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Current sheet flapping motions in the tailward flow of magnetic reconnection
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Abstract The feature and origin of current sheet flapping motions are one of most interesting issues of magnetospheric dynamics. In this paper we report the flapping motion of the current sheet detected in the tailward flow of a magnetic reconnection event on 7 February 2009. This flapping motion with frequency about 12 mHz was accompanied by magnetic turbulence. The observations by the tail-elongated fleet of five Time History of Events and Macroscale Interactions during Substorms probes indicate that these flapping oscillations were rather confined within the tailward flow than were due to a global process. This flapping motion could be due to the instability driven by the free energy associated with the ion temperature anisotropy in the tailward flow. Our observations indicate that the flapping motion in the tailward flow could have a different generation mechanism with that in the earthward flow.

1. Introduction

The Earth’s cross-tail current sheet, also called as the neutral sheet [Ness, 1965], is a relatively narrow region in the center of the plasma sheet where the x component of the magnetic field in the geocentric solar magnetospheric (GSM) coordinate system has an abrupt directional change and the magnetic field intensity reaches a minimum. It is one of the key objects in magnetospheric physics. Previous observations find that the current sheet is a dynamic and turbulent region [Valdivia et al., 2003]. It often flaps back and forth, which are recorded as multiple up-down crossings of the current sheet by the spacecraft [Speiser and Ness, 1967; Toichi and Miyazaki, 1976; Lui et al., 1978; Sergeev et al., 1998]. In the literatures these crossings are known as current sheet flapping motions [Toichi and Miyazaki, 1976; Sharma et al., 2008].

Flapping motions manifest themselves as large-scale magnetic field variations with the amplitude of tens of nanotesla and a characteristic time of several to 10 min [Sergeev et al., 1998; Runov et al., 2005]. The spatial scale of flapping motions could be quite large. In an event observed on 5 August 2004, a current sheet flapping motion with the same phase was simultaneously observed by Double Star and Cluster which were about 5 R Earth apart along the Earth-Sun direction [Zhang et al., 2005]. Furthermore, according to the statistical analysis, flapping motions tend to be triggered in the central part of the tail by some impulsive processes and then propagate toward the tail flanks as kink-like waves [Sergeev et al., 2004]. The propagation speed of flapping motions is usually in the range 30–70 km/s [Runov et al., 2005]. Analyses revealed that the flapping motion is due to current sheet corrugation. Petrukovich et al. [2006] have pointed out that the deformation of the current sheet has two types: the slip type and bend type. The slip-type deformation means that the magnetic flux tubes just shift vertically relative to their neighbors, but the normal direction of the flux tubes does not change, while the bend-type deformation means that the magnetic flux tubes rotate and then lead to the change of the normal direction of the flux tubes. With the measurements from Cluster, Shen et al. [2008] have studied several flapping events and found that the magnetic flux tubes deformation in the flapping current sheet is the slip type. Based on the observation results, Rong et al. [2010] constructed a simple magnetic model and tried to provide the physical interpretation for the slip-type deformation.

The generation mechanism of flapping motions is not well established yet. But previous studies have pointed out that flapping motions are related to the change of solar wind conditions [Sergeev et al., 2008; Vörös et al., 2014] or some internal processes in the magnetotail [Davey et al., 2012]. Several theoretical models have been proposed to describe flapping motions. Golovchanskaya and Maltsev [2005] suggested that the ballooning-type mode excited by the existence of the curved magnetic field in the current sheet can lead to flapping...
motions. Zelenyi et al. [2009] demonstrated that the drift-kink instability due to the relative drift of ions and electrons [Daughton, 1999] can be the driver of flapping motions. Recently, a new model of the magnetic double-gradient mode was proposed to indicate that flapping motions are caused by the gradient of the normal magnetic field component along the current sheet [Erkaev et al., 2008, 2009; Korovinskiy et al., 2013; Sitnov et al., 2014]. In this model, the source for flapping motions is associated with bursty bulk flows (BBFs) [Angelopoulos et al., 1992; Baumjohann et al., 1990] originated from the reconnection region [Erkaev et al., 2009; Sitnov et al., 2014].

BBFs generated during magnetic reconnection are considered to be the main driver of magnetic fluctuations in the current sheet [Volwerk et al., 2004; Vörös, 2011]. By analyzing a current sheet flapping event observed by Time History of Events and Macroscale Interactions during Substorms (THEMIS), Gabrielse et al. [2008] suggested that flapping motions are linked to BBFs. Statistical results demonstrated that the occurrence rate of flapping motions is similar to that of BBFs, and both of them have a peak in the central part of magnetotail [Sergeev et al., 2006]. These observation results revealed that flapping motions could be closely related to BBFs.

During magnetic reconnection, high-speed tailward flows can also be generated as BBFs. While moving tailward, the high-speed tailward flows tend to evolve toward more relaxed states without interaction with the strong dipole-like field of the Earth, providing a clearer image of the steady physics processes, which can shed more light on the waves in magnetic reconnection. It is beneficial for us to understand waves in magnetic reconnection. However, until now flapping motion events in tailward flows have not been explored. In this paper, we investigate an anomalous magnetic reconnection event observed by the THEMIS mission on 7 February 2009, where the current sheet flapping motion is only identified in tailward flows, and observational evidence suggests that the flapping motion can be driven by some local processes in the tailward flow of magnetic reconnection.

2. Data and Instruments

The THEMIS mission has five spacecraft (probes) with identical instrumentation on board [Angelopoulos, 2008]. On 7 February 2009, the apogees of THEMIS probes are located in the magnetotail. The five spacecraft lie almost on one line, and THB is the outmost probe in the magnetotail. This configuration is suitable for simultaneous observations of large-scale waves or structures at different locations in the magnetotail. In this paper, we use the 4 Hz magnetic field data obtained by the fluxgate magnetometer (FGM) [Auster et al., 2008]. FGM also supplies high-resolution data with 128 Hz. The 3 s spin average plasma data with energies less than 30 keV are obtained from the electrostatic analyzers (ESAs) [McFadden et al., 2008]. All spacecraft data used in this paper are in the geocentric solar magnetospheric (GSM) coordinate system unless noted otherwise.

3. Observations

At the time 04:01:00 UT on 7 February 2009, THB was located at about [−30.6, −1.7, −4.2]Re in the plasma sheet. Figure 1 shows the observational results of THB. From the top to the bottom panels, they are the three components of magnetic field Bx, By, Bz, the total magnetic field |B|, the x component of the bulk flow velocity vx, the ion temperature Tix, the ion density nix, the electron energy flux spectrograms, and the ion energy flux spectrograms. In Figure 1, the resolution of the magnetic field data is 0.25 s, while the particle data has a time resolution of 3 s. As shown in Figure 1e, THB was initially located in the tailward flow (the high-speed flow with the negative vx). At about 04:18:00 UT as marked by the vertical red line, the sign of vx, the high-speed flow measured by THB turned from negative to positive. The reversal of the sign of vx is accompanied by a simultaneous reversal of the sign of Bx. The sign of Bx is negative in the tailward flow, while it is positive in the earthward flow (the high-speed flow with positive vx). The simultaneous reversals of Bx and vx reveal that THB observed a magnetic reconnection event. This magnetic reconnection event was also investigated by previous works [Oka et al., 2011; Sitnov et al., 2014]. In this magnetic reconnection event, vx of the tailward flow reaches up to 630 km/s, which is much higher than that of the earthward flow. The tailward flow is hotter and more tenuous than the earthward flow. The ion temperature in the tailward flow is often higher than 2 keV, which is several times higher than that in the earthward flow. The ion density in the tailward flow is several times lower than that in the earthward flow. According to the theoretical model of magnetotail reconnection, such as two-dimensional (2-D) particle-in-cell reconnection simulations starting with Harris current
sheets, particles in the earthward and tailward flows both originated from the lobe region [e.g., Goldman et al., 2015]. So there is a possibility that some processes occurred in the outflow region leading to the differences of ion density and temperature in the earthward and tailward flows.

We now examine the magnetic field signatures of this event in more detail. Figure 2 shows the distribution of the $B_y$ component. The $B_y$ component has a quadrupole structure, which fits the prediction of antiparallel Hall reconnection well [Eastwood
et al., 2010]. The quadrupole Hall magnetic field $B_y$ also supports that the spacecraft THB have encountered a magnetic reconnection event. Besides, in the tailward and earthward flows, there are some flux ropes which are identified by the bipolar structure of $B_z$ and a strong core field $B_y$ at the center of the bipolar $B_y$ signature. During the time interval 04:02:00–04:04:00 UT marked by the green color in Figure 1, a clear bipolar $B_y$ variation (see Figure 1c) from 8.6 nT to $-5.4$ nT is detected. Both the $y$ component (Figure 1b) and magnitude (Figure 1d) of magnetic field have an obvious peak near the reversal point of $B_z$. These magnetic signatures suggest a possibility that a flux rope was passed by the spacecraft. This flux rope is located in the leading edge of the tailward flow. The maximum and minimum values of $B_z$ are detected at 04:02:51 UT and 04:03:46 UT. During the time interval from 04:02:51 UT to 04:03:46 UT, the averaged flow speed is about $300$ km/s. Therefore, the diameter of the center of this flux rope is about $2.6 R_E$, which are about 23 local ion inertial lengths. Inside this flux rope there is an isolated population of ~1 keV electrons, which is considered as the plasmoid/flux rope signature [e.g., Zong et al., 2004; Sormakov and Sergeev, 2008].

Strong magnetic fluctuations can be observed in the high-speed flows, especially in the tailward flow. Now we focused on the magnetic field variations in the tailward flow during the time interval 04:05 UT–04:15 UT which is marked by the light blue color. Such time interval is behind the flux rope marked by the green color. In this 10 min, the position of THB changes about [0.01, 0.59, 0.07] $R_E$, which means that the spacecraft moves slowly along the $y$ direction. We use the data with the high resolution (128 Hz) to investigate the features of the magnetic field variations. Figure 3 (top) shows the magnetic field components $B_x$ (black line) and $B_z$ (red line), and Figure 3 (middle) gives the total magnetic field $|B|$. As shown in Figure 3, the spacecraft is initially located in the current sheet indicated by a small $B_z$. At about 04:05:04 UT, the reversal of $B_z$ from positive to negative indicates that the spacecraft THB crosses the current sheet from north to south. After this crossing, multiple crossings of the current sheet were detected. Every crossing is marked by a vertical blue line. It can be found that all crossings satisfy the definition of current sheet: the $B_z = 0$ and the magnetic field intensity $|B|$ reaches a minimum. In Figure 3 (top), we can find that the average value of $B_z$ is about $-2$ nT. However,
motion of the current sheet. If the amplitude of $B_z$ decreases monotonically with the distance from the neutral sheet, in the vertical flapping the amplitude of $B_z$ will increase/decrease with the decrease/increase of the amplitude of $B_x$. Therefore, the oscillations of $B_x$ and $B_z$ would have the same dominant period. The power spectra of $B_x$ and $B_z$ with the frequency higher than 0.2 Hz have a turbulent wave spectrum. In the power spectrum of $B_x$, a linear fit of the power density is made over the frequency range $[0.2, 1]$ Hz. We use this linear fit to obtain the spectral index. The results of this linear fit are shown by the green line. The spectral index is about 2.6. Such magnetic fluctuations in the frequency range $[0.2, 1]$ Hz are the magnetic turbulence.

*From the left column to the right column, there are center time which is the time when THB is crossing the CS center ($B_x \sim 0$), the adopted time interval for MVA which is centered at the center time, the MVA normal in GSM, the ratio of eigenvalues $\lambda_2/\lambda_3$, and the value of $k$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center Time</th>
<th>$\Delta t$</th>
<th>MVA Normal in GSM</th>
<th>$\lambda_2/\lambda_3$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04:06:58</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.05, 0.57, 0.82</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:07:21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.33, 0.67, 0.66</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:08:15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.09, 0.62, 0.78</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:08:44</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.02, -0.15, -0.99</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:09:34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.21, -0.18, -0.96</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:09:49</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.38, -0.08, -0.92</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:10:00</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.22, 0.60, 0.77</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:10:33</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.06, 0.05, -1.00</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:11:44</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-0.08, 0.02, 0.99</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04:12:29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.06, 0.55, 0.83</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$-1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$B_z$ can have a positive value when $B_x$ is positive. Additionally, both $B_x$ and $B_z$ have high-frequency magnetic fluctuations. We employ the Fourier transform method to investigate the magnetic wave activity. Figure 3 (bottom) shows the power spectra of $B_x$ (black line) and $B_z$ (red line). The highest peak in the spectrum of $B_x$ marked by the vertical dashed line is located at about 12 mHz. The highest peak in the spectrum of $B_z$ is also located at the same frequency. It indicates that $B_x$ and $B_z$ have the same dominant period. This could be caused by the vertical flapping motion.
pressure decreases, and the position of bow shock nose changes about 0.8 $R_E$. After 03:41 UT, bow shock nose is located at about 13.9 $R_E$. This interface does not contain any magnetic discontinuities, but the solar wind changes its direction in the interface. One can find that the value of $V_z$ increases from ~1 to ~10 km/s. Previous simulation results suggested that changes in $V_z$ of the solar wind can lead to significant variations of the shape and location of the current sheet in the middle and deep parts of magnetotail [Sergeev et al., 2008]. The simulation and observation results suggested that the current sheet has a complicated temporal response to the changes of the solar wind direction which can cause a shift of the current sheet in the $z$ direction within the first 10–15 min, and it would take a much longer time (exceeding 0.5–2.5 h) to reach a new equilibrium [Vörös et al., 2014]. According to this theory, the change of the solar wind direction near the interface can lead a global flapping motion of current sheet in the magnetotail. In our event, $V_x$ in the solar wind is about ~320 km/s. The interface needs to take about 15 min to arrive at the location of THB in the magnetotail. Then the change of the solar wind direction can influence the current sheet. According to the previous theory, at least the global flapping motion can persist until the time 04:26 UT.

As shown in Figures 5a1 and 5a2, during the time interval 04:05–04:15 all five THEMIS probes were located in the plasma sheet, and they were roughly aligned along the $x$ direction over more than 20 $R_E$. Using conjunctions with five THEMIS probes, we can have simultaneous observations at about −10, −17, and −31 $R_E$. Such observations can help us to confirm whether the flapping motion is a global or local process. Figures 5b1–5b5 present the results of $B_x$ observed by THEMIS probes. We find that the other four spacecraft did not observe the similar oscillations of the current sheet as THB. From 04:08 to 04:13 the other four spacecraft observed quiet plasma sheet, while at the same time the flapping motion observed by THB had the
largest amplitude. It indicates that in our event, the flapping motion of the current sheet in the tailward flow was a local process rather than a global process caused by the change of solar wind conditions. Additionally, if the change of the solar wind direction can lead to flapping motions, the change of the solar wind direction near the interface can also influence the current sheet during the time interval when the earthward flow driven by magnetic reconnection is observed (at least in the time interval before 04:26 UT). However, the spacecraft did not detect such flapping motions in the earthward flow. It also supports the conclusion that the flapping motion in the tailward flow is a localized phenomenon, which could be driven by some localized processes.

As outlined above, the tailward flow leading by a flux rope is observed. During the time interval behind the flux rope, a flapping motion of the current sheet is observed in this tailward flow. With the observation results we try to give a possible generation mechanism of the flapping motion in the tailward flow. The ion temperature in the tailward flow is shown in Figure 6. Figure 6 (top) gives the ion perpendicular temperature $T_{\text{perp}}$ (blue line) and the ion parallel temperature $T_{\text{para}}$ (green line). Figure 6 (bottom) gives the ratio of $T_{\text{para}}/T_{\text{perp}}$. We can find that most of the time the parallel temperature $T_{\text{para}}$ is higher than the perpendicular temperature $T_{\text{perp}}$ in the tailward flow. Ions have an anisotropic distribution in the tailward flow. The anisotropic tailward flow contains the free energy which can drive the instability. With 2-D hybrid simulations, Arzner and Scholer [2001] found that the post plasmoid/flux rope current sheet has a flapping motion.
They constructed an anisotropic fluid model to explain the generation of the flapping motion. The flapping motion in their simulations is due to an instability driven by an anisotropic flow in the post plasmoid/flux rope plasma sheet. Such instability is neither of pure Kelvin-Helmholtz mode nor of homogeneous firehose mode but can be explained by a combination of the two effects within a simple anisotropic flow. Based on their model, in our event the free energy contained by the anisotropic tailward flow could generate the instability which then leads to the observed flapping motion.

Figure 7 shows the sketch of a possible configuration of this magnetic reconnection event. The blue line is the magnetic field line, and the red lines show the positions of the current sheet. In this magnetic reconnection event, a large flux rope was produced and then propagated tailward. In the plasma sheet behind this flux rope, the instability which was driven by the free energy of ion temperature anisotropy in the tailward flow can develop. Then this instability caused the observed flapping of the current sheet in the tailward flow. The observed flapping is a vertical oscillation without propagation along the \( y \) direction. Based on the

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** The sketch of this magnetic reconnection event. The blue line is the magnetic field line, and the black lines show the positions of the current sheet. A flux rope is in the tailward flow, and the current sheet behind the flux rope has a flapping motion. A rough sketch of the flapping current sheet in the \( y-z \) plane at the position marked by the vertical dashed line is also shown in the black box.
computed normal directions shown in Table 1, the normal orientations of the flapping mainly varied in the y-z plane. In Figure 7, the rough sketch of the flapping current sheet in the y-z plane at the position marked by the vertical dashed line is shown in the black box. This type of flapping is named as the steady flapping in previous literatures.

4. Discussion and Conclusions

In summary, a magnetic reconnection event is identified by the simultaneous reversal of \( B_z \) and \( v_y \) in the magnetotail. The Hall magnetic field \( B_y \) in this event fits the quadrupole structure well, especially in the tailward flow. A flapping motion of the current sheet was observed in the tailward flow of magnetic reconnection, and the frequency of the flapping motion is about 12 mHz. This flapping motion is a vertical oscillation without propagation along the y direction. Additionally, this flapping motion is accompanied by magnetic turbulence. By the conjunction observations with five THEMIS probes, the flapping motion in the tailward flow is not a global process. The generation of this flapping motion could be due the instability driven by free energy associated with the ion temperature anisotropy in the tailward flow.

The current sheet in the magnetotail seems to be a turbulent region. Previous theoretical work and observations have demonstrated that the current sheet exhibits stochastic properties over a broad range of spatial and temporal scales [Urtsky et al., 2002; Valdivia et al., 2003]. Turbulence can play an important role in the multiscale energy conversion. In our event, the flapping motion is accompanied by a stochastic component which is considered to be magnetic turbulence. The tailward flow is probably the main driver of the observed turbulence in the current sheet. The spectral index of the magnetic turbulence is 2.6, which is the same as the spectral index observed in the earthward flow [Volwerk et al., 2004; Vörös et al., 2004]. This high index implies that the turbulence is quasi-two dimensional, but not strict. The flapping motion of current sheet could lead to some three-dimensional effects. Besides, the observed time interval of tailward flow could also make sense on the high index. Usually, the time interval of high-speed flows in the magnetotail is much shorter than that of solar wind flows. The shorter intervals can lead to that the inertial range of turbulence with the small spectral index \( \sim 1.6 \) observed in the solar wind cannot be seen in the magnetotail, unless there are longer interval of data available in the magnetotail [Vörös et al., 2007]. The flapping motion and the related turbulence indicate that there is a multiscale energy dissipation process in the tailward flow of magnetic reconnection.

The previous models of the magnetic double-gradient mode [Erkaev et al., 2008, 2009] suggested that the current sheet becomes unstable in the region where \( B_y \) decreases locally toward Earth. The recent particle-in-cell simulations [Sitnov et al., 2014] further showed that BBFs leading by a dipolarization front can produce the local earthward decrease of \( B_y \) and then trigger the flapping motion. However, this model cannot well describe the flapping motion in the tailward flow of magnetic reconnection. Arzner and Scholer (2001) have proposed an anisotropic fluid model to explain the flapping motion behind a plasmoid/flux rope in the tailward flow. They found that ions in the tailward flow can be accelerated in the current sheet behind a tailward propagating plasmoid/flux rope and then these ions can have an anisotropic distribution. The combination of the anisotropy of ions and the shear between the high-speed tailward flow in the current sheet and the lobe plasma can lead to the instability. This instability can cause the flapping of the current sheet. In our event, the flapping motion occurs during the time interval behind a flux rope, and the tailward flow also has anisotropy. Our observations fit predictions of the anisotropic fluid model proposed by Arzner and Scholer [2001] well. It indicates that the flapping motion in the tailward flow can have a different generation mechanism with that in the earthward flow.
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