

ACCIDENT EVENTS IN AUTOMATED VEHICLES

Peter Hancock

University of Central Florida, peter.hancock@ucf.edu

Tiffani Walker

University of Central Florida, tiffaniw2010@gmail.com

Valarie Yerdon

University of Central Florida, vyerdon@knight.ucf.edu

William Volante

University of Central Florida, wgvolante@gmail.com

Shu Ling Li

University of Central Florida, sl-li12@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Follow this and additional works at: <http://commons.erau.edu/hfap>



Part of the [Automotive Engineering Commons](#)

Peter Hancock, Tiffani Walker, Valarie Yerdon, William Volante, and Shu Ling Li, "ACCIDENT EVENTS IN AUTOMATED VEHICLES" (April 14, 2016). *Human Factors and Applied Psychology Student Conference*. Paper 11.
<http://commons.erau.edu/hfap/hfap-2016/posters/11>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Human Factors and Applied Psychology Student Conference at ERAU Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Human Factors and Applied Psychology Student Conference by an authorized administrator of ERAU Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact commons@erau.edu.

ACCIDENT EVENTS IN AUTOMATED VEHICLES

P.A. Hancock and the HFII Student Groups, Department of Psychology, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, 32826 USA.

We are all aware of the increasing penetration of purely automated vehicles into the coming panoply of ground transportation. Much of the test and evaluation procedures of these respective vehicles have occurred on unidirectional, multi-lane highways and freeways where the central computational problem is relatively simple longitudinal and lateral control. In essence, these controls over what Gibson and Crooks labelled the 'field of safe travel,' can be affected by a suite of on-board sensors that measure a combination of range (d) and closure velocity (v). These combinatorial kinematics and kinetics can then provide satisfied solutions to the question of relative location in order to avoid collision in either of the two dimensions. However, single direction freeway driving is only one (and arguably quite a small element) of the overall driving challenge. Even here, issues such as over-taking are often finessed by the recovery of direct control of the driver who then re-establishes automated control when conditions revert to a stable enough level. Vehicle collisions can range from inadvertent acceleration (the Volvo example), to complex decision-making situations in which the embedded model of the world in automation proved insufficient to the challenge. We use this cadre of accounts to compare and contrast driver-centered design principles with semi-automated and fully autonomous vehicle conceptions. We also consider the affective dimension of driving and the cultural and sociological context in which the vehicle is embedded to discuss opportunities, constraints and limitations on coming automated cars.

Key Words: Automated Vehicle, Collisions, Driver-Centered Design