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ABSTRACT

Using HST and the WFPC2 we have acquired very deep V- and I-band photometry of stars in NGC 2420 and NGC 2477 to study cluster luminosity functions at approximately solar metallicity. We have determined these cluster luminosity functions down to $M_I = 10.5$ ($0.2 M_\odot$) and find that the luminosity function of NGC 2420 turns over at $M_I \approx 9.0$, and possibly stops altogether by $M_I \approx 9.5$. The luminosity function of NGC 2477 may flatten at $M_I \geq 9.5$. We compare our open cluster luminosity functions to the solar neighborhood field star luminosity function of Kroupa, Tout & Gilmore (1993) and the four published HST globular cluster luminosity functions: \omega Cen (Elson \textit{et al.} 1995), 47 Tuc (De Marchi & Paresce 1995b), M 15 (De Marchi & Paresce 1995a), and NGC 6397 (Paresce, De Marchi & Romaniello 1995). We find a smooth relation between the location of the luminosity function turn-over and the metallicity for all these low mass star samples which matches the expected $M_I$ versus [Fe/H] trend for a model star of $\approx 0.27 M_\odot$ (Saumon 1995; Alexander \textit{et al.} 1996). We interpret this smooth and systematic behavior in the cluster luminosity functions as strong evidence in favor of an invariant initial mass function and a metallicity-dependent mass-luminosity relation.

\textit{Subject headings:} stars: low-mass, luminosity function, mass function – open clusters and associations: individual (NGC 2420, NGC 2477)

\textsuperscript{1}Based on observations with the NASA/ESA \textit{Hubble Space Telescope}, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA, Inc, under NASA contract NAS 5-26555.

\textsuperscript{2}Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA

\textsuperscript{3}address: WIYN Telescope, NOAO, PO Box 26732, Tucson, AZ 85726-6732, USA

\textsuperscript{4}Royal Greenwich Observatory, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0EZ
1. Introduction

One of the most fundamental aspects of the stellar content of galaxies is the distribution by mass of newly formed stars, the initial mass function (IMF). Evidence supporting or restricting variations in the IMF for very low mass stars is of profound importance for an understanding of the mass content of the universe, the dynamical evolution of open and globular clusters, the chemical evolution of galaxies, and the physics of star formation. While developments in star formation theory (e.g. Shu 1991) and observations (e.g. Evans 1991) continue to increase our understanding of the complexities and processes involved in star formation, any understanding of how the IMF does, or does not, depend on environment and metallicity is still elusive. For low mass stars, which are the subject of this paper, large surveys (see Reid & Gilmore 1982; Hawkins & Bessell 1988; Stobie, Ishida & Peacock 1989; Bessell & Stringfellow 1993) have provided a fairly well determined measure of the field star luminosity function near the Sun. Extensive modelling is required to derive the corresponding stellar initial mass function. However, there is reasonable agreement (cf. Kroupa et al. 1993 and Tinney 1995 for recent references) that the luminosity function shows a broad maximum near $M_I \approx +9.5$, while the underlying mass function (MF) breaks away from an approximate power-law increase below 0.5 $M_\odot$. Star count surveys in the near-IR (e.g. Hu et al. 1994) and in the optical with HST (Bahcall et al. 1994; Santiago, Gilmore & Elson 1995), and most recently from the Hubble Deep Field (Elson, Santiago & Gilmore 1996), suggest that the field stars of the Galactic thick disk and halo have a luminosity function which is not significantly distinguishable from that of the solar neighborhood down to masses near the hydrogen burning limit. This is a remarkable result, given that these stellar populations differ considerably in local density, and by a factor of forty in mean chemical abundance.

Field star analyses are, however, subject to many uncertainties, in particular imprecise distance determination (Kroupa et al. 1993). The derivation of an initial mass from a color and apparent magnitude of an object which may be an unresolved binary star of unknown age, distance, and metallicity remains a statistical goal, rather than a precise tool. By contrast, in clusters the primary uncertainties of differential ages, abundances and distances are removed. Hence, extensive surveys of the open clusters near the Sun have been undertaken (e.g. Pleiades: Hambly & Jameson 1991; Hyades: Reid 1993; Praesepe: Williams, Rieke & Stauffer 1995; Hambly et al. 1995). Such clusters are however primarily young, so that interpretation suffers from the limitation that evolutionary models for pre-main sequence stars of low mass are not yet well tested. Ideally, one wants clusters older than perhaps 1 Gyr, covering a wide range of metallicities.

In the past year there has been a vast improvement in the quality and quantity of
data on the low mass end of the stellar luminosity function (LF) from observations of
globular clusters with the refurbished HST (e.g. Elson et al. 1995; De Marchi & Paresce
1995a, 1995b; Paresce, De Marchi & Romaniello 1995). These four globular cluster studies
have already provided good stellar LFs to $M_I \geq 10$ ($\approx 0.2$ $M_\odot$), and they will soon be
supplemented by at least seven more HST globular cluster studies. In this paper we report
deep HST observations of the LFs in two open clusters, which allow us to extend the
metallicity range represented by these four globular clusters ($-2.2 < [Fe/H] < -0.7$) up
to solar abundance. Thus, for the first time we now have cluster luminosity functions
extending to very low stellar masses and covering more than 2 dex in metallicity, and can
therefore study the effect of metallicity on stellar luminosity functions. In this paper we
search for a systematic dependence of the IMF on some parameter to provide clues to the
physics of star formation.

2. Observations and Data Reduction

We observed the open clusters NGC 2477 and NGC 2420 with HST on 1994 March 18
and 1994 May 18/19, respectively, using the WFPC2 along with the F555W (approximately
V-band) and F814W (approximately I-band) filters. Our observations were taken 5.2 arc
minutes SW of the cluster center (7:38:11.2 +21:33:09.7, J2000.0) for NGC 2420 and 2.7 arc
minutes WSW of the cluster center (7:52:16.8 -38:35:40.1, J2000.0) for NGC 2477 to avoid
the brightest stars in each cluster. These fields still contain many very bright stars so we
obtained the cumulative one hour exposures in each filter from nine 400s exposures in NGC
2477 and four 900s exposures in NGC 2420. All data were acquired in the same manner
and with the same filters, although the operating temperature of the CCDs was $-76^\circ$C
during the March observations and $-88^\circ$C during the May observations. The temperature
change was made in order to decrease a charge-transfer efficiency (CTE) problem which
manifested itself as a position-dependent non-linearity of the WFPC2 CCDs. The effect
was found to be $\approx 10\%$ at $-76^\circ$C and $\approx 4\%$ at $-88^\circ$C (Holtzman et al. 1995b) in a series
of 40 second calibration exposures taken of a field in $\omega$ Cen. Elevated sky counts found in
longer exposures such as ours are expected to decrease the CTE problem (Holtzman et al.
1995a). The lower operating temperature also resulted in far fewer ‘hot pixels’; pixels with
an elevated dark count lasting for hours or days. Finally, an additional difference between
our two cluster observations is that the sky level for the May data was five times higher
than for the March data, owing to zodiacal light differences.

The raw data were reprocessed using the IRAF/STSDAS software with the updated
calibration frames that became available subsequent to the observations. This included
the standard statistical corrections to the analogue to digital conversion, bias subtraction, dark count subtraction, flat fielding and geometric distortion correction. We also included the non-standard “ramp correction” for the March CTE problem. The CTE properties of the WFPC2 are still not entirely understood, but applying the correction reduced the significance of the CTE effect to \( \approx 1\% \). The CTE effect in the May data is expected to be \( \leq 1\% \) because of the higher sky counts and the cooler CCDs, so we did not attempt to apply a correction for these data. Additionally we spent great effort trying to identify hot pixels as measured in the dark frames taken shortly before or after our observations, but were largely unsuccessful owing to the short life-times of these hot pixels. Since hot pixels have counts which are independent of the filter in use, and thus have color equal to zero on the instrumental system, they appear in the same region of the color-magnitude (CM) diagram as the faintest white dwarfs. Since the WFPC2 CCDs are undersampled, hot pixels may appear like faint stars, especially two adjacent hot pixels or single hot pixels next to positive sky fluctuations. Hot pixels thus decreased our limiting magnitude for white dwarfs (von Hippel et al. 1995, hereafter Paper I), but did not affect the limiting magnitude for the coolest main sequence stars, the subject of this paper.

We performed aperture photometry using 1.5 pixel radius apertures with aperture corrections based on a detailed study of the many bright stars available in our fields. After some experimenting we did not employ point spread function (PSF) fitting photometry as our fields were uncrowded and the PSF was positionally dependent and not well characterized by our stars. Unlike Paper I, the photometry was directly transformed to the standard Johnson-Cousins VI system using the calibration from Holtzman et al. (1995b). The photometry we present here is thus slightly different from that presented in Paper I, but the differences are so small for the white dwarfs as not to modify the results of that study. The CM diagrams for all the stars in the fields of both our clusters, with galaxies removed, are presented in Figures 1 and 2.

2.1. Completeness Estimates

In order to characterize the completeness of our photometry we created synthetic stars using the TinyTim HST PSF generation package (Krist 1994), added these stars to our data, and then tried to recover them using our standard finding and photometry procedure. The synthetic stars were created for both the F555W and F814W filters and were centered at 16 different sub-pixel positions and laid down in a grid of positions covering the whole of each CCD. This sub-pixel sampling was done since the PSF locations affect the number of pixels under the PSF, and thus the sky noise and read noise contributions per pixel cause
the limiting magnitude to depend on the PSF sub-pixel position. Since this effect is based on noise changing systematically with PSF position, it affects completeness, but not the measured magnitudes of objects bright enough to find. The resulting simulated noise-free stars were scaled and had noise added to them to mimic stars over a range of magnitudes. From this procedure we estimated our completeness levels, which are superimposed on Figures 1 and 2. These completeness levels lead to a limiting magnitude \( \approx 0.5 \) magnitudes brighter than initially expected for the refurbished HST, but this is now understood as due to the CCDs leaking some charge between adjacent pixels (Holtzman et al. 1995a). These estimates of completeness should be reasonably accurate for the redder stars where hot pixels are not a problem.

### 2.2. Uncorrected effects and remaining sources of uncertainty

We outline here the remaining sources of uncertainty in our photometry, although we emphasize that these are all small effects which do not affect our results.

1) The CTE corrections are almost surely not perfect for the \(-76^\circ C\) data and they have not been attempted for the \(-88^\circ C\) data. The resulting uncertainties are \( \approx 1\% \) and do not contribute to any important systematics.

2) The HST pipeline flats were taken at the gain=14 setting, whereas our data were taken at the gain=7 setting. The relative gains between these two settings are not identically 2.0 for all CCDs. The appropriate gain ratios have been applied, but these are uncertain to \( \approx 1\% \) each. This source of uncertainty should not cause systematic errors since all three WF CCDs contain nearly equal portions of the cluster CMD diagram.

3) The HST pipeline flats are good to a few percent, pixel-to-pixel, over most of the area of the CCDs.

4) We applied a single aperture correction to all photometry for all WF CCDs. In fact the relatively large number of bright but isolated stars in our images allowed us to investigate a number of subtle instrumental effects in the photometry. We performed a number of such tests, and compared them with simulations based on TinyTim analytical PSFs. The results are described in detail in Tanvir, Robinson & von Hippel (1995) but briefly they found that (a) the photometry is essentially unaffected by the position of the center of the star within a pixel, and (b) there is a small (\( \approx \pm 3\% \)) variation seen in the NGC 2477 small aperture photometry which correlates with position on the CCD. This latter effect is probably due to small variations in the PSF across each CCD. A similar but not identical effect is seen in the simulations, while NGC 2420 has too few bright stars to
show it. However, given that this effect is small, is uncorrelated with magnitude, and to first order affects V and I in the same way, thus leaving V-I colors unchanged, it makes a negligible difference to the results presented here and so no correction was made.

5) The precision of the Holtzman et al. (1995b) transformation is expected to be $\approx 2\%$, over the applicable color range. The range of applicability of the Holtzman et al. photometric transformations is $-0.3 < V-I < 1.5$, whereas our stars continue to V-I $\approx 3.5$. The expected error for the reddest stars is not large (see Section 3), but this is probably our single greatest systematic uncertainty.

6) Whitmore (1995) reports that the HST + WFPC2 throughput increased by 4.1% in F555W and by 0.6% in F814W after cooling the WFPC2 CCDs to $-88^\circ C$ on 24 April 1994. Holtzman et al. (1995b) report similar numbers. Since the photometric zero points were determined after the CCDs were cooled, corrections need to be applied to the earlier data, in our case to NGC 2477. Most of the throughput differences seem to be due to the decrease in the CTE problem (Ferguson, private communication), however, and since we make a CTE correction for our NGC 2477 data, much of this zero point difference probably disappears. The zero point difference between CTE corrected data has not yet been determined. We therefore have not applied any throughput correction to the NGC 2477 data. Thus, our NGC 2477 data may suffer an additional $\approx 2-5\%$ systematic error in V-I.

From items 1 through 5 above we estimate that the additional random error contributed by the instrument, above and beyond that produced by photon counting statistics, is likely to be 4-5%, largely independent of magnitude. Item number 5 is relevant to the color magnitude diagrams presented in Figures 1a, b and 2a, b as well as to any comparison of these data in the transformed Johnson-Cousins system to models. It does not affect our analysis of cluster luminosity functions as we compare our data to other HST observations taken through the same filters. Also, these additional noise sources are not included in the CM diagram error bars, which represent only the internal photon-counting errors and read-noise.

3. Analysis

In this section we present the CM diagrams for NGC 2420 and NGC 2477 and derive their main sequence LFs. NGC 2420 is metal-poor for an open cluster, with $[Fe/H] \approx -0.45$, is only lightly reddened, with a uniform $E_{B-V} = 0.05$, and has a distance modulus 11.95. Ages from isochrone fitting for this cluster range from 2.1 Gyrs (Carraro
& Chiosi 1994) to $3.4 \pm 0.6$ Gyrs (Anthony Twarog et al. 1990) and 4 Gyrs (VandenBerg 1985). We derived (Paper I) a lower limit for the age of this cluster from the white dwarfs luminosities of 1.3 Gyrs. NGC 2477 is more metal rich, with $[Fe/H] \approx 0$, is heavily and unevenly reddened, with an adopted $E_{B-V} = 0.33$ (Smith & Hesser 1983; Hartwick, Hesser & McClure 1972), and has a distance modulus of about 10.6. Isochrone fitting ages for this cluster range from $0.6 \pm 0.1$ Gyrs (Carraro & Chiosi 1994) to $1.2 \pm 0.3$ Gyrs (Smith & Hesser 1983). We derived (Paper I) a lower limit on the age of this cluster from the white dwarfs luminosities of 1.3 Gyrs.

The photometry for NGC 2420 and NGC 2477 is presented in the CM diagrams of Figures 1a and 1b, respectively. The photometry has been transformed to the Johnson-Cousins system and 1 σ error bars are plotted for all objects. Completeness levels derived from our simulations are indicated at the bottom of these figures by the dotted lines. The completeness levels are labeled and correspond to $\approx 90, 50,$ and $10\%$ completeness. The V-band completeness levels are nearly horizontal, being curved slightly due to the color term in the photometric transformations. Likewise the I-band completeness levels are at a near-constant value in I, and thus inclined in this CM diagram. The saturation limits are indicated by the upper dotted lines, level for V and inclined for I. The reddening vector for each cluster is plotted at $V \approx 22$ and $V-I \approx 0.6$. Examination of these figures shows that the main sequence stars and white dwarfs clearly stand out in both clusters, even in the relatively crowded field of NGC 2477 (which has galactic latitude $b = -5.8$). It is also evident that the completeness simulations are consistent with the photometric errors and the faint limit of the photometry.

Figures 2a and 2b present the cluster photometry after the effects of distance and reddening have been removed, and after the removal of the obvious contaminating field stars. The dashed lines are eye-ball fits to the Monet et al. (1992) trigonometric data for white dwarfs and solar metallicity main sequence stars. They are plotted here to demonstrate that the entire combination of extrapolated photometric transformations, measured distances, and reddenings are reasonable for these clusters. Figure 2b presents the fits to the Monet et al. data placed for $E(B-V) = 0.2, 0.3$ and $0.4$ (left to right), since this cluster is known to have variable reddening. Contaminating field stars were removed by comparison with nearby fields observed by the HST Medium Deep Survey project. The Medium Deep Survey CM diagrams (Santiago, private communication) were derived from F606W and F814W photometry, so we used the transformations derived by Elson et al. (1995) to convert to the Johnson-Cousins colors. A detailed comparison was then made of the number of stars as a function of magnitude and color in one nearby field for NGC 2420 and two comparable fields for NGC 2477. The comparison field for NGC 2420 is at $l,b = 206, +19.6$, whereas NGC 2420 is at $l,b = 198, +19.7$. The comparison field has a similar,
and nearly negligible, reddening, $E(B-V) = 0.02$ (Burstein & Heiles 1982). Two comparison fields were used for NGC 2477 since none yet exist which closely match its Galactic position and reddening. One field is at $l,b = 85, -3.5$ and has $E(B-V) \geq 0.39$, whereas the other is at $l,b = 202, +9$ and has $E(B-V) \geq 0.18$ (Burstein & Heiles 1982). NGC 2477 is at $l,b = 254, -5.8$. Using this procedure we found it straightforward to isolate the main sequence cluster stars from the contaminating foreground and background stars, most of which lie well away from the main sequences. A few stars in the comparison fields do lie on the cluster main sequences, and thus a small proportion of the stars presented in Figures 2a and 2b are expected not to be cluster members. These can only be removed statistically, so no corrections were applied to Figures 2a and 2b, though the statistical corrections were applied to the cluster main sequence LFs presented below. Before moving on to the extracted cluster LFs, it is worth pointing out that the main sequence in NGC 2420 (Figure 2a) may actually stop at $M_V \approx 12$ ($M_I \approx 9.5$), as the fainter stars lie somewhat off the expected main sequence locus. Since we argue below that this cluster LF peaks at $M_V \approx 11.5$ ($M_I \approx 9.0$), for the present purposes we make the conservative assumption that these stars are cluster members.

The main sequences LFs for NGC 2420 and NGC 2477 are plotted in Figures 3a and 3b. The star symbols are the raw LFs, and the open circles are the LFs corrected for field star contamination and incompleteness. Also plotted are lines representing the fractional incompleteness based on our synthetic star simulations. The fractional incompleteness rises from $\approx 0\%$ at $M_I = 10$ to nearly $100\%$ by $M_I = 11.5$. In all subsequent use of the cluster LFs we include only portions of the LF where incompleteness is less than $25\%$. The NGC 2420 LF (Figure 3a) turns over at $M_I \approx 9.0$ while the NGC 2477 LF (Figure 3b) seems to flatten at $M_I \geq 9.5$. The numbers of stars in these LFs are small, being only 19 and 53 stars in the complete portion of the LFs for NGC 2420 and NGC 2477, respectively, so these LF shapes are currently only suggestive. We can estimate the likelihood that the NGC 2420 LF does not turn over by evaluating the probability that the value of the LF in the final bin is actually greater than the identified peak. For NGC 2420 a turn-over is a $1.5\sigma$ result, i.e. there is a $7\%$ chance that this LF continues to rise to its measured limit. The likelihood that the LF continues to rise as rapidly after $M_I \approx 9.0$ as it did before this point is only $1\%$, however, since the last LF bin lies $2.3\sigma$ below such an extrapolation. For NGC 2477 a turn-over is not seen, and a flattening is only suggestive. We thus locate the peak in this LF at, or after, $M_I = 9.5$. We proceed below to consider the implications of these LF shapes, assuming that they have been correctly determined.

In Figure 4 we plot our open cluster LFs along with the solar neighborhood LF presented in Kroupa et al. (1993) and the four published HST globular cluster LFs: $\omega$ Cen (Elson et al. 1995), 47 Tuc (De Marchi & Paresce 1995b), M 15 (De Marchi & Paresce
1995a), and NGC 6397 (Paresce, De Marchi & Romaniello 1995). The four globular cluster LFs have been shifted down by 1 to 2 units in the log to approximately match the open cluster number counts, for ease of presentation. Since all the HST results were obtained using the F814W filter with WFPC2, we choose to plot the LFs directly in terms of F814W magnitudes, and have therefore transformed the Kroupa et al. (1993) data first to $M_I$ using the trigonometric parallax data of Dahn et al. (1995), and then to $M_{814}$. Error bars are not plotted here for clarity, but the globular cluster LFs are well determined with typically many hundreds of stars per bin near the LF peak. Error bars due to Poisson statistics are large for our open cluster data and can be readily determined either in this figure or in Figures 3a and 3b by reference to the vertical scale.

In Figure 5 we plot the location of the turn-over of all the LFs of Figure 4 with respect to their metallicity, measured in [Fe/H]. The location of a LF turn-over is defined as the location of the peak bin, or for the case of ω Cen, the location of the first bin of the LF flattening. The solar neighborhood value has been placed at [Fe/H] = −0.2, representative of this sample (Wyse & Gilmore 1996). The abundance distribution of the field stars (unlike the clusters which each have a single abundance) blurs any sharp features in its LF, so that the LF maxima discussed here are less precisely defined for the solar neighborhood. The error in the turn-over magnitude for the solar neighborhood is ≈ 0.25 magnitudes, while the error in the turn-over magnitude for NGC 2420 is larger, ≈ 0.5 magnitudes. The turn-over magnitude for NGC 2477 is plotted as a lower limit. The internal errors in the turn-over magnitudes for the globular clusters are small because of the large number of stars in each bin. The errors in the turn-over magnitudes are thus likely dominated by the distance uncertainties for these clusters, which are ≈ 0.2 magnitudes (e.g. Elson et al. 1995). We do not plot the location of the turn-over in the very broad halo field star LF as given by Dahn et al. (1995) in Figure 5, as the location of this peak is probably more uncertain than the other LF peaks.

The essential result which is evident in Figure 5 is a smooth and well defined correlation between the location of the turnover of the luminosity function of a stellar sample and the metallicity of that stellar sample. While we discuss explanations of this correlation below, we note here that it bears a close resemblance to a theoretical constant-mass locus. Such loci are plotted in Figure 5 as dashed lines from the low mass star models of Saumon (private communication), for masses 0.2 and 0.231 $M_\odot$, and as solid lines from the low mass star models of Alexander et al. (1996), for masses 0.2, 0.25 and 0.3 $M_\odot$. The Saumon models are plotted in $M_I$, rather than $M_{814}$, but the differences are expected to be minor.
4. Discussion

In the preceding sections we have concentrated on the cluster luminosity functions, not the mass functions, since the derivation of the latter requires additional assumptions. Indeed, Elson et al. (1995), in their analysis of ω Cen, show that even small uncertainties in the mass-luminosity relation are enough to cause major changes in the derived mass function slope. D’Antona & Mazzitelli (1996) also demonstrate that the faint star LF slope has little to do with the MF slope, or metallicity, as it is driven by the slope of the mass-luminosity relation. While theoretical models for low mass stars are rapidly improving (see Alexander et al. 1996; D’Antona & Mazzitelli 1996), a number of difficulties still remain at solar metallicities. We therefore chose not to convert these LFs to MFs, but rather to follow the dominant LF feature, the turn-over, and compare it with stellar models. By following the LF turn-overs we are able to see that all available LFs look essentially the same, especially when corrected for the metallicity of the population. All LFs have approximately the same shape, and each has a turn-over at ≈ 0.27 M⊙. Why might all LFs have turn-overs at the same mass value, and what physical phenomena might affect the turn-over? We look at the effects of dynamics, the mass-luminosity relation, and the IMF slope in turn.

In analyzing their globular cluster luminosity functions, De Marchi & Paresce (1995a, 1995b) argue that while these three globular clusters have undergone different degrees of core collapse and tidal stripping, their observed LFs are relatively insensitive to the cluster dynamical histories since they were obtained near the cluster half-mass radii. Our open cluster observations were also made well inside the radii of tidal stripping, 3 pc from the center of NGC 2420 and 1 pc from the center of NGC 2477. These distances should be compared to the tidal radius for NGC 2420, which was determined to be ≥ 18 pc by Leonard (1988). The tidal radius for NGC 2477 has not been determined to the best of our knowledge, but these clusters are similar in their stellar density and distance from the Galactic center. Additionally, although NGC 2420 has a relaxation time of only ≈ 10⁸ yrs, it shows only marginally significant mass segregation (Leonard 1988). Furthermore, since the globular clusters have a mean stellar density a factor 10³ to 10⁵ times higher than the open clusters (compare the densities of Leonard 1988 with those of Webbink 1985), and are approximately an order of magnitude older, it would require careful tuning of the dynamical histories of these clusters for low mass stellar evaporation to produce, just at the present time, an uncoordinated set of changes which mimic a systematic dependence on metallicity. In any case, several more globular clusters have been observed with HST, so additional information to check the importance of dynamical evolution, and the true scatter in the correlation of Figure 5, will soon be available. We do not argue that these clusters have not undergone possibly very different dynamical histories, only that their dynamical histories are not the primary cause of the LF turn-overs.
The slope of the mass-luminosity (ML) relation, in both V and I, is rapidly increasing at masses lower than $\approx 0.27 \, M_\odot$, independent of metallicity (Alexander et al. 1996; D'Antona & Mazzitelli 1996). Metallicity does cause an offset in luminosity at a given mass, but it only slightly affects the slope of the ML relation at a given mass (Alexander et al. 1996). This increase of slope in the ML relation causes a given mass increment to cover a larger and larger absolute magnitude increment, especially below $M_I \approx 9.5 - 10$. Thus a turn-over in the LF at these magnitudes is naturally explained by the rapidly steepening ML relation. This point was appreciated by Kroupa et al. (1993) for the solar neighborhood LF, and the theoretical models of Alexander et al. (1996) and D'Antona & Mazzitelli (1996) now support this interpretation for all metallicities. A test of this hypothesis is whether these ML relations, when applied to our cluster LFs, yield smooth MFs. When we apply either the observed solar metallicity ML relation of Henry & McCarthy (1993) or the theoretical ML relation of Alexander et al. (1996) to our LFs, we do indeed find MFs which rise smoothly through the peak and to the completeness limit of the data. This statement cannot be made strongly, however, due to the small number of stars in our LFs. We note that our measured MFs rise as $N \sim M^{-1}$ for both clusters, but that this value for the slope should not be taken too seriously, for the reasons mentioned above with regard to the insensitivity of this procedure to the MF slope, and because of its great dependence on the slope of the ML relation. In fact, D'Antona & Mazzitelli (1996) demonstrate that a change in the IMF slope moves the peak of the LF to a different mass value, by approximately 1 magnitude in the I-band for a change of 0.5 in the MF slope, depending somewhat on metallicity. The LF peak is thus a sensitive measure of the MF slope, and any significant changes in this slope from cluster to cluster would have destroyed the match between the data and the single mass locus seen in Figure 5.

The increase in the slope of the ML relation below $M_I \approx 9.5$ makes a smooth mass function a viable explanation for these cluster LFs. It is therefore unnecessary to invoke the third possibility, a MF kink at $\approx 0.27 \, M_\odot$, as the cause of the LF turn-overs. Such a MF kink is still possible, since the MF is very poorly determined at lower masses, but it is not necessary.

A complication we have not addressed here is the effect on the actual MF of undiscovered binaries. A large number of stars with masses below $\approx 0.27 \, M_\odot$ could be hiding as unseen companions of brighter main sequence stars. Furthermore, globular clusters, open clusters, and the solar neighborhood field could have different relative numbers of such low mass companions. A detailed analysis of the effect of binarism on luminosity functions was presented by Kroupa et al. (1993) to which the reader is referred.

We conclude that the low mass IMF is, to first order, independent of metallicity,
with all the systematic changes in luminosity functions with metallicity dominated by the systematic changes in the mass-luminosity relation with metallicity. The implication for star formation is that a large range of initial conditions and environments produce essentially the same distribution by mass of low mass stars. Additionally, the low mass MF is not steep enough to provide a large number of essentially invisible main sequence stars (Kroupa et al. 1993).

5. Conclusions

Using HST and the WFPC2 we have acquired very deep V- and I-band photometry of stars in NGC 2420 and NGC 2477 to study cluster luminosity functions at approximately solar metallicity. We have determined these cluster luminosity functions down to $M_I = 10.5 \ (0.2 \ M_\odot)$ and find that the LF of NGC 2420 turns over at $M_I \approx 9.0$, and possibly stops altogether by $M_I \approx 9.5$. The LF of NGC 2477 may flatten at $M_I \geq 9.5$. We compare our open cluster luminosity functions to the solar neighborhood field star luminosity function of Kroupa et al. (1993) and the four published HST globular cluster luminosity functions: ω Cen (Elson et al. 1995), 47 Tuc (De Marchi & Paresce 1995b), M 15 (De Marchi & Paresce 1995a), and NGC 6397 (Paresce, De Marchi & Romaniello 1995). We find a smooth relation between the location of the luminosity function turn-over and the metallicity for all these low mass star samples which matches the expected $M_I$ versus [Fe/H] trend for a model star of $\approx 0.27 \ M_\odot$ (Saumon 1995; Alexander et al. 1996). We interpret this smooth and systematic behavior in the cluster luminosity functions as strong evidence in favor of an invariant initial mass function and a metallicity-dependent mass-luminosity relation.
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Fig. 1.— Color-magnitude diagrams for (a) NGC 2420 and (b) NGC 2477. The error bars represent one sigma internal errors in the photometry. Photometric saturation lines and labeled completeness levels for V and I are drawn at the tops and bottoms of the figures, respectively. Also plotted are the reddening vectors for each cluster, which have not been applied.

Fig. 2.— Similar to Figures 1a and 1b except background and foreground stars have been removed, and the cluster has had its distance modulus and reddening removed. Also plotted in (a) NGC 2420 and (b) NGC 2477 as dashed lines are the locations in the HR diagram for solar neighborhood stars from Monet et al. (1992). Figure 2b is plotted with 3 solar neighborhood sequences representing, from left to right, E(B-V) = 0.2, 0.3 and 0.4 (canonical E(B-V) = 0.33), since this cluster is differentially reddened.

Fig. 3.— Main sequence luminosity function for (a) NGC 2420 and (b) NGC 2477. The star symbols are the raw LF and the open symbols are the LF corrected for contaminating stars and incompleteness. The incompleteness function for each field is plotted as a solid line rising from 0% to 100%.

Fig. 4.— Globular and open cluster luminosity functions. The solid lines are for NGC 2477 (top, with “o” symbols), the solar neighborhood (middle, with “+” symbols), and NGC 2420 (bottom, with “*” symbols). The dotted line represents NGC 6397, the dashed line 47 Tuc, the dash single-dotted line M15, and the dash double-dotted line ω Cen. The horizontal axis is $M_{814}$, the HST I-band absolute magnitude, which is very nearly the standard Cousins I-band magnitude. The numbers on the vertical axis are correct for the open clusters, but the globular clusters have been shifted 1 to 2 units downwards for ease of plotting.

Fig. 5.— Absolute magnitude in F814W of the turn-over in the luminosity functions as a function of metallicity. The clusters are labeled. The solar neighborhood is indicated “SN”. Also plotted are labeled loci of constant mass from Saumon (private communication) and Alexander et al. (1996). The theoretical loci for the Saumon models are actually in $M_I$, but the difference between $M_I$ and $M_{814}$ is small.