. Vasuth, and L. A. Gergely, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.124043) 71[, 124043 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.124043)
- [134] A. Bohé, G. Faye, S. Marsat, and E. K. Porter, [Classical](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/19/195010) [Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/19/195010) 32, 195010 (2015).
- [135] J. Veitch et al., Phys. Rev. D 91[, 042003 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.042003).
- [136] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116[, 241102 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.241102)
- [137] C. Cahillane et al., [arXiv:1708.03023.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1708.03023)
- [138] L. P. Singer, H.-Y. Chen, D. E. Holz, W. M. Farr, L. R. Price, V. Raymond, S. B. Cenko, N. Gehrels, J. Cannizzo, M. M. Kasliwal, S. Nissanke, M. Coughlin, B. Farr, A. L. Urban, S. Vitale, J. Veitch, P. Graff, C. P. L. Berry, S. Mohapatra, and I. Mandel, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/829/1/L15) 829, L15 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/829/1/L15)
- [139] H.-Y. Chen and D. E. Holz, [arXiv:1612.01471.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1612.01471)
- [140] T.A. Apostolatos, C. Cutler, G.J. Sussman, and K.S. Thorne, Phys. Rev. D 49[, 6274 \(1994\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.49.6274)
- [141] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration, Virgo Collaboration, IM2H Collaboration, Dark Energy Camera GW-EM Collaboration, DES Collaboration, DLT40 Collaboration, Las Cumbres Observatory Collaboration, VINROUGE Collaboration, and MASTER Collaboration),

[Nature \(London\), in press \(2017\), DOI: 10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24471) [nature24471.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24471)

- [142] A. Krolak and B. F. Schutz, [Gen. Relativ. Gravit.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00759095) 19, 1163 [\(1987\).](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00759095)
- [143] D. J. Fixsen, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/707/2/916) **707**, 916 (2009).
- [144] A. C. Crook, J. P. Huchra, N. Martimbeau, K. L. Masters, T. Jarrett, and L. M. Macri, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/510201) 655, 790 [\(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/510201)
- [145] A. C. Crook, J. P. Huchra, N. Martimbeau, K. L. Masters, T. Jarrett, and L. M. Macri, Astrophys. J. 685[, 1320 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1086/590385)
- [146] M. Hannam, D. A. Brown, S. Fairhurst, C. L. Fryer, and I. W. Harry, [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/766/1/L14) 766, L14 (2013).
- [147] F. Ohme, A. B. Nielsen, D. Keppel, and A. Lundgren, Phys. Rev. D ⁸⁸[, 042002 \(2013\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.042002).
- [148] C. P. L. Berry *et al.*, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/804/2/114) **804**, 114 (2015).
- [149] M. Pürrer, M. Hannam, and F. Ohme, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.084042) 93, [084042 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.084042)
- [150] B. Farr et al., [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/825/2/116) 825, 116 (2016).
- [151] K.-W. Lo and L.-M. Lin, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/728/1/12) **728**, 12 (2011).
- [152] E. Racine, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**[, 044021 \(2008\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.78.044021).
- [153] J. W. T. Hessels, S. M. Ransom, I. H. Stairs, P. C. C. Freire, V. M. Kaspi, and F. Camilo, Science 311[, 1901 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1123430)
- [154] R. S. Lynch, P. C. C. Freire, S. M. Ransom, and B. A. Jacoby, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/745/2/109) 745, 109 (2012).
- [155] M. Burgay *et al.*, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02124) **426**, 531 (2003).
- [156] H. Müther, M. Prakash, and T. L. Ainsworth, [Phys. Lett. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(87)91611-X) 199[, 469 \(1987\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(87)91611-X).
- [157] H. Mueller and B. D. Serot, [Nucl. Phys. A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(96)00187-X) A606, 508 [\(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9474(96)00187-X)
- [158] F. Douchin and P. Haensel, [Astron. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20011402) 380, 151 [\(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20011402)
- [159] A. Akmal, V.R. Pandharipande, and D.G. Ravenhall, Phys. Rev. C 58[, 1804 \(1998\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.58.1804).
- [160] B. D. Lackey, M. Nayyar, and B. J. Owen, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.024021) 73[, 024021 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.73.024021)
- [161] J. S. Read, B. D. Lackey, B. J. Owen, and J. L. Friedman, Phys. Rev. D 79[, 124032 \(2009\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.79.124032).
- [162] J. M. Lattimer and M. Prakash, [Phys. Rep.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2015.12.005) 621, 127 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2015.12.005)
- [163] F. Ozel and P. Freire, [Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023322) **54**, [401 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023322).
- [164] A. L. Watts et al., [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.021001) 88, 021001 (2016).
- [165] A. W. Steiner, C. O. Heinke, S. Bogdanov, C. Li, W. C. G. Ho, A. Bahramian, and S. Han, [arXiv:1709.05013.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1709.05013)
- [166] J. Nättilä, M. C. Miller, A. W. Steiner, J. J. E. Kajava, V. F. Suleimanov, and J. Poutanen, [arXiv:1709.09120.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1709.09120)
- [167] B. D. Lackey and L. Wade, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.043002) 91, 043002 [\(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.043002)
- [168] A. W. Steiner, S. Gandolfi, F. J. Fattoyev, and W. G. Newton, Phys. Rev. C 91[, 015804 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.91.015804).
- [169] R. De Pietri, A. Feo, F. Maione, and F. Löffler, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.064047) ^D 93[, 064047 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.064047)
- [170] T. Dietrich, M. Ujevic, W. Tichy, S. Bernuzzi, and B. Brügmann, Phys. Rev. D 95[, 024029 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.024029)
- [171] T. Dietrich and T. Hinderer, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.124006) 95, 124006 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.124006)
- [172] T. Dietrich, S. Bernuzzi, and W. Tichy, [arXiv:1706.02969.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1706.02969)
- [173] T. Damour and A. Nagar, Phys. Rev. D **81**[, 084016 \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.81.084016)
- [174] B. D. Lackey, S. Bernuzzi, C. R. Galley, J. Meidam, and C. Van Den Broeck, Phys. Rev. D 95[, 104036 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.104036).
- [175] S. Bernuzzi, A. Nagar, T. Dietrich, and T. Damour, [Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.161103) Rev. Lett. 114[, 161103 \(2015\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.161103).
- [176] T. Hinderer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**[, 181101 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.181101)
- [177] J. Steinhoff, T. Hinderer, A. Buonanno, and A. Taracchini, Phys. Rev. D 94[, 104028 \(2016\)](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.94.104028).
- [178] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), [Astrophys. J. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/833/1/L1) 833, L1 (2016).
- [179] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), [Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.](https://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/227/2/14) 227, 14 [\(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.3847/0067-0049/227/2/14)
- [180] C. Kim, V. Kalogera, and D. R. Lorimer, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1086/345740) 584, [985 \(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1086/345740).
- [181] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 118[, 121101 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.121101)
- [182] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116[, 131102 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.131102)
- [183] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, Technical Report No. LIGO-P170272, 2017.
- [184] N. Andersson, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/20/7/201) 20, R105 [\(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/20/7/201)
- [185] A. L. Piro, B. Giacomazzo, and R. Perna, [Astrophys. J.](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa7f2f) 844[, L19 \(2017\)](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa7f2f).
- [186] J.A. Clark, A. Bauswein, N. Stergioulas, and D. Shoemaker, [Classical Quantum Gravity](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/8/085003) 33, 085003 (2016).
- [187] P.D. Lasky and K. Glampedakis, [Mon. Not. R. Astron.](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw435) Soc. 458[, 1660 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw435)
- [188] S. Bose, K. Chakravarti, L. Rezzolla, B. S. Sathyaprakash, and K. Takami, [arXiv:1705.10850.](http://arXiv.org/abs/1705.10850)
- [189] M. Shibata and K. Uryū, [Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.61.064001) 61, 064001 [\(2000\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.61.064001)
- [190] L. Baiotti and L. Rezzolla, [Rep. Prog. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aa67bb) 80, 096901 [\(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aa67bb)
- [191] S. Klimenko et al., Phys. Rev. D 93[, 042004 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.042004)
- [192] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 93[, 042005 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.042005)
- [193] LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration et al., Technical Report No. LIGO-P1700318, 2017.
- [194] B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 116[, 221101 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.221101)
- [195] B. F. Schutz, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/323310a0) **323**, 310 (1986).
- [196] D. Eichler, M. Livio, T. Piran, and D. N. Schramm, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/340126a0) (London) 340[, 126 \(1989\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/340126a0).
- [197] P. D'Avanzo, [J. High Energy Astrophys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jheap.2015.07.002) 7, 73 (2015).

B. P. Abbott,¹ R. Abbott,¹ T. D. Abbott,² F. Acernese,^{3,4} K. Ackley,^{5,6} C. Adams,⁷ T. Adams,⁸ P. Addesso,⁹ R. X. Adhikari,¹ V. B. Adya,¹⁰ C. Affeldt,¹⁰ M. Afrough,¹¹ B. Agarwal,¹² M. Agathos,¹³ K. Agatsuma,¹⁴ N. Aggarwal,¹⁵ O. D. Aguiar,¹⁶ L. Aiello,^{17,18} A. Ain,¹⁹ P. Ajith,²⁰ B. Allen,^{10,21,22} G. Allen,¹² A. Allocca,^{23,24} P. A. Altin,²⁵ A. Amato,²⁶ A. Ananyeva,¹ S. B. Anderson,¹ W. G. Anderson,²¹ S. V. Angelova,²⁷ S. Antier,²⁸ S. Appert,¹ K. Arai,¹ M. C. Araya,¹ J. S. Areeda,²⁹ N. Arnaud,^{28,30} K. G. Arun,³¹ S. Ascenzi,^{32,33} G. Ashton,¹⁰ M. Ast,³⁴ S. M. Aston,⁷ P. Astone,³⁵ D. V. Atallah,³⁶ P. Aufmuth,²² C. Aulbert,¹⁰ K. AultONeal,³⁷ C. Austin,² A. Avila-Alvarez,²⁹ S. Babak,³⁸ P. Bacon,³⁹ M. K. M. Bader,¹⁴ S. Bae,⁴⁰ M. Bailes,⁴¹ P. T. Baker,⁴² F. Baldaccini,^{43,44} G. Ballardin,³⁰ S. W. Ballmer,⁴⁵ S. Banagiri,⁴⁶ J. C. Barayoga,¹ S. E. Barclay,⁴⁷ B. C. Barish,¹ D. Barker,⁴⁸ K. Barkett,⁴⁹ F. Barone,^{3,4} B. Barr,⁴⁷ L. Barsotti,¹⁵ M. Barsuglia,³⁹ D. Barta,⁵⁰ S. D. Barthelmy,⁵¹ J. Bartlett,⁴⁸ I. Bartos,^{52,5} R. Bassiri,⁵³ A. Basti,^{23,24} J. C. Batch,⁴⁸ M. Bawaj,^{54,44} J. C. Bayley,⁴⁷ M. Bazzan, $55,56$ B. Bécsy, 57 C. Beer, 10 M. Bejger, 58 I. Belahcene, 28 A. S. Bell, 47 B. K. Berger, 1 G. Bergmann, 10 S. Bernuzzi,^{59,60} J. J. Bero,⁶¹ C. P. L. Berry,⁶² D. Bersanetti,⁶³ A. Bertolini,¹⁴ J. Betzwieser,⁷ S. Bhagwat,⁴⁵ R. Bhandare,⁶⁴ I. A. Bilenko,⁶⁵ G. Billingsley,¹ C. R. Billman,⁵ J. Birch,⁷ R. Birney,⁶⁶ O. Birnholtz,¹⁰ S. Biscans,^{1,15} S. Biscoveanu,^{67,6} A. Bisht,²² M. Bitossi,^{30,24} C. Biwer,⁴⁵ M. A. Bizouard,²⁸ J. K. Blackburn,¹ J. Blackman,⁴⁹ C. D. Blair,^{1,68} D. G. Blair,⁶⁸ R. M. Blair,⁴⁸ S. Bloemen,⁶⁹ O. Bock,¹⁰ N. Bode,¹⁰ M. Boer,⁷⁰ G. Bogaert,⁷⁰ A. Bohe,³⁸ F. Bondu,⁷¹ E. Bonilla,⁵³ R. Bonnand, 8 B. A. Boom, 14 R. Bork, 1 V. Boschi, $30,24$ S. Bose, $72,19$ K. Bossie, 7 Y. Bouffanais, 39 A. Bozzi, 30 C. Bradaschia, 24 P. R. Brady,²¹ M. Branchesi,^{17,18} J. E. Brau,⁷³ T. Briant,⁷⁴ A. Brillet,⁷⁰ M. Brinkmann,¹⁰ V. Brisson,²⁸ P. Brockill,²¹ J. E. Broida,⁷⁵ A. F. Brooks,¹ D. A. Brown,⁴⁵ D. D. Brown,⁷⁶ S. Brunett,¹ C. C. Buchanan,² A. Buikema,¹⁵ T. Bulik,⁷⁷ H. J. Bulten,^{78,14} A. Buonanno,^{38,79} D. Buskulic, C. Buy,³⁹ R. L. Byer,⁵³ M. Cabero,¹⁰ L. Cadonati,⁸⁰ G. Cagnoli,^{26,81} C. Cahillane,¹ J. Calderón Bustillo,⁸⁰ T. A. Callister,¹ E. Calloni,^{82,4} J. B. Camp,⁵¹ M. Canepa,^{83,63} P. Canizares,⁶⁹ K. C. Cannon, 84 H. Cao, 76 J. Cao, 85 C. D. Capano, 10 E. Capocasa, 39 F. Carbognani, 30 S. Caride, 86 M. F. Carney, 87 G. Carullo,^{23,24} J. Casanueva Diaz,²⁸ C. Casentini,^{32,33} S. Caudill,^{21,14} M. Cavaglià,¹¹ F. Cavalier,²⁸ R. Cavalieri,³⁰ G. Cella,²⁴ C. B. Cepeda,¹ P. Cerdá-Durán,⁸⁸ G. Cerretani,^{23,24} E. Cesarini,^{89,33} S. J. Chamberlin,⁶⁷ M. Chan,⁴⁷ S. Chao,⁹⁰ P. Charlton,⁹¹ E. Chase, 92 E. Chassande-Mottin, 39 D. Chatterjee, 21 K. Chatziioannou, 93 B. D. Cheeseboro, 42 H. Y. Chen, 94 X. Chen, 68 Y. Chen,⁴⁹ H.-P. Cheng,⁵ H. Chia,⁵ A. Chincarini,⁶³ A. Chiummo,³⁰ T. Chmiel,⁸⁷ H. S. Cho,⁹⁵ M. Cho,⁷⁹ J. H. Chow,²⁵ N. Christensen,^{75,70} Q. Chu,⁶⁸ A. J. K. Chua,¹³ S. Chua,⁷⁴ A. K. W. Chung,⁹⁶ S. Chung,⁶⁸ G. Ciani,^{5,55,56} R. Ciolfi,^{97,98} C. E. Cirelli,⁵³ A. Cirone,^{83,63} F. Clara,⁴⁸ J. A. Clark,⁸⁰ P. Clearwater,⁹⁹ F. Cleva,⁷⁰ C. Cocchieri,¹¹ E. Coccia,^{17,18} P.-F. Cohadon,⁷⁴ D. Cohen,²⁸ A. Colla,^{100,35} C. G. Collette,¹⁰¹ L. R. Cominsky,¹⁰² M. Constancio Jr.,¹⁶ L. Conti,⁵⁶ S. J. Cooper,⁶² P. Corban,⁷ T. R. Corbitt,² I. Cordero-Carrión,¹⁰³ K. R. Corley,⁵² N. Cornish,¹⁰⁴ A. Corsi,⁸⁶ S. Cortese,³⁰

C. A. Costa, ¹⁶ M. W. Coughlin, ^{75,1} S. B. Coughlin, 92 J.-P. Coulon, 70 S. T. Countryman, 52 P. Couvares, ¹ P. B. Covas, 105 E. E. Cowan, ⁸⁰ D. M. Coward, ⁶⁸ M. J. Cowart, ⁷ D. C. Coyne, ¹ R. Coyne, ⁸⁶ J. D. E. Creighton, ²¹ T. D. Creighton, ¹⁰⁶ J. Cripe,² S. G. Crowder,¹⁰⁷ T. J. Cullen,^{29,2} A. Cumming,⁴⁷ L. Cunningham,⁴⁷ E. Cuoco,³⁰ T. Dal Canton,⁵¹ G. Dálya,⁵⁷ S. L. Danilishin,^{22,10} S. D'Antonio,³³ K. Danzmann,^{22,10} A. Dasgupta,¹⁰⁸ C. F. Da Silva Costa,⁵ V. Dattilo,³⁰ I. Dave,⁶⁴ M. Davier,²⁸ D. Davis,⁴⁵ E. J. Daw,¹⁰⁹ B. Day,⁸⁰ S. De,⁴⁵ D. DeBra,⁵³ J. Degallaix,²⁶ M. De Laurentis,^{17,4} S. Deléglise,⁷⁴ W. Del Pozzo, $62,23,24$ N. Demos, 15 T. Denker, 10 T. Dent, 10 R. De Pietri, 59,60 V. Dergachev, 38 R. De Rosa, 82,4 R. T. DeRosa, 7 C. De Rossi, 26,30 R. DeSalvo, 110 O. de Varona, 10 J. Devenson, 27 S. Dhurandhar, 19 M. C. Díaz, 106 T. Dietrich, 38 L. Di Fiore, 4 M. Di Giovanni,^{111,98} T. Di Girolamo,^{52,82,4} A. Di Lieto,^{23,24} S. Di Pace,^{100,35} I. Di Palma,^{100,35} F. Di Renzo,^{23,24} Z. Doctor,⁹⁴ V. Dolique,²⁶ F. Donovan,¹⁵ K. L. Dooley,¹¹ S. Doravari,¹⁰ I. Dorrington,³⁶ R. Douglas,⁴⁷ M. Dovale Álvarez,⁶² T. P. Downes,²¹ M. Drago,¹⁰ C. Dreissigacker,¹⁰ J. C. Driggers,⁴⁸ Z. Du,⁸⁵ M. Ducrot,⁸ R. Dudi,³⁶ P. Dupej,⁴⁷ S. E. Dwyer,⁴⁸ T. B. Edo,¹⁰⁹ M. C. Edwards,⁷⁵ A. Effler,⁷ H.-B. Eggenstein,^{38,10} P. Ehrens,¹ J. Eichholz,¹ S. S. Eikenberry,⁵ R. A. Eisenstein,¹⁵ R. C. Essick,¹⁵ D. Estevez, 8 Z. B. Etienne,⁴² T. Etzel,¹ M. Evans,¹⁵ T. M. Evans,⁷ M. Factourovich,⁵² V. Fafone, $32,33,17$ H. Fair, 45 S. Fairhurst, 36 X. Fan, 85 S. Farinon, 63 B. Farr, 94 W. M. Farr, 62 E. J. Fauchon-Jones, 36 M. Favata, 112 M. Fays,³⁶ C. Fee,⁸⁷ H. Fehrmann,¹⁰ J. Feicht,¹ M. M. Fejer,⁵³ A. Fernandez-Galiana,¹⁵ I. Ferrante,^{23,24} E. C. Ferreira,¹⁶ F. Ferrini,³⁰ F. Fidecaro,^{23,24} D. Finstad,⁴⁵ I. Fiori,³⁰ D. Fiorucci,³⁹ M. Fishbach,⁹⁴ R. P. Fisher,⁴⁵ M. Fitz-Axen,⁴⁶ R. Flaminio, 26,113 M. Fletcher, 47 H. Fong, 93 J. A. Font, 88,114 P. W. F. Forsyth, 25 S. S. Forsyth, 80 J.-D. Fournier, 70 S. Frasca, 100,35 F. Frasconi, 24 Z. Frei, 57 A. Freise, 62 R. Frey, 73 V. Frey, 28 E. M. Fries, 1 P. Fritschel, 15 V. V. Frolov, 7 P. Fulda, 5 M. Fyffe,⁷ H. Gabbard,⁴⁷ B. U. Gadre,¹⁹ S. M. Gaebel,⁶² J. R. Gair,¹¹⁵ L. Gammaitoni,⁴³ M. R. Ganija,⁷⁶ S. G. Gaonkar,¹⁹ C. Garcia-Quiros,¹⁰⁵ F. Garufi,^{82,4} B. Gateley,⁴⁸ S. Gaudio,³⁷ G. Gaur,¹¹⁶ V. Gayathri,¹¹⁷ N. Gehrels,^{51,[†](#page-19-0)} G. Gemme,⁶³ E. Genin,³⁰ A. Gennai,²⁴ D. George,¹² J. George,⁶⁴ L. Gergely,¹¹⁸ V. Germain,⁸ S. Ghonge,⁸⁰ Abhirup Ghosh,²⁰ Archisman Ghosh,^{20,14} S. Ghosh,^{69,14,21} J. A. Giaime,^{2,7} K. D. Giardina,⁷ A. Giazotto,²⁴ K. Gill,³⁷ L. Glover,¹¹⁰ E. Goetz,¹¹⁹ R. Goetz,⁵ S. Gomes,³⁶ B. Goncharov,⁶ G. González,² J. M. Gonzalez Castro,^{23,24} A. Gopakumar,¹²⁰ M. L. Gorodetsky,⁶⁵ S. E. Gossan,¹ M. Gosselin,³⁰ R. Gouaty,⁸ A. Grado,^{121,4} C. Graef,⁴⁷ M. Granata,²⁶ A. Grant,⁴⁷ S. Gras,¹⁵ C. Gray,⁴⁸ G. Greco, 122,123 A. C. Green, 62 E. M. Gretarsson, 37 P. Groot, 69 H. Grote, 10 S. Grunewald, 38 P. Gruning, 28 G. M. Guidi, 122,123 X. Guo,⁸⁵ A. Gupta,⁶⁷ M. K. Gupta,¹⁰⁸ K. E. Gushwa,¹ E. K. Gustafson,¹ R. Gustafson,¹¹⁹ O. Halim,^{18,17} B. R. Hall,⁷² E. D. Hall,¹⁵ E. Z. Hamilton,³⁶ G. Hammond,⁴⁷ M. Haney,¹²⁴ M. M. Hanke,¹⁰ J. Hanks,⁴⁸ C. Hanna,⁶⁷ M. D. Hannam,³⁶ O. A. Hannuksela, 96 J. Hanson, 7 T. Hardwick, 2 J. Harms, 17,18 G. M. Harry, 125 I. W. Harry, 38 M. J. Hart, 47 C.-J. Haster, 93 K. Haughian,⁴⁷ J. Healy,⁶¹ A. Heidmann,⁷⁴ M. C. Heintze,⁷ H. Heitmann,⁷⁰ P. Hello,²⁸ G. Hemming,³⁰ M. Hendry,⁴⁷ I. S. Heng,⁴⁷ J. Hennig,⁴⁷ A. W. Heptonstall,¹ M. Heurs,^{10,22} S. Hild,⁴⁷ T. Hinderer,⁶⁹ W. C. G. Ho,¹²⁶ D. Hoak,³⁰ D. Hofman,²⁶ K. Holt,⁷ D. E. Holz,⁹⁴ P. Hopkins,³⁶ C. Horst,²¹ J. Hough,⁴⁷ E. A. Houston,⁴⁷ E. J. Howell,⁶⁸ A. Hreibi,⁷⁰ Y. M. Hu, 10 E. A. Huerta, 12 D. Huet, 28 B. Hughey, 37 S. Husa, 105 S. H. Huttner, 47 T. Huynh-Dinh, 7 N. Indik, 10 R. Inta, 86 G. Intini, 100,35 H. N. Isa, 47 J.-M. Isac, 74 M. Isi, 1 B. R. Iyer, 20 K. Izumi, 48 T. Jacqmin, 74 K. Jani, 80 P. Jaranowski, 127 S. Jawahar, ⁶⁶ F. Jiménez-Forteza, ¹⁰⁵ W. W. Johnson, ² N. K. Johnson-McDaniel, ¹³ D. I. Jones, ¹²⁶ R. Jones, ⁴⁷ R. J. G. Jonker, ¹⁴ L. Ju,⁶⁸ J. Junker, ¹⁰ C. V. Kalaghatgi, ³⁶ V. Kalogera, ⁹² B. Kamai, ¹ S. Kandhasamy, ⁷ G. Kang, ⁴⁰ J. B. Kanner,¹ S. J. Kapadia,²¹ S. Karki,⁷³ K. S. Karvinen,¹⁰ M. Kasprzack,² W. Kastaun,¹⁰ M. Katolik,¹² E. Katsavounidis,¹⁵ W. Katzman,⁷ S. Kaufer,²² K. Kawabe,⁴⁸ F. Kéfélian,⁷⁰ D. Keitel,⁴⁷ A. J. Kemball,¹² R. Kennedy,¹⁰⁹ C. Kent,³⁶ J. S. Key,¹²⁸ F. Y. Khalili,⁶⁵ I. Khan,^{17,33} S. Khan,¹⁰ Z. Khan,¹⁰⁸ E. A. Khazanov,¹²⁹ N. Kijbunchoo,²⁵ Chunglee Kim,¹³⁰ J. C. Kim,¹³¹ K. Kim,⁹⁶ W. Kim,⁷⁶ W. S. Kim,¹³² Y.-M. Kim,⁹⁵ S. J. Kimbrell,⁸⁰ E. J. King,⁷⁶ P. J. King,⁴⁸ M. Kinley-Hanlon,¹²⁵ R. Kirchhoff,¹⁰ J. S. Kissel,⁴⁸ L. Kleybolte,³⁴ S. Klimenko,⁵ T. D. Knowles,⁴² P. Koch,¹⁰ S. M. Koehlenbeck,¹⁰ S. Koley,¹⁴ V. Kondrashov,¹ A. Kontos,¹⁵ M. Korobko,³⁴ W. Z. Korth,¹ I. Kowalska,⁷⁷ D. B. Kozak,¹ C. Krämer,¹⁰ V. Kringel,¹⁰ B. Krishnan,¹⁰ A. Królak,^{133,134} G. Kuehn,¹⁰ P. Kumar,⁹³ R. Kumar,¹⁰⁸ S. Kumar,²⁰ L. Kuo,⁹⁰ A. Kutynia,¹³³ S. Kwang,²¹ B. D. Lackey,³⁸ K. H. Lai,⁹⁶ M. Landry,⁴⁸ R. N. Lang,¹³⁵ J. Lange,⁶¹ B. Lantz,⁵³ R. K. Lanza,¹⁵ S. L. Larson,⁹² A. Lartaux-Vollard,²⁸ P. D. Lasky,⁶ M. Laxen,⁷ A. Lazzarini,¹ C. Lazzaro,⁵⁶ P. Leaci,^{100,35} S. Leavey,⁴⁷ C. H. Lee,⁹⁵ H. K. Lee,¹³⁶ H. M. Lee,¹³⁷ H. W. Lee,¹³¹ K. Lee,⁴⁷ J. Lehmann,¹⁰ A. Lenon,⁴² E. Leon,²⁹ M. Leonardi,^{111,98} N. Leroy,²⁸ N. Letendre, 8 Y. Levin, 6 T. G. F. Li, 96 S. D. Linker, 110 T. B. Littenberg, 138 J. Liu, 68 X. Liu, 21 R. K. L. Lo, 96 N. A. Lockerbie, 66 L. T. London,³⁶ J. E. Lord,⁴⁵ M. Lorenzini,^{17,18} V. Loriette,¹³⁹ M. Lormand,⁷ G. Losurdo,²⁴ J. D. Lough,¹⁰ C. O. Lousto,⁶¹ G. Lovelace,²⁹ H. Lück,^{22,10} D. Lumaca,^{32,33} A. P. Lundgren,¹⁰ R. Lynch,¹⁵ Y. Ma,⁴⁹ R. Macas,³⁶ S. Macfoy,²⁷ B. Machenschalk,¹⁰ M. MacInnis,¹⁵ D. M. Macleod,³⁶ I. Magaña Hernandez,²¹ F. Magaña-Sandoval,⁴⁵ L. Magaña Zertuche,⁴⁵ R. M. Magee,⁶⁷ E. Majorana,³⁵ I. Maksimovic,¹³⁹ N. Man,⁷⁰ V. Mandic,⁴⁶ V. Mangano,⁴⁷

G. L. Mansell,²⁵ M. Manske,^{21,25} M. Mantovani,³⁰ F. Marchesoni,^{54,44} F. Marion,⁸ S. Márka,⁵² Z. Márka,⁵² C. Markakis,¹² A. S. Markosyan,⁵³ A. Markowitz,¹ E. Maros,¹ A. Marquina,¹⁰³ P. Marsh,¹²⁸ F. Martelli,^{122,123} L. Martellini,⁷⁰ I. W. Martin,⁴⁷ R. M. Martin, ¹¹² D. V. Martynov, ¹⁵ J. N. Marx, ¹ K. Mason, ¹⁵ E. Massera, ¹⁰⁹ A. Masserot, ⁸ T. J. Massinger, ¹ M. Masso-Reid,⁴⁷ S. Mastrogiovanni,^{100,35} A. Matas,⁴⁶ F. Matichard,^{1,15} L. Matone,⁵² N. Mavalvala,¹⁵ N. Mazumder,⁷² R. McCarthy,⁴⁸ D. E. McClelland,²⁵ S. McCormick,⁷ L. McCuller,¹⁵ S. C. McGuire,¹⁴⁰ G. McIntyre,¹ J. McIver,¹ D. J. McManus,²⁵ L. McNeill,⁶ T. McRae,²⁵ S. T. McWilliams,⁴² D. Meacher,⁶⁷ G. D. Meadors,^{38,10} M. Mehmet,¹⁰ J. Meidam, 14 E. Mejuto-Villa, 9 A. Melatos, 99 G. Mendell, 48 R. A. Mercer, 21 E. L. Merilh, 48 M. Merzougui, 70 S. Meshkov, 1 C. Messenger,⁴⁷ C. Messick,⁶⁷ R. Metzdorff,⁷⁴ P. M. Meyers,⁴⁶ H. Miao,⁶² C. Michel,²⁶ H. Middleton,⁶² E. E. Mikhailov,¹⁴¹ L. Milano, $82,4$ A. L. Miller, $5,100,35$ B. B. Miller, 92 J. Miller, 15 M. Millhouse, 104 M. C. Milovich-Goff, 110 O. Minazzoli, $70,142$ Y. Minenkov,³³ J. Ming,³⁸ C. Mishra,¹⁴³ S. Mitra,¹⁹ V. P. Mitrofanov,⁶⁵ G. Mitselmakher,⁵ R. Mittleman,¹⁵ D. Moffa,⁸⁷ A. Moggi,²⁴ K. Mogushi,¹¹ M. Mohan,³⁰ S. R. P. Mohapatra,¹⁵ I. Molina,²⁹ M. Montani,^{122,123} C. J. Moore,¹³ D. Moraru,⁴⁸ G. Moreno, 48 S. Morisaki, 84 S. R. Morriss, 106 B. Mours, 8 C. M. Mow-Lowry, 62 G. Mueller, 5 A. W. Muir, 36 Arunava Mukherjee,¹⁰ D. Mukherjee,²¹ S. Mukherjee,¹⁰⁶ N. Mukund,¹⁹ A. Mullavey,⁷ J. Munch,⁷⁶ E. A. Muñiz,⁴⁵ M. Muratore,³⁷ P. G. Murray,⁴⁷ A. Nagar,^{89,144,145} K. Napier,⁸⁰ I. Nardecchia,^{32,33} L. Naticchioni,^{100,35} R. K. Nayak,¹⁴⁶ J. Neilson,¹¹⁰ G. Nelemans,^{69,14} T. J. N. Nelson,⁷ M. Nery,¹⁰ A. Neunzert,¹¹⁹ L. Nevin,¹ J. M. Newport,¹²⁵ G. Newton,^{47,[‡](#page-19-1)} K. K. Y. Ng, ⁹⁶ P. Nguyen, ⁷³ T. T. Nguyen, ²⁵ D. Nichols, ⁶⁹ A. B. Nielsen, ¹⁰ S. Nissanke, ^{69,14} A. Nitz, ¹⁰ A. Noack, ¹⁰ F. Nocera, 30 D. Nolting, 7 C. North, 36 L. K. Nuttall, 36 J. Oberling, 48 G. D. O'Dea, 110 G. H. Ogin, 147 J. J. Oh, 132 S. H. Oh, 132 F. Ohme,¹⁰ M. A. Okada,¹⁶ M. Oliver,¹⁰⁵ P. Oppermann,¹⁰ Richard J. Oram,⁷ B. O'Reilly,⁷ R. Ormiston,⁴⁶ L. F. Ortega,⁵ R. O'Shaughnessy, ⁶¹ S. Ossokine, ³⁸ D. J. Ottaway, ⁷⁶ H. Overmier, ⁷ B. J. Owen, ⁸⁶ A. E. Pace, ⁶⁷ J. Page, ¹³⁸ M. A. Page, ⁶⁸ A. Pai,^{117,148} S. A. Pai,⁶⁴ J. R. Palamos,⁷³ O. Palashov,¹²⁹ C. Palomba,³⁵ A. Pal-Singh,³⁴ Howard Pan,⁹⁰ Huang-Wei Pan,⁹⁰ B. Pang,⁴⁹ P. T. H. Pang,⁹⁶ C. Pankow,⁹² F. Pannarale,³⁶ B. C. Pant,⁶⁴ F. Paoletti,²⁴ A. Paoli,³⁰ M. A. Papa,^{38,21,10} A. Parida,¹⁹ W. Parker,⁷ D. Pascucci,⁴⁷ A. Pasqualetti,³⁰ R. Passaquieti,^{23,24} D. Passuello,²⁴ M. Patil,¹³⁴ B. Patricelli,^{149,24} B. L. Pearlstone,⁴⁷ M. Pedraza,¹ R. Pedurand,^{26,150} L. Pekowsky,⁴⁵ A. Pele,⁷ S. Penn,¹⁵¹ C. J. Perez,⁴⁸ A. Perreca,^{1,111,98} L. M. Perri, ⁹² H. P. Pfeiffer, ^{93,38} M. Phelps, ⁴⁷ O. J. Piccinni, ^{100,35} M. Pichot, ⁷⁰ F. Piergiovanni, ^{122,123} V. Pierro, ⁹ G. Pillant, ³⁰ L. Pinard,²⁶ I. M. Pinto,⁹ M. Pirello,⁴⁸ M. Pitkin,⁴⁷ M. Poe,²¹ R. Poggiani,^{23,24} P. Popolizio,³⁰ E. K. Porter,³⁹ A. Post,¹⁰ J. Powell,^{47,41} J. Prasad,¹⁹ J. W. W. Pratt,³⁷ G. Pratten,¹⁰⁵ V. Predoi,³⁶ T. Prestegard,²¹ M. Prijatelj,¹⁰ M. Principe,⁹ S. Privitera, 38 R. Prix, 10 G. A. Prodi, 111,98 L. G. Prokhorov, 65 O. Puncken, 10 M. Punturo, 44 P. Puppo, 35 M. Pürrer, 38 H. Qi, 21 V. Quetschke, 106 E. A. Quintero, ¹ R. Quitzow-James, 73 F. J. Raab, 48 D. S. Rabeling, 25 H. Radkins, 48 P. Raffai, 57 S. Raja, 64 C. Rajan, ⁶⁴ B. Rajbhandari, ⁸⁶ M. Rakhmanov, ¹⁰⁶ K. E. Ramirez, ¹⁰⁶ A. Ramos-Buades, ¹⁰⁵ P. Rapagnani, ^{100,35} V. Raymond, ³⁸ M. Razzano,^{23,24} J. Read,²⁹ T. Regimbau,⁷⁰ L. Rei,⁶³ S. Reid,⁶⁶ D. H. Reitze,^{1,5} W. Ren,¹² S. D. Reyes,⁴⁵ F. Ricci,^{100,35} P. M. Ricker,¹² S. Rieger,¹⁰ K. Riles,¹¹⁹ M. Rizzo,⁶¹ N. A. Robertson,^{1,47} R. Robie,⁴⁷ F. Robinet,²⁸ A. Rocchi,³³ L. Rolland,⁸ J. G. Rollins,¹ V. J. Roma,⁷³ J. D. Romano,¹⁰⁶ R. Romano,^{3,4} C. L. Romel,⁴⁸ J. H. Romie,⁷ D. Rosińska,^{152,58} M. P. Ross,¹⁵³ S. Rowan,⁴⁷ A. Rüdiger,¹⁰ P. Ruggi,³⁰ G. Rutins,²⁷ K. Ryan,⁴⁸ S. Sachdev,¹ T. Sadecki,⁴⁸ L. Sadeghian,²¹ M. Sakellariadou,¹⁵⁴ L. Salconi,³⁰ M. Saleem,¹¹⁷ F. Salemi,¹⁰ A. Samajdar,¹⁴⁶ L. Sammut,⁶ L. M. Sampson,⁹² E. J. Sanchez,¹ L. E. Sanchez, ¹ N. Sanchis-Gual, ⁸⁸ V. Sandberg, ⁴⁸ J. R. Sanders, ⁴⁵ B. Sassolas, ²⁶ B. S. Sathyaprakash, ^{67,36} P. R. Saulson, ⁴⁵ O. Sauter, ¹¹⁹ R. L. Savage, ⁴⁸ A. Sawadsky, ³⁴ P. Schale, ⁷³ M. Scheel, ⁴⁹ J. Scheuer, ⁹² J. Schmidt, ¹⁰ P. Schmidt, ^{1,69} R. Schnabel,³⁴ R. M. S. Schofield,⁷³ A. Schönbeck,³⁴ E. Schreiber,¹⁰ D. Schuette,^{10,22} B. W. Schulte,¹⁰ B. F. Schutz,^{36,10} S. G. Schwalbe, 37 J. Scott, 47 S. M. Scott, 25 E. Seidel, 12 D. Sellers, 7 A. S. Sengupta, 155 D. Sentenac, 30 V. Sequino, $32,33,17$ A. Sergeev,¹²⁹ D. A. Shaddock,²⁵ T. J. Shaffer,⁴⁸ A. A. Shah,¹³⁸ M. S. Shahriar,⁹² M. B. Shaner,¹¹⁰ L. Shao,³⁸ B. Shapiro,⁵³ P. Shawhan,⁷⁹ A. Sheperd,²¹ D. H. Shoemaker,¹⁵ D. M. Shoemaker,⁸⁰ K. Siellez,⁸⁰ X. Siemens,²¹ M. Sieniawska,⁵⁸ D. Sigg,⁴⁸ A. D. Silva,¹⁶ L. P. Singer,⁵¹ A. Singh,^{38,10,22} A. Singhal,^{17,35} A. M. Sintes,¹⁰⁵ B. J. J. Slagmolen,²⁵ B. Smith,⁷ J. R. Smith,²⁹ R. J. E. Smith,^{1,6} S. Somala,¹⁵⁶ E. J. Son,¹³² J. A. Sonnenberg,²¹ B. Sorazu,⁴⁷ F. Sorrentino,⁶³ T. Souradeep,¹⁹ A. P. Spencer,⁴⁷ A. K. Srivastava,¹⁰⁸ K. Staats,³⁷ A. Staley,⁵² M. Steinke,¹⁰ J. Steinlechner,^{34,47} S. Steinlechner,³⁴ D. Steinmeyer, 10 S. P. Stevenson, 62,41 R. Stone, 106 D. J. Stops, 62 K. A. Strain, 47 G. Stratta, 122,123 S. E. Strigin, 65 A. Strunk, 48 R. Sturani,¹⁵⁷ A. L. Stuver,⁷ T. Z. Summerscales,¹⁵⁸ L. Sun,⁹⁹ S. Sunil,¹⁰⁸ J. Suresh,¹⁹ P. J. Sutton,³⁶ B. L. Swinkels,³⁰ M. J. Szczepańczyk,³⁷ M. Tacca,¹⁴ S. C. Tait,⁴⁷ C. Talbot,⁶ D. Talukder,⁷³ D. B. Tanner,⁵ M. Tápai,¹¹⁸ A. Taracchini,³⁸ J. D. Tasson,⁷⁵ J. A. Taylor,¹³⁸ R. Taylor,¹ S. V. Tewari,¹⁵¹ T. Theeg,¹⁰ F. Thies,¹⁰ E. G. Thomas,⁶² M. Thomas,⁷ P. Thomas,⁴⁸ K. A. Thorne,⁷ K. S. Thorne,⁴⁹ E. Thrane,⁶ S. Tiwari,^{17,98} V. Tiwari,³⁶ K. V. Tokmakov,⁶⁶ K. Toland,⁴⁷ M. Tonelli,^{23,24} Z. Tornasi,⁴⁷ A. Torres-Forné,⁸⁸ C. I. Torrie,¹ D. Töyrä,⁶² F. Travasso,^{30,44} G. Traylor,⁷ J. Trinastic,⁵ M. C. Tringali,^{111,98} L. Trozzo,^{159,24} K. W. Tsang,¹⁴ M. Tse,¹⁵ R. Tso,¹ L. Tsukada,⁸⁴ D. Tsuna,⁸⁴ D. Tuyenbayev,¹⁰⁶ K. Ueno,²¹ D. Ugolini,¹⁶⁰ C. S. Unnikrishnan,¹²⁰ A. L. Urban,¹ S. A. Usman,³⁶ H. Vahlbruch,²² G. Vajente,¹ G. Valdes,² M. Vallisneri,⁴⁹ N. van Bakel,¹⁴ M. van Beuzekom,¹⁴ J. F. J. van den Brand,^{78,14} C. Van Den Broeck,¹⁴ D. C. Vander-Hyde,⁴⁵ L. van der Schaaf,¹⁴ J. V. van Heijningen,¹⁴ A. A. van Veggel,⁴⁷ M. Vardaro,^{55,56} V. Varma,⁴⁹ S. Vass,¹ M. Vasúth,⁵⁰ A. Vecchio,⁶² G. Vedovato,⁵⁶ J. Veitch,⁴⁷ P. J. Veitch,⁷⁶ K. Venkateswara,¹⁵³ G. Venugopalan,¹ D. Verkindt,⁸ F. Vetrano,^{122,123} A. Viceré,^{122,123} A. D. Viets,²¹ S. Vinciguerra,⁶² D. J. Vine,²⁷ J.-Y. Vinet,⁷⁰ S. Vitale,¹⁵ T. Vo,⁴⁵ H. Vocca,^{43,44} C. Vorvick,⁴⁸ S. P. Vyatchanin,⁶⁵ A. R. Wade,¹ L. E. Wade,⁸⁷ M. Wade,⁸⁷ R. Walet,¹⁴ M. Walker,²⁹ L. Wallace,¹ S. Walsh,^{38,10,21} G. Wang,^{17,123} H. Wang,⁶² J. Z. Wang,⁶⁷ W. H. Wang,¹⁰⁶ Y. F. Wang,⁹⁶ R. L. Ward,²⁵ J. Warner,⁴⁸ M. Was,⁸ J. Watchi,¹⁰¹ B. Weaver,⁴⁸ L.-W. Wei,^{10,22} M. Weinert,¹⁰ A. J. Weinstein,¹ R. Weiss,¹⁵ L. Wen,⁶⁸ E. K. Wessel,¹² P. Weßels,¹⁰ J. Westerweck,¹⁰ T. Westphal,¹⁰ K. Wette,²⁵ J. T. Whelan,⁶¹ S. E. Whitcomb,¹ B. F. Whiting,⁵ C. Whittle,⁶ D. Wilken,¹⁰ D. Williams,⁴⁷ R. D. Williams,¹ A. R. Williamson,⁶⁹ J. L. Willis,^{1,161} B. Willke,^{22,10} M. H. Wimmer,¹⁰ W. Winkler,¹⁰ C. C. Wipf,¹ H. Wittel,^{10,22} G. Woan,⁴⁷ J. Woehler,¹⁰ J. Wofford,⁶¹ K. W. K. Wong,⁹⁶ J. Worden,⁴⁸ J. L. Wright,⁴⁷ D. S. Wu,¹⁰ D. M. Wysocki,⁶¹ S. Xiao,¹ H. Yamamoto,¹ C. C. Yancey,⁷⁹ L. Yang,¹⁶² M. J. Yap,²⁵ M. Yazback,⁵ Hang Yu,¹⁵ Haocun Yu,¹⁵ M. Yvert,⁸ A. Zadrożny,¹³³ M. Zanolin,³⁷ T. Zelenova,³⁰ J.-P. Zendri,⁵⁶ M. Zevin,⁹² L. Zhang,¹ M. Zhang,¹⁴¹ T. Zhang,⁴⁷ Y.-H. Zhang,⁶¹ C. Zhao,⁶⁸ M. Zhou,⁹² Z. Zhou,⁹² S. J. Zhu,^{38,10} X. J. Zhu,⁶ A. B. Zimmerman, 93 M. E. Zucker, $1,15$ and J. Zweizig¹

(LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration)

¹LIGO, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA
 $\frac{2}{5}I$ quisique State University, Pator Pouce, Louisique 70803, USA

 2 Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA
 3 Università di Salerno, Fisciano, I-84084 Salerno, Italy

 U niversità di Salerno, Fisciano, I-84084 Salerno, Italy U_{MEM} Saxiona di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte Schneele, Li

INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte S.Angelo, I-80126 Napoli, Italy
⁵Llaivarsity of Florida, Cainewilla, Florida 32611, USA

 $⁵University$ of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA</sup>

 ${}^{6}O$ zGrav, School of Physics & Astronomy, Monash University, Clayton 3800, Victoria, Australia

 U^7 LIGO Livingston Observatory, Livingston, Louisiana 70754, USA

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules (LAPP), Université Savoie Mont Blanc,

CNRS/IN2P3, F-74941 Annecy, France
⁹University of Sannio at Benevento, 1-82100 Benevento, Italy and INFN, Sezione di Napoli, I-80100 Napoli, Italy

"University of Samino at Benevento, 1-82100 Benevento, Italy and INFN. Sezione di Napoli, Italy (Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), D-30167 Hannover, Germany

"The University of I

 33 Università di Roma Tor Vergata, I-00133 Roma, Italy 33 INFN, Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, I-00133 Roma, Italy

 34 Universität Hamburg, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany 35 INFN, Sezione di Roma, I-00185 Roma, Italy

³⁶Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 3AA, United Kingdom
³⁷ Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Prescott, Arizona 86301, USA
³⁸ APC, AstroParticule et Cosmologie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, CEA/Irfu, Obse

⁴⁰Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon 34141, Korea

⁴¹OzGrav, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn VIC 3122, Australia
⁴²West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506, USA
⁴³Università di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy
⁴⁴Syracuse University, Sy

⁴⁹Caltech CaRT, Pasadena, California 91125, USA
⁵⁰Wigner RCP, RMKI, H-1121 Budapest, Konkoly Thege Miklós út 29-33, Hungary
⁵¹NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA
⁵²Columbia University,

⁵⁴Università di Camerino, Dipartimento di Fisica, I-62032 Camerino, Italy
⁵⁵Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, I-35131 Padova, Italy
⁵⁶INFN, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy
⁵⁷Insti

⁵⁹Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Università di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy
⁶⁰INFN, Sezione di Milano Bicocca, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy
⁶¹Rochester Institute of Te

⁶³INFN, Sezione di Genova, 1-16146 Genova, Italy
⁶⁴RRCAT, Indore MP 452013, India
⁶⁵Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia
⁶⁶SUPA, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XQ, U

⁶⁹Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Radboud University Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, Netherlands

⁷⁰Artemis, Université Côte d'Azur, Observatoire Côte d'Azur, CNRS, CS 34229, F-06304 Nice Cedex 4, France
⁷¹Institut FOTON, CNRS, Université de Rennes 1, F-35042 Rennes, France
⁷²Washington State University, Pullman

⁷⁵Carleton College, Northfield, Minnesota 55057, USA
⁷⁶OzGrav, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia
⁷⁷Astronomical Observatory Warsaw University, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland

 78 VU University Amsterdam, 1081 HV Amsterdam, Netherlands 79 University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

⁷⁹University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

⁸⁰Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA

⁸¹Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, F-69622 Villeurb

⁹¹Charles Sturt University, Wagga Wagga, New South Wales 2678, Australia
⁹²Center for Interdisciplinary Exploration & Research in Astrophysics (CIERA), Northwestern University,

Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

⁹³Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3H8, Canada ⁹⁴University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA 95 Pusan National University, Busan 46241, Korea
⁹⁶The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong ⁹⁷ INAF_: Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, 1-35122 Padova, Italy
⁹⁷ INFN, Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications, 1-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy
⁹⁹ OCGrav, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Vic ¹¹⁹University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA
¹²⁰Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India
¹²¹INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, I-80131, Napoli, Italy
¹²²Università degl ¹²²Phyirersiid degli Studi di Urbino "Carlo Bo" 1-61029 Urbino, Italy

¹²²Physik-Institut, University of Zarich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zarich, Switterland

¹²³Physik-Institut, University of Zarich, Winterthu

161101-17

¹⁵³University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA
¹⁵⁴King's College London, University of London, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom
¹⁵⁵Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar Ahmedabad Gujarat 382424, In

¹⁶⁰Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas 78212, USA
¹⁶¹Abilene Christian University, Abilene, Texas 79699, USA
¹⁶²Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA

[†](#page-14-0) Deceased, February 2017.

[‡](#page-15-0) Deceased, December 2016.