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One response of the United States Government to controversy concerning sharing homeland-security-and-defense-threat information with state and local officials has been the recent creation of a color-coded, national alert system. Is this initiative an improvement on the sharing of such information?

Before the color-coded system was created, many state and local officials expressed concerns that merely receiving notification to be on increasing, decreasing, or maintained alert status was insufficient. According to these officials, the lack of (1) behavioral prescriptions and proscriptions associated with threat information and (2) behavioral descriptors dealing with the nature of the threat more reliably engendered what might be termed free-floating anxiety than a more useful response to threat.

In response to the above, the color-coded system may be an improvement. There are behavioral prescriptions and proscriptions--e.g., increasing surveillance of critical locations for Yellow and closing government facilities for Red. However, enough of the prescriptions and proscriptions are general or generic enough--e.g., deploying specially trained teams for Red and exercising planned protective measures for Green--to not fully defuse the perceived need for behavioral descriptors dealing with the nature of the threat. In this regard, specific information about the nature of the threat could help fine tune finite security-related resources and procedures. On the other hand, the public disclosure of specific information or of the deployment of specific, security-related resources and procedures could compromise intelligence sources and methods. Such public disclosure also could provide useful information to adversaries who may even have inserted threatening information into intelligence channels to test antiterrorist and counterterrorist response.