

7-25-2003

Trends. Uday, Qusay, Victory, and Defeat

IBPP Editor
bloomr@erau.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.erau.edu/ibpp>



Part of the [Defense and Security Studies Commons](#), [International Relations Commons](#), [Near and Middle Eastern Studies Commons](#), [Other Political Science Commons](#), [Other Psychology Commons](#), and the [Peace and Conflict Studies Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Editor, IBPP (2003) "Trends. Uday, Qusay, Victory, and Defeat," *International Bulletin of Political Psychology*. Vol. 14 : Iss. 21 , Article 5.

Available at: <https://commons.erau.edu/ibpp/vol14/iss21/5>

This Trends is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Bulletin of Political Psychology by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact commons@erau.edu.

Title: Trends. Uday, Qusay, Victory, and Defeat

Author: Editor

Volume: 14

Issue: 21

Date: 2003-07-25

Keywords: Baathist Party, Bush Administration, Saddam Hussein, Iraq

Abstract: This Trends article discusses the political psychological impact of the deaths of Saddam Hussein's two oldest sons at the hands of United States military forces.

The deaths of Saddam Hussein's two oldest sons at the hands of United States (US) military forces is largely being promulgated by US Government representatives as a huge victory towards the end-state of a democratic and economically viable Iraq. The rationale for this position is that True Believers in Saddam Hussein and the Baathist Party now can see the handwriting on the wall. There will be no restoration of a Saddam Hussein or a Baathist controlled government.

The main problem with this rationale is that it is based on the assumption that the main resistance against US initiatives in Iraq have been coming, are coming, and will come from fanatic supporters of Saddam Hussein and/or the Baathist Party. In actuality, the resistance is much more associated with many other segments of an internal and also a global population. These segments include but are not limited to religious groups that view US intervention as anathema, groups that are mercenary in that they see their mercenary desires being thwarted, other groups that view US activity as a vile contaminant of culture, groups that are anti-US based on sheer petulance and insouciance, and yet other groups influenced by Messianism, politico-military correlations of forces, and postmodern unfathomableness.

The road to a democratic and economically viable Iraq may prove to be a strategic blunder. If this is not to be, eyes need to be opened and public discourse needs to reflect the true sources of resistance. In such matters, Uday and Qusay are but pawns alive and now dead in a greater game. (See Fillkins, D. (July 24, 2003). Images of Hussein's sons are released by the U.S. The New York Times, <http://www.nytimes.com>; Knowlton, B. (July 23, 2003). Killing of Hussein's sons should reassure Iraqis, Bush says. The New York Times, <http://www.nytimes.com>; Lt. Gen. Sanchez Press Briefing on Uday and Qusay Hussein (DoD Transcript) (July 22, 2003). FreeRepublic.com. <http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/f-news/950801/posts>; Mackey, S. (July 24, 2003). Better alive than dead. The New York Times, <http://www.nytimes.com>.) (Keywords: Baathist Party, Bush Administration, Saddam Hussein, Iraq.)