Solving Inverse Problems Using Finite-Element Physics Informed Neural Networks in Presence of Noise

Abstract

This study builds upon a previous investigation of Finite-Element Physics-Informed Neural Networks (FE-PINNs) by performing an analysis of their sensitivity to noise. FE-PINNs were previously shown to be capable of performing a two-dimensional linear elastic full waveform inversion on a soil column. As a further step towards applying this methodology to problems involving real data, FE-PINNs were used to inversely determine the elastic modulus of a single quad element, with varying degrees of noise (0-20%) present in the training data. It was found that, depending on the accuracy of the initial estimate of the element's elastic modulus, FE-PINN can successfully solve the inverse problem with up to 20% noise in the training data.

Inverse Problems

Consider a dynamical system

$\mathbf{y} = f(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{\Theta})$

where x is the system state vector, t is time, Θ is a vector of system parameters, and ν is a noise vector. Suppose sensor measurements $(\mathbf{y_m})$ of the system are available.

 $\mathbf{y_m} = g(t, \boldsymbol{\Theta}) + \nu$

Inverse Problem: Given $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{m}}$, estimate $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$

Existing Methods

Finite Element Model Updating (FEMU)



While powerful, this method has a few weaknesses

- Computationally expensive to simulate
- High fidelity models are rarely available
- Final result depends strongly on model resolution

Proposed alternative: Finite Element-based Physics Informed Neural Networks (FE-PINN)

Traditional Physics-Informed Neural Networks

	Ordinary Neural Network		x_1 $h_1^{(1)}$ $h_2^{(2)}$ \hat{y}_1			
•	Learns purely from data	Inputs, <i>x</i>	x_2 $h_3^{(1)}$ $h_3^{(2)}$ \hat{y}_2 \hat{y}_2 $h_4^{(1)}$ $h_4^{(2)}$		Predicte	d Dis
			Measured Displacements, y_m		P Loss Ba	$\dot{s} = M$
	Physics Informed Neural Network		$egin{array}{cccc} h_1^{(1)} & h_1^{(2)} & h_1^{(2)} & h_2^{(2)} & \hat{y}_1 & \hat{y}_1$			
•	Learns from data Regularized by physics	Inputs, <i>x</i>	x_2 $h_3^{(1)}$ $h_3^{(2)}$ \hat{y}_2 \hat{y}_2 $h_4^{(1)}$ $h_4^{(2)}$		Predicted	d Disj
			Measured Displacements, y_m	Data Lo $L_D = N$	$\frac{\partial SS}{ASE(\hat{y}, y_m)}$)) , <i>L</i> =
					Ва	ckpro

Strengths: Rapid forward prediction, potential for GPU acceleration, higher-fidelity surrogate model Weakness: Many partial derivatives in physics loss term often lead to convergence issues

Anthony LoRe Starleaf & Dr. Siddharth Parida

Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University

Finite Element Physics-Informed Neural Networks

- 1. Can be used to increase fidelity of existing FE model by incorporating data
- 2. Can be used to estimate parameters of FE model

Finite Element Method

- Low-order derivatives
- Implicitly satisfied BCs
- Easier derivation
- No partial derivatives

Physics Informed Neural Networks

- Rapid forward prediction
- GPU acceleration
- Physics enforcement

Computational Experiment

The FE-PINN algorithm was used to determine the Young's modulus (E) of the quad element shown below, subjected to varying amounts of noise. The model's initial estimate of E was also varied.



(a) Single quad element subjected to a dynamic load. Nodes 1 & 4 fixed.

Governing Equations

Strong form of equilibrium

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = E \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + G \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) + c \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + b_x$$
$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} = E \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + G \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} \right) + c \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + b_y$$

With Boundary/Initial Conditions

$$u(x, y = 0, t) = 0$$

 $v(x, y = 0, t) = 0$
 $u(x, y, t = 0) = 0$
 $b_y = -$

After application of the FE method,

$$\mathbf{M}(\rho)\mathbf{\ddot{u}} + \mathbf{C}(\nu, E)\mathbf{\dot{u}} + \mathbf{K}(\nu, E)\mathbf{\dot{u}} + \mathbf{K}(\nu,$$

With Initial Conditions

$$\mathbf{u}(t=0) = 0$$
 $\mathbf{\dot{u}}(t=0) = 0$ (4)

With boundary conditions implicitly satisfied. This form is noticeably simpler due to the

- Reduced number of derivatives, and
- Absence of independent boundary conditions

Training Data

The model is trained on the x- and y- displacement histories of only Node 3. It is given no data on Node 2.

Model Architecture

Input Features	Output Features	Hidden Features	Hidden Layers	Activation
1	4	32	3	Sinusoid

Table 1. Hyperparameters of the neural network used to solve the inverse problem.

(1)

(2)





$$b_x = 0$$

$$f(t)\delta(x - 5, y - 5)$$

$$v(x, y, t = 0) = 0$$

(3) $(\nu, E)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}(t)$

A neural network with the parameters described in Table 1 was trained for 2000 epochs or until E converged to within 2% of the ground-truth value. The optimization was performed once for various noise levels and initialization errors.

% Noise	% Initial Error	Initial E [Pa]	Predicted E [Pa]	Actual E [Pa]	% Difference
0%	-15%	58846156.0	68276464.0	69230768	1.38
0%	-20%	55384620.0	68341040.0	69230768	1.29
0%	-25%	51923080.0	32707604.0	69230768	52.76
0%	-30%	48461536.0	20347384.0	69230768	70.61
0%	15%	79615384.0	68385776.0	69230768	1.22
0%	20%	83076928.0	68285928.0	69230768	1.36
0%	25%	86538464.0	68240496.0	69230768	1.43
0%	30%	89999992.0	68349984.0	69230768	1.27
5%	-15%	58846156.0	68328320.0	69230768	1.30
5%	-20%	55384620.0	68248992.0	69230768	1.42
5%	-25%	51923080.0	68424024.0	69230768	1.17
5%	-30%	48461536.0	-235790000	69230768	440.59
5%	15%	79615384.0	68281624.0	69230768	1.37
5%	20%	83076928.0	68383632.0	69230768	1.22
5%	25%	86538464.0	68385992.0	69230768	1.22
5%	30%	89999992.0	68376728.0	69230768	1.23
10%	-15%	58846156.0	68356320.0	69230768	1.26
10%	-20%	55384620.0	68311912.0	69230768	1.33
10%	-25%	51923080.0	32900322.0	69230768	52.48
10%	-30%	48461536.0	32813898.0	69230768	52.60
10%	15%	79615384.0	68277408.0	69230768	1.38
10%	20%	83076928.0	68375256.0	69230768	1.24
10%	25%	86538464.0	68352112.0	69230768	1.27
10%	30%	89999992.0	68256616.0	69230768	1.41
15%	-15%	58846156.0	68319232.0	69230768	1.32
15%	-20%	55384620.0	68303536.0	69230768	1.34
15%	-25%	51923080.0	68278648.0	69230768	1.38
15%	-30%	48461536.0	45739968.0	69230768	33.93
15%	15%	79615384.0	68121960.0	69230768	1.60
15%	20%	83076928.0	68330504.0	69230768	1.30
15%	25%	86538464.0	68445960.0	69230768	1.13
15%	30%	89999992.0	68296368.0	69230768	1.35
20%	-15%	58846156.0	68447648.0	69230768	1.13
20%	-20%	55384620.0	68414216.0	69230768	1.18
20%	-25%	51923080.0	-30599772.0	69230768	144.20
20%	-30%	48461536.0	32875180.0	69230768	52.51
20%	15%	79615384.0	68099240.0	69230768	1.63
20%	20%	83076928.0	68197576.0	69230768	1.49
20%	25%	86538464.0	68267680.0	69230768	1.39
20%	30%	89999992.0	68413616.0	69230768	1.18

Surrogate Modelling with Trained FE-PINN



Training data is shown in orange.

• Sensitive to the initial estimate of *E* Robust to the inclusion of at least 20% noise • One step closer to applying FE-PINN to experimental data

Results

Figure 2. Displacement histories at both free nodes predicted by FE-PINN after training on data with 20% noise.

Conclusion

Prediction