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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of excess K-band radiation from the massive DAZ white dwarf star GD 362. Combining infrared photometric and spectroscopic observations, we show that the excess radiation cannot be explained by a stellar or substellar companion, and is likely to be caused by a debris disk. This would be only the second such system known, discovered 18 years after G29-38, the only single white dwarf currently known to be orbited by circumstellar dust. Both of these systems favor a model with accretion from a surrounding debris disk to explain the metal abundances observed in DAZ white dwarfs. Nevertheless, observations of more DAZs in the mid-infrared are required to test if this model can explain all DAZs.

Subject headings: stars: individual (GD 362) — white dwarfs

Online material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

DA white dwarfs with hydrogen-rich atmospheres are the most common type of white dwarfs found in the Galaxy. Due to high surface gravity, gravitational sedimentation is very fast in cool white dwarf atmospheres (Schatzman 1958). Therefore, even if heavy elements are initially present in the atmosphere, they would sink to the bottom of the photosphere quickly, leaving a pure H (or pure He for DB white dwarfs) atmosphere behind. On the other hand, a recent survey of nearby cool DA white dwarfs by Zuckerman et al. (2003) found that up to 25% of the white dwarfs in their sample show trace amounts of heavy elements (mostly Ca ii). Since the diffusion timescales are shorter than the evolutionary timescales, the source of the metals observed in the photospheres of these DAZ stars cannot be primordial. Possible scenarios for the explanation of the metal abundances include accretion from the interstellar medium (ISM; Dupuis et al. 1992), cometary impacts (Alcock et al. 1986), and accretion of asteroidal material from a surrounding debris disk (Graham et al. 1990). Zuckerman et al. (2003) showed that the observed abundances are not compatible with the predictions from the first two models, and they did not see any evidence for or against the third scenario.

The Sun is located in a very low density region, and the volume filling factor of clouds in the local ISM is much less than 25%, the percentage of DA white dwarfs showing metallic features in the Zuckerman et al. (2003) sample (see Aannestad et al. 1993). Therefore, accretion from the ISM is unlikely to explain all of the DAZ white dwarfs. Accretion from an Oort-like comet cloud is ruled out for two white dwarfs with high Ca abundances, G238-44 and G29-38. The Mg abundance in G238-44 was found to be stable over the course of a year, Ca abundances, G238-44 and G29-38. The Mg abundance in G238-44 and G29-38. The Mg abundance in G238-44 and G29-38. Nevertheless, observations of more DAZs in the mid-infrared are required to test if this model can explain all DAZs.

Recently, Farihi et al. (2005) completed a survey of 371 white dwarfs to search for low-luminosity companions and concluded that none of the white dwarfs in their sample show near-infrared excess similar to G29-38, the only single white dwarf known with a surrounding circumstellar dust disk.

Gianninas et al. (2004) reported the discovery of the most massive (1.24 M⊙) and metal-rich DAZ white dwarf ever found, GD 362. In addition to Balmer lines, they detected Ca i, Ca ii, Mg i, and Fe i lines in the optical spectra of this star with estimated Teff = 9740 K and log g = 9.1. They measured a calcium abundance of [Ca/H] = −5.2, about a thousand times higher than the DAZ stars with similar effective temperatures in the Zuckerman et al. (2003) sample. GD 362, being the most metal-rich DAZ white dwarf with a Ca abundance 2 orders of magnitude higher than G29-38 (Koester et al. 1997), provides a unique opportunity to test if the observed metal abundances can be explained with a debris disk similar to G29-38.

In this Letter, we present our infrared spectroscopic data for GD 362. Our observations are discussed in § 2, while an analysis of the spectroscopic data and results from this analysis are discussed in § 3.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Photometry

The DAZ white dwarfs identified by Zuckerman et al. (2003) are bright enough to be detected in the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS). GD 362 is barely detected in the J band in this survey (2MASS 17313433+3705209; J = 16.16 ± 0.09) and is not detected in the H and K bands. The 2MASS Point Source Catalog provides only upper limits for the H- and K-band photometry of GD 362. We derived synthetic photometry of GD 362 (J = 16.04 ± 0.04, H = 16.00 ± 0.03, and K = 15.79 ± 0.03) using our infrared spectrum (see § 2.2). Figure 1 presents the 2MASS photometry versus temperatures for the DAZ white dwarfs identified by Zuckerman et al. (2003), along with the predicted sequences for DA (solid line) and DB white dwarfs (dashed line) from Bergeron et al. (1995).

The photometry from the 2MASS plus our synthetic photometry enable us to make a direct comparison of the photometry for GD 362 with the Zuckerman et al. (2003) sample (filled circles), including G29-38 (filled squares). If our synthetic photometry (filled triangles) for GD 362 is correct, it shows that GD 362 has similar colors to G29-38. The J − H colors for
G29-38 and GD 362 are consistent with the model predictions, but both objects have redder $H-K$ colors than typical white dwarfs.

### 2.2. Spectroscopy

We used the 3 m NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF) equipped with the 0.8–5.4 $\mu$m medium-resolution spectrograph and imager (SpeX; Rayner et al. 2003) and $0^\prime.5 \times 15^\circ$ slit to obtain a resolving power of 90–210 (average resolution of 150) over the 0.8–2.5 $\mu$m range. GD 362 was observed remotely from our office in Texas under conditions of thin cirrus on 2005 May 14 starting at 13:49 UT. To remove the dark current and the sky signal from the data, the observations were taken in two different positions on the slit (A and B) separated by 10$^\prime$. We obtained 17 AB pairs of observations with 2 minute exposures for each frame (with total exposure time of 68 minutes). Internal calibration lamps (a 0.1 W incandescent lamp and an argon lamp) were used for flat-fielding and wavelength calibration. In order to correct for the telluric features and flux-calibrate the spectra, a nearby bright A0 V star (HD 174567) was observed at a similar air mass with GD 362.

We note that there is a nearby star (2MASS 17313587+3705357, separated by 15$^\prime$), which is fainter than GD 362 in the optical but brighter than GD 362 in the infrared, that can cause source confusion. We obtained spectroscopy of this object as well and found it to be an M4 star. This object is about a magnitude brighter than GD 362 in the $J$, $H$, and $K$ bands.

Here we also present infrared observations of another white dwarf, WD 2140+207, with $T_{\text{eff}} = 8860 \pm 300$ K (Bergeron et al. 2001), similar to GD 362. WD 2140+207 and a nearby A0 V star (HD 210501) were observed on 2003 October 19 with the same telescope and instrument setup as GD 362. The only difference between these observations and the GD 362 observations is that in 2003, we used a narrower slit ($0^\prime.3$), which gave an average resolving power of 250. WD 2140+207 is a cool DQ star showing molecular $C_2$ Swan bands in the optical. Kilic et al. (2003) searched, without success, for CO bands at 2.3 $\mu$m in several DQ stars, including WD 2140+207 (M. Kilic et al. 2005, in preparation). DQ stars have helium-rich atmospheres; therefore, they have near-IR spectral energy distributions similar to DB stars. Figure 1 shows that DA and DB white dwarfs with $T_{\text{eff}} > 5000$ K differ only modestly in their IR spectral energy distributions. Hence, the WD 2140+207 spectrum can be used as a spectral template for an $\sim 9000$ K DQ/DB/DA white dwarf.

We used an IDL-based package, SpeXtool version 3.2 (Cushing et al. 2004), designed for the reduction of spectral data obtained with the SpeX instrument. After flat-fielding, the spectra from individual frames were extracted using the optimal extraction procedures described in Cushing et al. (2004). We used an extraction aperture radius of 1$^\prime$, and each pixel in the extraction aperture is weighted in proportion to the amount of flux it contained from the target.

Vacca et al. (2003) presented a method for correcting the near-infrared spectra obtained with the SpeX instrument for telluric features. We used the A0 V stellar spectra and a high-resolution model of Vega to construct telluric spectra that are free of stellar absorption features (see Vacca et al. 2003 for details). This method also uses the $B$ and $V$ magnitudes for the A0 V stars to redden and scale the model spectrum of Vega in order to set the flux calibration, and therefore it can be used to flux-calibrate the target observations. Using the XTELLCOR package, we created a telluric spectrum for each night, and we used these spectra to correct and flux-calibrate the white dwarf spectra.

### 3. RESULTS

Figure 2 presents the extracted spectra from the flat-fielded images (no telluric correction or flux calibration) for GD 362...
(darker line, top panel), WD 2140+207 (darker line, bottom panel), and their reference A0 V stars (gray lines; scaled down to match the white dwarf spectrum in each panel). All of the strong absorption features seen in the A0 V star and the white dwarf spectra are telluric features. A comparison of the WD 2140+207 spectrum with the A0 V star shows that with minor differences in the J band, its overall flux distribution is similar to the A0 V star ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 10,000$ K). Both GD 362 and WD 2140+207 have effective temperatures similar to the A0 V stars, and their flux distributions in the infrared are predicted to be similar to the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of a blackbody distribution.

The calibrated spectra for GD 362 (darker line) and WD 2140+207 (gray line; scaled down to match the GD 362 spectrum) are shown in Figure 3 (top panel), along with the telluric and instrumental spectrum appropriate for reduction of the GD 362 observations (bottom panel). The emission features between the $J$ and $H$ (1.3–1.5 $\mu$m) bands and the $H$ and $K$ (1.8–2.0 $\mu$m) bands and at the red end of the $K$ band ($\geq 2.5 \mu$m) are telluric, whereas the upward trend in the optical part of the spectrum (0.65–0.85 $\mu$m) is due to the efficiency drop-off of the SpeX instrument. The wavelength regions with extreme telluric features and the low instrument-efficiency regions are shown as hatched areas in the top panel. Dotted lines mark the location of the expected hydrogen lines (Hα and the Paschen lines at 0.955, 1.005, 1.094, 1.282, and 1.876 $\mu$m) in the GD 362 spectrum. The observed spectrum shows that all of these lines are detected, with the 1.282 $\mu$m line most obvious and the 1.876 $\mu$m line lost in the telluric features. Bracket lines are expected to be weaker and are only apparent in the $H$ band (1.5–1.8 $\mu$m) in the A0 star spectra (see Fig. 2). These lines would be shallower in the GD 362 spectra due to its enormous gravity, and therefore they would be harder to detect in our low-resolution spectrum. The 2MASS photometry for GD 362 (filled circle and arrows) and G29-38 (open circles; scaled down to match the $J$-band flux from GD 362) are also shown in Figure 3.

A comparison of the raw and the calibrated spectra for GD 362 with WD 2140+207 spectra (Figs. 2 and 3) shows that the flux from GD 362 is consistent with a typical 9000 K white dwarf in the $J$ and $H$ bands, but is significantly higher than the expected flux level from a white dwarf in the $K$ band. The upper limits on the $H$- and $K$-band photometry from the 2MASS are also consistent with the excess $K$-band emission seen in the IRTF spectrum of GD 362. The amount of excess light is comparable to the amount of excess light seen from G29-38.

One caveat seen in Figure 3 is that the $J$-band photometry point is lower than the observed flux level from the spectra, probably caused by the low signal-to-noise ratio photometry from the 2MASS. Due to low resolution, it is hard to search for other possible features in these spectra; nevertheless, the Na I doublet at 2.209/2.306 $\mu$m (marked with upward arrows) may be present in the GD 362 spectrum. Unfortunately, neither the spectrum of Gianninas et al. (2004) nor our spectrum covers the Na I line at 5892 Å. On the other hand, the spectrum of the M4 star that we observed on the same night as GD 362 shows a similar amount of absorption from Na I at 2.209 $\mu$m; therefore, the Na I feature seen in GD 362’s spectrum is probably real. We also note that Ca II lines at 1.927, 1.950, 1.987, and 1.992 $\mu$m may be present, but they are probably lost in the telluric features.

4. DISCUSSION

Tokunaga et al. (1990) presented the $K$-band spectrum of G29-38 in their Figure 2. Their spectrum shows a constant flux level at 6 mJy with 0.5 mJy scatter. Our IRTF spectrum of GD 362 shows a constant $K$-band flux level at $\sim$0.33 mJy with little scatter. Our spectroscopic observations and the 2MASS photometry show that GD 362 has a $K$-band excess similar to G29-
38. Can this be another white dwarf with a surrounding debris disk?

Gianninas et al. (2004) derived an absolute V magnitude of 14.31 and a distance of ~24 pc for GD 362. Using these values, we derive an apparent V magnitude of 16.21, which is slightly fainter than the less accurate photographic magnitude of V = 16.0 (Greenstein 1980). The FWHM of the spatial profiles in our spectral images is about 1", which corresponds to 24 AU at 24 pc. The source of the infrared excess is within 24 AU of the star. Figure 4 shows the observed GD 362 spectrum compared to a 9740 K blackbody (dashed line) normalized to V = 16.21 in each panel. The blackbody fits the observed spectrum well in the J and H bands; the distance estimate is correct. The difference between the observed and the expected flux from the star is about 0.05 mJy in the K band. At 24 pc, this corresponds to $M_K \sim 15.8$, a late-type T dwarf (Leggett et al. 2002). We used a T5 dwarf template from the IRTF Spectral Library (Cushing et al. 2005) plus the normalized 9740 K blackbody to attempt to match the observed excess in the K band (gray line, top panel). T dwarfs suffer from broad water absorption bands (stronger for later spectral types) centered at 1.4, 1.9, and 2.7 $\mu$m. Adding a T5 dwarf to a 9740 K blackbody creates spectral features from 1.3 to 2.5 $\mu$m that are prominent, yet not seen in GD 362's spectrum. Therefore, neither T dwarfs nor any other dwarf stars can explain the excess seen between 2.0 and 2.5 $\mu$m. We also tried to match the observed spectrum with a combination of different blackbodies. The bottom panel in Figure 4 shows the expected spectral energy distributions of a 9740 K blackbody plus 600, 700, and 800 K blackbodies (from top to bottom). The excess emission is best fit with a 700 K blackbody. Therefore, the best explanation for the K-band excess in GD 362 is a circumstellar dust disk heated by the white dwarf. It took 17 years to find the second white dwarf with a brown dwarf companion (Farihi & Christopher 2004). Likewise, our discovery of a debris disk around GD 362 came 18 years after the first discovery of a debris disk around G29-38 (Zuckerman & Becklin 1987).

Spectroscopic observations of G29-38 with the Spitzer Space Telescope revealed silicate dust around the star (Reach et al. 2005), which means that the white dwarf is not the source of the dust. The observed metal abundances in GD 362 cannot be explained with the interstellar accretion model since GD 362 is well within the Local Bubble and away from any high concentration of interstellar matter (Gianninas et al. 2004). Therefore, both G29-38 and GD 362 observations favor the third model suggested by Zuckerman et al. (2003): accretion of asteroidal material from a surrounding debris disk. If GD 362 is a fainter clone of G29-38, then we predict its flux in the 4.5, 8, and 24 $\mu$m Spitzer bands to be 0.6, 0.5, and 0.1 mJy, respectively. Mid-infrared photometry of a sample of DAZ white dwarfs will be necessary to check if this model can explain the observed metal abundances in all DAZs. It appears that white dwarf observations in the infrared may have a tremendous amount to tell us about disk and possibly planet formation and evolution.
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